# BREASTFEEDING: RELATIONS WITH SUCKING HABITS AND SOCIOECONOMIC ASPECTS OF THE FAMILY

# Aleitamento: relação com hábitos de sucção e aspectos socioeconômicos familiares

Aline Prade Neu<sup>(1)</sup>, Ana Maria Toniolo da Silva<sup>(2)</sup>, Carolina Lisbôa Mezzomo<sup>(3)</sup>,
Angela Ruviaro Busanello-Stella<sup>(4)</sup>

## **ABSTRACT**

Purpose: to delineate the type of milk feeding and to verify the relations between these variables and the sucking habits, relating them with the socioeconomic aspects. Methods: the parents of 195 children answered a questionnaire. The selected children were aged between 5:0 and 8:11. The collected data referred to type and duration of milk feeding, mothers' education and socioeconomic aspects, and habits such as the use of pacifiers and finger sucking were also investigated. The data were descriptively and statistically analyzed, by means of the Chi-Square Test with a level of significance of 5%. Results: there was a predominance of the mixed type of feeding, but the supply of breastfeeding was higher than the artificial only. Besides, most children used the bottle for over two years. There was a relation between type of feeding and pacifier habit and its duration; duration of natural breastfeeding and the habit of using a pacifier and its duration; and artificial feeding and the habit of using a pacifier and its duration. With regard to socioeconomic aspects, there was significance between type of feeding and family income. Conclusion: it was observed that the mixed type of feeding predominated, however, when this occurs, it remains for a prolonged period. Moreover, the type and duration of milk feeding were fundamental to the acquisition of the habit of using a pacifier, and social classes and lower income can be considered predictors of the inclusion of other forms of artificial feeding.

KEYWORDS: Breast Feeding; Habits; Income; Educational Status; Child

# **■ INTRODUCTION**

Nowadays, the importance of breastfeeding has been emphasized, since the mother's milk is the most suitable food for the newborn <sup>1</sup>. It is known that this food enables the increase of antibodies to the baby, weight gain, the proper development

of the stomatognathic system (SS), and plays an important role in the intellectual and emotional development of the child. It also influences on the emotional relationship with the mothers, but the early interruption can still be observed <sup>2-5</sup>.

This interruption of breastfeeding, besides bringing alterations to the SS, may favor the emergence of sucking habits, as with the bottle, having the possibility of finger introduction in the mouth, or the use of a pacifier <sup>6,7</sup>. This is because when using the bottle, the perioral muscles are not as stimulated as in the sucking of breast milk, thus, children often tend to seek another type of suction, as the finger or a pacifier, in order to be satisfied nutritionally and/or emotionally <sup>3</sup>.

This way, the presence of sucking habits may compromise the orofacial muscles and craniofacial

Conflict of interest: non existent

Graduate Program in Human Communication Disorders, Federal University of Santa Maria - UFSM, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

<sup>(2)</sup> Federal University of Santa Maria - UFSM, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

<sup>(3)</sup> Federal University of Santa Maria - UFSM, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

<sup>(4)</sup> Graduate Program in Human Communication Disorders, Federal University of Santa Maria - UFSM, Santa Maria, RS. Brazil.

growth and development, depending on the period, intensity and frequency with which it is done8.

Early weaning and the consequent introduction of the bottle are still present among mothers who breastfeed, which may be linked to several causes, among them cultural factors as the myth of poor or insufficient milk 9,10. Besides these factors, the socioeconomic aspects such as family income, education level of the mother, lack of information about the advantages of breastfeeding, end of maternity leave and return to work can be linked to early weaning 11-13.

Taking into account the facts above, and considering the importance of breastfeeding for the child, the aim of this study was to characterize the type and duration of breastfeeding and to verify the relationship between these variables and suction habits and family socioeconomic aspects.

### METHODS

This research was conducted with children from eight public and private schools from Agudo - Rio Grande do Sul. The sample consisted of participants of both sexes, aged between five and eight years and 11 months old. The parents or people responsible for the children signed an Informed Consent Form (ICF). After signing it, the responsibles for the 220 children filled out a previously prepared questionnaire.

