

Rev. CEFAC, 2016 Majo-Jun; 18(3):649-655

doi: 10.1590/1982-0216201618312115

# **Original articles**

# Vocal self-assessment of women in menopause

Autoavaliação vocal de mulheres na menopausa

Bianca Natani Basilio<sup>(1)</sup> Vanessa Veis Ribeiro<sup>(2)</sup> Eliane Cristina Pereira<sup>(3)</sup> Ana Paula Dassie Leite<sup>(1)</sup>

- (1) Departamento de Fonoaudiologia da Universidade Estadual do Centro-Oeste, Irati, Paraná, Brasil.
- (2) Faculdade de Odontologia de Bauru, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP Brasil
- (3) Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, PR, Brasil.

Conflict of interest: non-existent

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Purpose:** to analyze the data of vocal self-assessment of menopausal women and compare them to those obtained by a control group.

**Methods:** cross-sectional, observational and analytical study in which 42 women aged between 19 and 60 years, divided into control group (21 women in reproductive age) and study group (21 women in menopause). Each participant answered a questionnaire developed by the researchers, which contained data on: general health, treatments carried out, smoking, alcohol use, professional use of voice and gyne-cological data, and vocal self-assessment protocols Voice Symptom Scale, Voice Handicap Index and Voice-Related Quality of Life. Data were analyzed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney and Pearson correlation, considering the significance level of 5%.

**Results:** the study group had significantly higher levels in the functional domain of the Voice Handicap Index and significantly lower in the social-emotional domain Voice-Related Quality of Life. Nevertheless, the scores of these domains were as expected for healthy voices. There was no correlation between the results of vocal self-assessment and the time when women stopped menstruating.

**Conclusion:** it appears that women in menopause, despite having differences with regard to women in reproductive age as the vocal self-assessment, present symptoms, quality of life in voice and voice handicap compatible with those presented by individuals with healthy voices.

Keywords: Health Evaluation; Menopause; Quality of Life; Voice

#### **RESUMO**

**Objetivo:** analisar os dados da autoavaliação vocal de mulheres na menopausa e compará-los aos obtidos por um grupo controle.

**Métodos:** estudo transversal, observacional e analítico no qual participaram 42 mulheres com idades entre 19 e 60 anos, divididas em grupo controle (21 mulheres na menacme) e grupo de estudo (21 mulheres na menopausa). Cada participante respondeu a um questionário elaborado pelas pesquisadoras, que continha dados referentes a: saúde geral, tratamentos realizados, tabagismo, etilismo, utilização profissional da voz e dados ginecológicos, e aos protocolos de autoavaliação vocal Escala de Sintomas Vocais, Índice de Desvantagem Vocal e Qualidade de Vida em Voz. Os dados foram analisados por meio dos testes não-paramétricos Mann-Whitney e Correlação de Pearson, considerando-se o nível de significância de 5%.

**Resultados:** o grupo estudo apresentou índices significantemente maiores no domínio funcional do Índice de Desvantagem Vocal e significantemente menores no domínio socioemocional de Qualidade de Vida em Voz. Apesar disso, os escores desses domínios estavam dentro do esperado para vozes saudáveis. Não houve correlação entre os resultados da autoavaliação vocal e o tempo em que as mulheres pararam de menstruar.

**Conclusão:** conclui-se que mulheres na menopausa, apesar de apresentarem diferenças em relação às mulheres na menacme quanto à autoavaliação vocal, apresentam sintomatologia, qualidade de vida em voz e desvantagem vocal compatível com as apresentadas por indivíduos com vozes saudáveis.

Descritores: Avaliação em Saúde; Menopausa; Qualidade de Vida; Voz

Received on: July 30, 2015 Accepted on: November 10, 2015

#### Mailing address:

Amania adarie Basilio
R. Professor Assis Gonçalves nº 1429 –
Água Verde
Edificio Germano Brunatto – 4º andar –
Apto 42
Curitiba – PR – Brasil
CFP: 80620-250

E-mail: bianca.nbasilio@gmail.com

## INTRODUCTION

Brazil's life expectancy is progressively increasing, but researches show that male mortality rates are bigger than female rate<sup>1,2</sup>. This data may be the reason women search more medical care, yet it does not mean women enjoy better life conditions<sup>1,3</sup>.