The inclusion criterion for this study was to be aged between five and eight years and 11 months old. The questionnaires with incomplete responses were excluded from the study, and only 195 were used for the data analysis.

With regard to the guestions asked, the following data were collected: children's identification data. as well as data regarding the type and duration of the breastfeeding received, deleterious oral habits (pacifier and finger sucking) and socioeconomic aspects (family monthly income and maternal education).

Regarding the type of feeding, the children in the sample were divided into three categories: breastfeeding only (considered children who were breastfed and not fed by bottle, independent of supply of other food); artificial feeding only (considered children who received bottle and were not breastfed, regardless of the supply of other food) or mixed, when both kinds were offered. It is understood by supply of other food to introduce porridge, teas, juice, water, among others.

As for milk feeding duration, three categories were also considered for breast and artificial feeding: none or little, when the child was breastfed until six months of age; up to two years, when the child was fed between six months and two years old; and over two years, when the child was offered milk feeding for more than two years. To calculate the times of breast and bottle feeding, it was considered what occurred exclusively and mixed.

For sucking habits, it was considered the use and time of pacifiers and finger sucking. As for the types of feeding (maternal and artificial), for these habits, the children were divided into three ranges (0 to 6 months; 6 months 1day up to 2 years; over 2 years).

Regarding socioeconomic aspects (family income and mother's education), for the monthly family income it was considered the following ranges adapted from IBGE14: no income or up to 1 minimum salary; from 1.1 minimum salary to 5 minimum salaries; 5.1 minimum salaries up to 10 minimum salaries; and more than 10 minimum salaries. For the mother's education, the following conditions were considered: illiterate; with incomplete or complete primary education; with incomplete or complete high school and with incomplete or complete university education.

This study was approved in the Federal University of Santa Maria Research Ethics Committee - CEP/ UFSM, under protocol number 0223.0.243.000-10.

The studied variables were descriptively and statistically analyzed. The Software Statistical Package for Social Science 15.0 (SPSS) was used for the statistical analysis of the data. It was used the Chi-square test for the relations between the variables type of milk feeding, duration of breastfeeding, duration of artificial feeding, sucking habits, family monthly income and maternal education. It was used a significance level of 5% (p <0.05) in the statistical analyses.

# RESULTS

Descriptive data on the variables: type of milk feeding, duration of breastfeeding, duration of artificial feeding, pacifiers, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and mother's education.

Table 1 - Frequency distribution of absolute (n) and relative (%) values of the variables, type of milk feeding, duration of breastfeeding, duration of artificial feeding, pacifier use, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and mother's education

Variables		n	%
	Maternal breastfeeding only	41	21,0
Type of milk feeding	Artificial feeding only	26	13,3
	Mixed feeding	128	65,7
Direction of Maternal	0 - 6m	90	46,2
Duration of Maternal Breastfeeding	6m – 2y	55	28,2
	+ 2y	50	25,6
Duration of artificial milk feeding	0 - 6m	43	22,0
	6m – 2y	23	11,8
	+ 2y	129	66,2
Desiden	Present	118	60,5
Pacifier	Absent	77	39,5
Pacifier period	0 - 6m	83	42,6
	6m – 2y	31	15,9
	+ 2y	81	41,5
Finger sucking	Present	18	9,2
	Absent	177	90,8
Finger sucking period	0 - 6m	179	91,8
	6m – 2y	4	2,0
	+ 2y	12	6,2
Monthly Family Income	0 - 1 salary	85	43,6
	1.1 - 5 salaries	96	49,2
	5.1 - 10 salaries	12	6,2
	+ 10.1 salaries	2	1,0
	Illiterate	4	2,1
Mother's education	Elem. Sc. I/C	129	66,2
	High Sc. I/C	48	24,6
	University I/C	14	7,1

Legend: 0 - 6m - did not receive/use or up to 6 months; 6m - 2y - received/used from 6 months to 2 years; + 2y - received/used for over 2 years; Elem. Sc. I/C - Incomplete or Complete Elementary School; High Sc. I/C - Incomplete or Complete High School; University I/C - Incomplete or Complete university studies (undergraduate studies)

The type of milk feeding was associated to the variables: duration of breastfeeding, duration of artificial feeding, pacifier, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and mother's education.