Therefore, the interest in researching the relation between women's health and quality of life increases, since they may live more than one third of life after menopause<sup>4,5</sup>.

Menopause has been experienced more with the women population in expansion in the country<sup>6</sup>. It is considered an important mark in woman's life because menopause promotes significant changes in biologic, social and emotional scope and may also cause some general and vocal symptoms<sup>7,8</sup>.

Women's life may be divided in some phases as childhood, puberty, menacme, and climacteric9. Menacme starts in the first period, when the reproductive phase starts, and goes up to the last period, known as menopause, after one year of hormone amenorrhea<sup>9,10</sup>. Climacteric is characterized by the decrease of ovarian function and hormone production, closing the reproductive phase in women<sup>11</sup>. Climacteric may be divided in phases as perimenopause, the decrease of fertility reaching menopause, and postmenopausal, all the time after the last period<sup>9,10</sup>.

Voice disturbances may occur in this phase as the decrease in fundamental frequency, vital capacity, loudness, and voice extension, with difficulty to reach high notes, decreasing pitch, roughness voice quality, and the presence of noise in acoustic assessment<sup>12-15</sup>.

Voice changes may occur in this period, and may influence women quality of life, however, the way each woman will face the period will depend on the psychologic and socio-cultural contexts<sup>13,16</sup>.

Therefore, it is necessary to understand the transformation due to voice change in menopause in women daily life and its relation to quality of life4.

The health sciences started to value the subject perception in assessment and treatment by the World Health Organization definition of health in 1997, allowing, in voice attendance by speech-language pathology, the analysis of voice impact in subject's quality of life, taking the individual perception about physical, psychologic and social state<sup>17-19</sup>. These data are interesting in voice clinic, once the objective assessment provide data about pathology and allow to plan the treatment, but do not allow the investigation

under the patient point of view which are important to patient's adherence and treatment success<sup>20-25</sup>.

Therefore, the voice self-assessment description and analysis are important to the specialist to guide the clinic, and to promote voice and quality of life actions during this phase of women's life, based on scientific data.

Thus, the purpose of the current study is to analyze women in menopause voice self-assessment data and to compare it to the control group.

## **METHODS**

This is a cross-sectional, observational and analytical study approved by the Ethics in Research Committee of Universidade Estadual do Centro-Oeste, protocol number 777248. The responsible of Municipal Health Cabinet of the city in which the research was carried out was informed about the research and signed the statement of consent. The subjects with interest in participate received the needed information and signed the informed consent.

Target population of the study was woman seeking for attendance in Municipal Gynecology Services in Paraná state countryside during the second semester of 2014. The women were divided in two groups: Study Group (SG) - with women in menopause, and Control Group (CG) - with women in menacme (women with regular menstruation cycles). Inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined in order to compose the sample.

Inclusion criterion to SG was: to report menopause without menstruation periods for one year. To CG the criteria were: to report menacme and regular menstruation cycles. Inclusion criteria to both groups were: woman, age from 19 to 60 years, in order to exclude the voice change period and possible structure modifications due to aging, and signing the informed consent.

Exclusion criteria to both groups were: to have evidence of neurologic, syndromic, metabolic, and/or psychiatric diseases in clinic history; larynx pathologies or dysfunction, larynx surgery, and/or any surgery procedure in head and neck; smoking or alcoholism; to have previous voice treatment with speechlanguage pathology or otolaryngologist or any hearing dysfunction; to have allergy, breathing or stomach crises; hormonal dysfunction due to pregnancy or menstrual cycle at the day of the assessment; to use voice professionally, cause the voice disturbances might be due to voice misuse in professional activity. Were also excluded in SG the subjects in hormone therapy for menopause. To apply the inclusion and exclusion criteria a questionnaire was addressed to the participants with identification, general health, previous treatments, smoking and alcoholism, use of professional voice and gynecologic data.

94 women passed by the selection of SG, 18 were excluded for smoking; 17 for age range, 7 for using voice professionally, four due to hormone therapy, two for breathing disorders, one for previous voice treatment, one for head and neck surgery, and two for incomplete data. After applying the selection criteria to SG and stablishing the number of participants, the convenience sampling of CG was performed, pairing the number of subjects. Age pairing was not possible once the CG participants were younger than SG.

Therefore, the final sample was 42 women, 21 in SG (mean age 53.66 years) and 21 in CG (mean age 42.47 years), without any significant difference between the participants' age in two groups (p=0.694).

Data collect was addressing the voice selfassessment questionnaires: Voice Symptoms Scale (VoiSS), Voice Handicap Index (VHI), and Voice-Related Quality of Life (V-RQOL), and also the identification questionnaire.

The self-assessment questionnaires were introduced to the subjects individually, and they received instructions to answer it. The researchers were at disposal to clarify any doubt during the process. All the questionnaires were addressed in the waiting room of Health Basic Unit while women waited for attendance.

The identification questionnaire, made by the researchers, had descriptive and objective questions about identification, general health, previous treatment, smoking and alcoholism, voice professional use, and gynecologic data. The questionnaire final data was used to selection criteria.

The first questionnaire addressed was VoiSS. The questionnaire has 30 questions that are filled according to the occurrence: never (zero), occasionally (one point), some of the time (two points), most of the time (three points), and always (four points). The maximum total score is 120 points calculated by simple summation<sup>26,27</sup>. The scale has three domains: a. Impairment (cutoff 11.5) with fifteen guestions ("Is your voice hoarse?" / "Do you lose your voice?"); b. Emotional (cutoff 1.5) with eight questions ("Are you

embarrassed by your voice problem?" / "Do people seem irritated by your voice?"; and c. Physical (cutoff 6.5) with seven questions ("Do you cough or clean your throat?" / "Is your throat sore?"). Each domain has a maximum total score: 60 for impairment, 32 to emotional, and 28 to physical.

The second questionnaire addressed was VHI that has 30 questions. Punctuation may vary from zero (never) to four (always) and the calculation is done by simple summation with maximum score of 120 points<sup>28,29</sup>. This questionnaire has three subscales: emotional (cutoff 3.0), functional (cutoff 7.5), and organic (cutoff 10.5) each one has ten questions<sup>24</sup>.

V-RQOL was the last questionnaire addressed. It has ten questions and punctuation vary from zero (never) to four points (always). The calculation is performed by specific algorithm and maximum score is 100 points<sup>28,30</sup>. The questionnaire has two domains: physical (cutoff 89.60) ("I run out of breath and need to take frequent breaths when talking" / "I do not know what will come out when I begin speaking") and the socio-emotional (cutoff 65) ("I am anxious or frustrated" / "I avoid going out socially"), more the total score. The expected score to people without voice complaint is above 91.25 points<sup>24</sup>.

The data were analyzed descriptive and statistically using non-parametric tests of Mann-Whitney and Pearson Correlation. The significance level was 5%.

# **RESULTS**

Table 1 show women in menopause have voice handicap in functional domain (p=0.028) and significant higher values than control group in voice symptoms total domain. In addition, the socio-emotional domain scores of V-RQOL were significantly lower in study group than control group (p=0.018).

Table 2 show the exclusive analysis of SG without any correlation between the duration of time women in SG stopped menstruating and the voice selfassessment questionnaires' domains - VoiSS, VHI, and V-RQOL.