The duration of breastfeeding was associated in this study to the variables: time of artificial feeding, pacifiers, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and mother's education.

The time of artificial milk feeding was also associated to the variables: time of artificial feeding, pacifiers, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and mother's education.

Table 2 - Distribution of absolute (n) and relative values (%) of the relations between type of milk feeding and the variables duration of breastfeeding, duration of artificial feeding, pacifier use, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and maternal education

		Type of milk feeding			
Variables		Maternal Brestfeeding Only n (%)	Artificial Feeding Only n (%)	Mixed Feeding n (%)	p – value
Duration of	0 - 6m	1 (2,4)**	26 (100,0)**	63 (49,2)	
Maternal	6m – 2y	13 (31,7)	0 (0,0)**	42 (32,8)**	0,000*
Breastfeeding	+ 2y	27 (65,9)**	0 (0,0)**	23 (18,0)**	
Duration of	0 - 6m	41 (100,0)**	0 (0,0)**	2 (1,6)**	
artificial milk	6m – 2y	0 (0,0)*	3 (11,5)	20 (15,6)**	0,000*
feeding	+ 2y	0 (0,0)**	23 (88,5)**	106 (82,8)**	
Pacifier	Present	9 (22,0)**	23 (88,5)**	86 (67,2)**	0,000*
	Absent	32 (78,0)**	3 (11,5)**	42 (32,8)**	
Pacifier period	0 - 6m	32 (78,0)**	4 (15,4)**	47 (36,7)**	0,000*
	6m – 2y	3 (7,4)**	4(15,4)	24 (18,8)**	
	+ 2y	6 (14,6)**	18 (69,2)**	57 (44,5)**	
Finger sucking	Present	3 (7,3)	3 (11,5)	12 (9,4)	0,840
	Absent	38 (92,7)	23 (88,5)	116 (90,6)	
Finger sucking period	0 - 6m	38 (92,7)	24 (92,3)	117 (91,4)	
	6m – 2y	2 (4,9)	0 (0,0)	2 (1,6)	0,483
	+ 2y	1 (2,4)	2 (7,7)	9 (7,0)	
Monthly Family Income	0 - 1 salary	19 (46,3)	16 (61,5)**	50 (39,0)	0,002*
	1.1 - 5 salaries	19 (46,3)	6 (23,1)**	71 (55,5)**	
	5.1 - 10 salaries	3 (7,4)	2 (7,7)	7 (5,5)	
	+ 10.1 salaries	0 (0,0)	2 (7,7)**	0 (0,0)**	
Mother's education	Illiterate	2 (4,9)	1 (3,8)	1 (0,8)	0,602
	Elem. Sc. I/C	29 (70,7)	17 (65,4)	83 (64,9)	
	High Sc. I/C	7 (17,1)	6 (23,1)	35 (27,3)	
	University I/C	3 (7,3)	2 (7,7)	9 (7,0)	

Legend: 0 - 6m - did not receive/use or up to 6 months; 6m - 2y - received/used from 6 months to 2 years; + 2y - received/used for over 2 years; Elem. Sc. I/C - Incomplete or Complete Elementary School; High Sc. I/C - Incomplete or Complete High School; University I/C - Incomplete or Complete university studies (undergraduate studies); \* Significance Level of 1% p<0,01; \*\* Residue Analysis by the Chi-square test.

Rev. CEFAC. 2014 Mai-Jun; 16(3):883-891

Table 3 - Distribution of absolute (n) and relative (%) values of the relations between duration of breastfeeding and the variables duration of artificial feeding, pacifier use, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and maternal education