Table 1. Comparison of self-assessment questionnaires domains between study and control groups

Questionnaire	Domain	Group	n	Mean	Median	SD	p-value
	Impairment	GC	21	2.14	3	1.93	0.059
		GE	21	9.00	0	1.93	
	Dhygiaal	GC	21	1.52	3	0.71	0.099
VoiSS	Physical	GE	21	3.71	1	0.71	บ.บฮฮ
VUISS	Emotional	GC	21	0.04	0	0.67	0.068
	EIIIUUUIII	GE	21	1.76	0	0.67	
	Total	GC	21	3.76	7	3.04	0.045*
	Total	GE	21	14.47	1	3.04	0.045"
	Emotional	GC 21	21	0.00	0	0.69	0.075
	EIIIUUUIIAI	GE	21	1.66	0	0.69	
	Functional	GC	21	0.19	0	0.86	0.028*
VHI	runctional	GE	21	2.40	0	0.86	0.020
VIII	Organic	GC	21	0.72	0	0.92	0.835
		GE	21	3.12	0	0.92	
	Total	GC	21	0.92	0	2.43	0.576
	IUIAI	GE	21	7.19	0 2.43	0.576	
	cocio omotional	GC	21	100.00	100	1.91	0.018*
	socio-emotional	GE	21	94.45	100	1.91	0.010
V-RQ0L	Dhyeio	GC	21	96.95	96.95 100 2.52	0.618	
V-NUUL	Physic	GE	21	91.64	100	2.52	0.010
	Total	GC	21	98.11	100	1.81	0.887
		GE	21	95.3	100	1.81	

<sup>\*</sup> Significant value (p  $\leq$  0.05) – "Mann-Whitney" Test.

Subtitle: SG= study group; CG=control group; n=number of subjects; SD=standard deviation; VoiSS=voice symptoms scale; VHI=voice handicap index; V-RQOL=voice-related quality of life.

Table 2. correlation between menopause duration and voice self-assessment questionnaires domains

Questionnaires	Domains -	Duration of menopause		
Questioilliaires	Dulliallis	corr	p-value	
	Impairment	-0.208343	0.364	
Va:00	Physical	-0.401490	0.071	
VoiSS	Emotional	0.335410	0.137	
	Total	-0.318916	0.158	
	Emotional	-0.288732	0.334	
\/I II	Functional	-0.343325	0.127	
VHI	Organic	-0.288732	0.204	
	Total	-0.321094	0.155	
	Socio-emotional	0.103025	0.271	
V-RQ0L	Physical	0.303065	0.181	
	Total	0.298774	0.188	

Experimental group analysis only.

\* Significant values ( $p \le 0.05$ ) – Pearson's correlation test **Subtitles**: corr= correlation coefficient; VoiSS= Voice symptom scale; VHI= voice handicap index; V-RQOL= voice-related quality of life.

# DISCUSSION

Voice symptoms self-assessment showed the score of SG significantly higher in total domain than CG (Table 1).

There is an increase in follicle-stimulation hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH) in women during climacteric causing changes in male hormones secretions and increase in androgens secretions31, and are responsible for the several physic changes, inclusive in larynx<sup>13</sup>. These physiologic changes occurs in LP layers in climacteric resulting in the increase of mass and thickening the vocal folds, atrophying the vocal muscle, and stiffening the larynx cartilages<sup>13</sup>, which may cause pneumo-phono-articulation incoordination and decrease of vocal extension<sup>12-14,32</sup>.

These changes in larynx may be the responsible for the voice symptoms starting in climacteric and present during menopause. Literature shows the main voice symptoms reported by menopausal women are vocal fatigue, difficulty to reach high notes, difficulty to talk loud, and hoarseness12,13,32,33.

In the current study, the difference found in the group comparison show in the study group the women in menopause have more symptoms than the ones in menacme, probably due to physiologic changes in larynx.

As consequence of significantly higher voice symptoms in SG it was observed they had more handicaps in functional domain of VHI and lower socioemotional score in V-RQOL than CG (Table 1).

Researches using closed questionnaires to selfassessment of the impact of dysphonia on daily life in menopausal women were not found in literature.