		Maternal Breastfeeding Duration			
Variables		0 – 6m	6m – 2a	+ 2a	p – value
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Artificial Feeding Duration	0 - 6m	1 (1,1)**	14 (25,5)	28 (56,0)**	
	6m – 2y	12 (13,3)	9 (16,4)	2 (4,0)**	0,000*
	+ 2y	77 (85,6)**	32 (58,1)	20 (40,0)**	
Pacifier	Present	73 (81,1)**	34 (61,8)	11 (22,0)**	0.000*
	Absent	17 (18,9)**	21 (38,2)	39 (78,0)**	0,000*
	0 - 6m	21 (23,3)**	23 (41,8)	39 (78,0)**	
Pacifier period	6m – 2y	18 (20,0)**	10 (18,2)	3 (6,0)**	0,000*
	+ 2y	51 (56,7)**	22 (40,0)	8 (16,0)**	
Finger sucking	Present	9 (10,0)	4 (7,3)	5 (10,0)	0,839
	Absent	81 (90,0)	51 (92,7)	45 (90,0)	
Finger sucking period	0 - 6m	83 (92,2)	51 (92,8)	45 (90,0)	0,352
	6m – 2y	0 (0,0)	2 (3,6)	2 (4,0)	
	+ 2y	7 (7,8)	2 (3,6)	3 (6,0)	
Family Monthly Income	0 - 1 salary	36 (40)	30 (54,5)	19 (38)	
	1.1 - 5 salaries	44 (48,9)	23 (41,8)	29 (58,0)	0,245
	5.1 - 10 salaries	8 (8,9)	2 (3,7)	2 (4,0)	
	+ 10.1 salaries	2 (2,2)	0 (0,0)	0 (0,0)	
Mother's education	Illiterate	1 (1,1)	2 (3,6)	1 (2,0)	0,356
	Elem. Sc. I/C	53 (58,9)	38 (69,1)	38 (76,0)	
	High Sc. I/C	27 (30,0)	12 (21,8)	9 (18,0)	
	University I/C	9 (10,0)	3 (5,5)	2 (4,0)	

 $Legend: 0-6m-did \ not \ receive/use \ or \ up \ to \ 6 \ months; \ 6m-2y-received/used \ from \ 6 \ months \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ 2 \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ to \ years; \ +2y-received/used \ for \ noths \ years \ ye$ over 2 years; Elem. Sc. I/C -Incomplete or Complete Elementary School; High Sc. I/C - Incomplete or Complete High School; University I/C - Incomplete or Complete university studies (undergraduate studies); \* Significance Level of 1% p<0,01; \*\* Residue Analysis by the Chi-square test.

Table 4 - Distribution of absolute (n) and relative (%) values of the relations between the duration of artificial feeding and the variables pacifier use, pacifier period, finger sucking, finger sucking period, family monthly income and maternal education

		Artificial Feeding Duration			
Variables		0 – 6m	6m – 2a	+ 2a	p – value
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Pacifier	Present	9 (20,9)**	18 (78,3)**	91 (70,5)**	0.000*
	Absent	34 (79,1)**	5 (21,7)**	38 (29,5)**	0,000*
Pacifier period	0 - 6m	34 (79,0)**	8 (34,8)	41 (31,8)**	0,000*
	6m – 2y	3 (7,0)**	10 (43,5)**	18 (14,0)**	
	+ 2y	6 (14,0)**	5 (21,7)**	70 (54,2)**	
Finger sucking	Present	3 (7,0)	4 (17,4)	11 (8,5)	0.330
	Absent	40 (93,0)	19 (82,6)	118 (91,5)	0,339
Finger sucking period	0 - 6m	40 (93,0)	19 (82,6)	120 (93,0)	
	6m – 2y	2 (4,7)	1 (4,4)	1 (0,8)	0,194
	+ 2y	1 (2,3)	3 (13,0)	8 (6,2)	
Family Monthly Income	0 - 1 salary	20 (46,5)	11 (47,8)	54 (41,9)	
	1.1 - 5 salaries	20 (46,5)	9 (39,1)	67 (51,9)	0,634
	5.1 - 10 salaries	3 (7,0)	3 (13,0)	6 (4,6)	0,034
	+ 10.1 salaries	0 (0,0)	0 (0,0)	2 (1,6)	
	Illiterate	2 (4,7)	0 (0,0)	2 (1,6)	0.760
Mother's	Elem. Sc. I/C	30 (69,8)	14 (60,9)	85 (65,9)	
education	High Sc. I/C	8 (18,6)	7 (30,4)	33 (25,6)	0,769
	University I/C	3 (7,0)	2 (8,7)	9 (7,0)	

Legend: 0 - 6m - did not receive/use or up to 6 months; 6m - 2y - received/used from 6 months to 2 years; + 2y - received/used for over 2 years; Elem. Sc. I/C - Incomplete or Complete Elementary School; High Sc. I/C - Incomplete or Complete High School; University I/C - Incomplete or Complete university studies (undergraduate studies); \* Significance Level of 1% p<0,01; \*\* Residue Analysis by the Chi-square test.

# DISCUSSION

This research found that there was a prevalence of the mixed type of milk feeding, but it was observed that the use of breastfeeding only was higher than the artificial feeding only (Table 1), this predominance of the mixed feeding was also observed by other authors8.

Regarding the duration of feeding, there was a relation among them. Of the children who received the bottle for a period exceeding two years, the majority did not receive breastfeeding or received it for a short period. On the other hand, children who had a higher offer of breastfeeding had little bottle usage.

Several reasons may lead to the introduction of the bottle, the concern with the babies' nutrition, their crying, opinions that the milk is weak and insufficient<sup>9,10</sup> and lack of information about the benefits of breastfeeding are some of them<sup>11</sup>. Besides these aspects, the end of maternity leave, the mother's return to work, the income and the degree of education<sup>12, 13</sup> are generally related to this moment.

The extended use of bottle-feeding, explicit in this study as artificial feeding, can be verified in this study, since 66.2% of the children used it for over two years (Table 1). It is known that by sucking the breast the milking motion occurs, which favors the proper lip closure and correction of physiological mandibular retrognathia. Besides that, it benefits the correct positioning of the tongue, through the adapting of tonicity due to its intense muscular activity<sup>2,15</sup>. When the bottle is introduced and its use remains for a long period, this movement is impaired, interfering with the development of orofacial functions and structures<sup>16,17</sup>.

Moreover, the introduction of bottles may stimulate the emergence of other deleterious oral habits, since the child does not meet his/her needs of sucking and eventually acquires non-nutritive sucking habits, such as the pacifier or finger sucking<sup>2,3,6</sup>. In this study, the use of the bottle, beyond two years of age, may have been decisive in the presence of the pacifier for most children, which was used for a prolonged period (Table 1). As for finger sucking, a minority of children had this habit, probably because most of them already used the pacifier, satisfying their will for sucking. Thus, even if the finger sucking habit was not common among these children, the majority used the pacifier, which can interfere in the facial and dental arch growth and development, and may negatively influence the morphology of the hard palate and the mobility and tension of the tongue and lips<sup>18</sup>.

With regard to socioeconomic aspects, it was observed that a large part of the families did not have any income or received up to one minimum salary per month. Corroborating these findings, another study analyzing early weaning, showed that the monthly income of most households was up to a minimum salary<sup>19</sup>. This study also found that there was a predominance of mothers with incomplete or complete elementary school, meeting the present research findings. This predominance was also observed in another study<sup>20</sup>.

The high educational level of mothers has been linked to successful maternal breastfeeding<sup>21</sup>, which may be related to their education, as those with high education levels are generally well informed about the benefits of breastfeeding and the losses of the artificial feeding.

Regarding the relations investigated, there was relation between the variables type of milk feeding. breastfeeding and artificial feeding duration among each other; as well as between them and the variables: family income, pacifier and its period.

It was observed, in this study, that children who were breastfed for a short time, as well as those who had extended artificial or mixed feeding, developed the use of the pacifier for a longer time. Those who were breastfed for a longer period and did not have the insertion of the bottle did not develop the pacifier

Maternal breastfeeding, besides feeding the baby, has the function of satisfying the sucking due to the effort that the muscles exert during breastfeeding. The unmet psycho-emotional needs due to inadequate time for breastfeeding and the introduction and extended use of bottles, may lead the child to meet those needs using devices such as pacifiers or their own thumb<sup>3,22</sup>.

Several studies found a relationship between the presence of habits and early weaning, as well as the continuation of artificial feeding 17,23,24, which is in agreement with the results of this study.

When comparing type and duration of breastfeeding with family socioeconomic aspects, it was found that there was no statistical significance, except for the relation between type of milk feeding and family income. It was observed that most of the mothers who offered the bottle, either exclusively or mixed form, were in a range of low monthly income.