Regarding studies with similar population, one analyzed 106 adult women divided in two groups, 46 women still having ovarian function (G1), and 60 women without ovarian function (G2), using voice perceptual analysis (GRBASI scale), vowels and fricative consonants maximum phonation time, acoustic analysis (Voxmetria software), V-RQOL questionnaire and voice self-classification. G2 showed significantly higher levels of general grade of dysphonia, roughness, strain and instability, lower fundamental frequency and /s/ MPT, but, there was no difference in quality of life scores and most of G2 subjects classified their voices as pleasant. The authors concluded the absence of ovarian function cause some voice changes, however, it did not affect the voice-related quality of life of those women<sup>34</sup>. The found results are diverse from the present study

because the scores of V-RQOL were significantly lower in menopausal women.

Voice handicap index, in functional domain, is related to the voice symptoms perception and the disturbances in voice behavior caused by the physiologic changes in vocal fold mucosa. The research analyzing 32 women after menopause using hormone therapy to replace estrogen, nasal (11 women) and oral (12 women), and a control group (nine women), showed significant decrease in VHI functional score after nasal therapy, attributed by the authors to the decrease of voice perceptual analysis of roughness and hoarseness, and the presence of voice complaint<sup>35</sup>, which corroborated the found in the present study.

Nevertheless, literature indicates one of the limitations imposed by voice disorder is the subjects do not perceive their voices at the same way, regardless of having the same diagnose<sup>24</sup>.

A recent study sought to obtain the cutoff values to determine the presence of dysphonia of the voice self-assessment guestionnaires VoiSS, VHI, V-RQOL and Vocal Performance Questionnaire. Therefore, the questionnaires were addressed to 975 adult subjects, 468 with dysphonia, and 489 vocally health. The ROC curve showed the more efficient questionnaires were VoiSS and VHI, with cutoff values for vocally health subjects lower than 16 point in VoiSS, lower than 19 points in VHI, and higher than 91.25 point in V-RQOL<sup>24</sup>.

Hence the differences between the SG and CG regarding the voice self-assessment in the current study (Table 1), the obtained scores in VoiSS, VHI and V-RQOL are within the expected to health voices24. Therefore, the menopausal women studied, despite the difference with the women in menacme, are probably due to the physiologic changes during climacteric and do not have big impact in daily life. Still, it is not possible to affirm the participants of the study to have or not dysphonia based on self-assessment, without the proper voice and laryngoscope evaluation, because there is not a direct and strong correlation between the voice clinic evaluation and patient's perception<sup>24</sup>.

Despite the subjectivity of impairment or handicap perception due to voice disorder, studies analyzing subjects with and without dysphonia show subjects using voice professionally<sup>24,36</sup> or having already done treatment to voice disorders<sup>26</sup> usually have more perception of the voice disorder impact on daily life. Therefore, the scores according to the expected to vocally health subjects, regardless the difference between groups, may occurred because the subjects using voice professionally or previously had voice treatment were excluded from the sample, and the participants do not have high voice load.

The results show there is no correlation between the duration of menopause in SG and the voice selfassessment scores (Table 2). Literature points out the physiologic voice changes are progressive and do not negatively impact the quality of life related to voice in subjects that do not have high voice load<sup>37</sup>.

There was limitation in the current study regarding the size and selection of sample, and the division based on self-reported data. Random and controlled studies comparing women in menacme, climacteric and menopause and relating the self-assessment data to the voice clinic evaluation, and also the analysis of menopause women in hormone therapy are recommended in order to confirm the present data.

# CONCLUSION

Menopause women have more voice symptoms in total domain, higher voice handicap in functional domain, and lower score in socio-emotional domain in Voice-Related quality of voice questionnaire than women in menacme have. But, the scores found are expected to health voices subjects.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Santos JS, Fialho AVM, Rodrigues DP. Influências das famílias no cuidado às mulheres climatéricas. Rev Eletr Enf. 2013;15(1):215-22.
- 2. Luizaga CTM, Gotlieb SLD. Mortalidade masculina em três capitais brasileiras. Rev Bras Epidemiol. 2013;16(1):87-99.
- 3. Gallon CW, Wender MC. Osório. Estado nutricional e qualidade de vida da mulher climatérica. Rev Bras Ginecol Obstet. 2012;34(4):175-83.
- 4. Berlezi EM, Bern AD, Antonello C, Leite MT, Bertolo EB. Incontinência Urinária em mulheres no período pós-menopausa: um problema de saúde pública. Rev Bras Geriatr Gerontol. 2009;12(2):159-73.
- 5. Tairova OS, De Lorenzi DRS. Influência do exercício físico na qualidade de vida de mulheres na pós-menopausa: um estudo caso-controle. Rev Bras Geriat Gerontol. 2011;14(1):135-45.
- 6. Araujo IA, Queiroz ABA, Moura MAV, Penna LHG. Representações sociais da vida sexual de mulheres no climatério atendidas em serviços públicos de saúde. Texto contexto – enferm. 2013;22(1):114-22.