Some authors report that mothers belonging to higher social classes, with higher education and more affluent, perform breastfeeding with greater frequency<sup>25</sup> due to easier access to information on the subject. This can be observed in a study with 30 mothers, where those who breastfed their children for longer, were those with more education and higher family monthly income<sup>26</sup>.

#### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that the mixed type of feeding predominated, but it was found that exclusive breastfeeding begins now to appear more than the artificial feeding only, however, when this occurs it remains for an extended period. Furthermore, the type and duration of milk feeding were decisive in acquiring the pacifier habit, and social levels of lower income may be considered predictors for the insertion of other forms of milk feeding, rather than the natural.

# **RESUMO**

Objetivo: caracterizar o tipo e o tempo de aleitamento e verificar a relação entre essas variáveis e os hábitos de sucção e aspectos socioeconômicos familiares. Métodos: aplicou-se questionário aos pais de 195 crianças de cinco anos a oito anos e 11 meses. Coletaram-se dados referentes ao tipo e tempo de aleitamento e aspectos socioeconômicos familiares. Investigou-se também, os hábitos de chupeta e sucção digital. Os dados foram analisados de forma descritiva e estatística, sendo esta por meio do teste Qui-quadrado, considerando nível de significância de 5%. Resultados: verificou-se predomínio do tipo misto de aleitamento, porém a oferta de aleitamento materno superou a do artificial. Houve relação entre tipo de aleitamento e o hábito de chupeta e o tempo da mesma; tempo de aleitamento materno e chupeta e tempo de permanência da mesma; e entre o tempo de aleitamento artificial e chupeta e tempo da mesma. Quanto aos aspectos socioeconômicos, houve significância apenas entre tipo de aleitamento e renda familiar mensal. Conclusão: observou-se que o tipo misto de aleitamento predominou, porém verificou-se que o aleitamento materno exclusivo surge em detrimento do artificial, entretanto, quando o aleitamento artificial ocorre, este permanece por período prolongado. Ainda, o tipo e o tempo de aleitamento foram determinantes para a aquisição do hábito de chupeta e os níveis sociais de renda baixos podem ser considerados preditores da inserção de formas de aleitamento artificial.

DESCRITORES: Aleitamento Materno; Hábitos; Renda; Escolaridade; Criança

### REFERENCES

- 1. Bervian J, Fontana M, Caus B. Relação entre amamentação, desenvolvimento motor e hábitos bucais - revisão de literatura. RFO. 2008;13(2):76-81.
- 2. Neiva FCB, Catonni DM, Ramos JLA, Isller H. Desmame precoce: implicações para desenvolvimento motor-oral. 2003;79(1):7-12.
- 3. Junqueira P. Amamentação, hábitos orais e mastigação: orientações, cuidados e dicas. 3ª ed. Rio de Janeiro: Revinter; 2005. p. 1-3.
- 4. Antunes LS, Antunes LAA, Corvino MPF, Maia LC. Amamentação natural como fonte de prevenção em saúde. Ciência & Saúde Coletiva. 2008;13(1):103-9.
- 5. Sucena LP, Furlan MF. Incidência da utilização de leite materno ordenhado em uma Unidade de Terapia Intensiva neonatal e caracterização dos recém-nascidos. Arq Ciênc Saúde. 2008;15(2):82-9.
- 6. Barbosa C, Schnonberger MB. Importância do aleitamento materno no desenvolvimento da motricidade oral. In: Marchesan IQ, Zorzi JL, Gomes IC, eds. Tópicos em Fonoaudiologia. São Paulo: Lovise; 1996. 435-46.
- 7. Cota JB. Vantagens do aleitamento materno para o desenvolvimento do sistema estomatognático Governador [Monografia]. Valadares (MG): Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais; 2011.
- 8. Albuquerque S SL, Duarte RC, Cavalcanti AL, Beltrão EM. A influência do padrão de aleitamento

- no desenvolvimento de hábitos de sucção não nutritivos na primeira infância. Ciênc. Saúde Colet. 2010;15(2):371-8.
- 9. Vaucher ALI, Durman S. Amamentação: crenças e mitos. Rev Eletr Enf. 2005;07:207-14.
- 10. Parizotto J, Zorzi NT. Aleitamento Materno: fatores que levam ao desmame precoce no município de Passo Fundo, RS. O Mundo da Saúde. 2008;32(4):466-74.
- 11. Czernay APC, Bosco VL. A introdução precoce e o uso prolongado da mamadeira: ainda uma realidade. J Bras Odontopediatr Odontol Bebê. 2003;6(30):138-44.
- 12. Faleiros FTV, Trezza EMC, Carandina L. Aleitamento materno: fatores de influência na sua decisão e duração. Rev. de Nutrição. 2006;19(5):623-30.
- 13. Del Ciampo LA, Ferraz IS, Daneluzzi JC, Ricco RG, Junior CEM. Aleitamento materno exclusivo: do discurso à prática. Pediatria. 2008;30(1):22-6.
- 14. IBGE. Censo Demográfico e Contagem da População. 2000. Acesso em: 30 out. 2010. em:<http://www.sidra.ibge.gov.br/bda/ Disponível tabela/listabl.asp?z=cd&o=11&i=P&c=2903>.
- 15. Periotto MC. Amamentação e Desenvolvimento do Sistema Estomatognático. In: Hitos SF, Periotto MC. Amamentação - Atuação Fonoaudiológica -Uma Abordagem Prática e Atual. Rio de Janeiro: Revinter, 2009. p.21-49.
- 16. Carrascoza KC, Possobon RF, Tomita LM, Moraes ABA. Consequências do uso da mamadeira

- para o desenvolvimento orofacial em crianças inicialmente amamentadas ao peito . J Pediatr. 2006;82(5):395-7.
- 17. Medeiros APM. Ferreira JTL. Felício CM. Correlação entre métodos de aleitamento, hábitos de sucção e comportamentos orofaciais. Pró-Fono Rev Atual Cient. 2009;21(4):315-9.
- 18. Gomes ICD, Proença MG, Limongi SCO. Temas em Fonoaudiologia. 9ªed. São Paulo: Ed Loyola; 2002.
- 19. Tabai KC, Carvalho JF, Salay E. Aleitamento materno e a prática de desmame em duas comunidades rurais de Piracicaba-SP. Nutr. 1998; 11(2): 173-83.
- 20. Horta BL, Victora CG, Gigante DP, Santos J, Barros FC. Duração da amamentação em duas gerações. Rev. Saúde Pública. 2007;41(1):13-8.
- 21. Lisa WK, Britto M, Decolongon J, Schoettker PJ, Atherton H, Kotagal UR. Health system factors contributing to breastfeeding success. Paediatrcs. 1999;104(3):27-8.
- 22. Zuanon ACC, Oliveira MF, Giro EMA, Maia JP. Influência da amamentação natural e artificial

- no desenvolvimento de hábitos bucais. J. Bras. Odontopediatr. Odontol. Bebe. 2000;2(8):303-6.
- 23. Tomita NE, Sheiham A, Bijella VT, Franco LJ. Relação entre determinantes sócio-econômicos e hábitos bucais de risco para más-oclusões em pré-escolares. Pesa. Odont. Bras. 2000;14(2):169-75.
- 24. Souza DFRK, Valle MAS, Pacheco MCT. Relação clínica entre hábitos de sucção, má oclusão, aleitamento e grau de informação prévia das mães. R Dental Press Ortodon Ortop Facial. 2004;11(6):81-90.
- 25. Shepherd CK, Power KG, Carter H. Examining the correspondence of breastfeeding and bottlefeeding couples' infant feeding attitudes. J Adv Nurs. 2000;31(3):651-60.
- 26. Osório CM, Queiroz ABA. Representações sociais de mulheres sobre a amamentação: teste de associação livre de idéias acerca da interrupção precoce do aleitamento materno exclusivo. Esc. Anna Nery. 2007;11(2):261-7.

Received on: April 25, 2012 Accepted on: August 31, 2012

Mailing address: Aline Prade Neu Rua Doutor Bozano, nº 1094/06 - Centro Santa Maria - RS CEP: 97015-002

E-mail: alineprade@gmail.com