- JS, 7. Miranda Ferreira MLS, Corrente JE. Qualidade de vida em mulheres no climatério atendidas na Atenção Primária. Rev Bras Enferm. 2014;67(5):803-9.
- 8. Feltrin RB, Velho LMLS. Entre o campo e o laboratório: a dinâmica de produção de conhecimento no Ambulatório de Menopausa do Caism/Unicamp. His Ciênc Saúde-Manguinhos. 2014;21(4):1283-300.
- 9. Leal TB, Rocha LS, De Queiroz MM, Campos MCC, Gontijo BG. Barral ABCR. Risco cardiovascular mulheres na pós-menopausa. Multidisciplinar das FIPMoc. 2014;12(20):51-7.
- 10. Valença CN, Germano RM. Concepções de mulheres sobre a menopausa e climatério. Rev Rene. 2010;11(1):161-71.
- 11. Lanferdini IIZ, Portella MR. Significado do climatério para a mulher octogenária rural. Estud Interdiscipl Envelhec. 2014;19(1):173-88.
- 12. Magno LCL. A voz na menopausa [monografia]. Porto Alegre (RS): Centro de Especialização em Fonoaudiologia Clínica - CEFAC; 1999.
- 13. Machado MADP, Aldrighi JM Ferreira LP. Os sentidos atribuídos à voz por mulheres após a menopausa. Rev Saúde Pública. 2005;39(2):261-9.
- 14. Meurer ME, Wender MCO, Corleta HVE, Capp E. Voz e fala no menacme e na pós menopausa. Rev Bras Saúde Mater Infant. 2004;4(3):281-6.
- 15. Raj A, Gupta B, Chowdhury A, Chadha S. A study of voice changes in various phases of menstrual cycle and in postmenopausal women. J Voice. 2010;24(3):363-8.
- 16. Ferreira VN, Chinelato RSC, Castro MR, Ferreira MEC. Menopausa: marco biopsicossocial do envelhecimento feminino. Psicologia & Sociedade. 2013;25(2):410-9.
- 17. Morais EPG, Azevedo RR, Chiari BM. Correlação entre voz, autoavaliação vocal e qualidade de vida em voz de professoras. Rev CEFAC. 2012;14(5):892-900.
- 18. Gomes JCP, Burns GF, Coelho GF, Costa PN, Aroeira KP, Endringer DC. Estudo comparativo entre hábitos vocais, sedentarismo e qualidade de vida em idosos frequentadores da Unidade de Saúde Vila Nova. Espaç Saúde. 2013;14(1-2):18-28.
- 19. World Health Organization. Programme on Mental Health: WHOQOL, Measuring Quality of Life. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997. p.1-13. Disponível em: http://www.who.int/mental health/ media/68.pdf. Acesso em: 20 jul. 2014.

- 20. Paoliello K, Oliveira G, Behlau M. Desvantagem vocal no canto mapeado por diferentes protocolos de autoavaliação. CoDAS. 2013;25(5):463-8.
- 21. Ugulino AC, Oliveira G, Behlau M. Disfonia na percepção do clínico e do paciente. J Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2012;24(2):113-8.
- 22. Costa ÉBM, Pernambuco L. A. Autoavaliação vocal e avaliação perceptivo-auditiva da voz em mulheres com doença tireoidiana. Rev CEFAC. 2014;16(3):967-73.
- 23. Bastilha GR., Lima JPM, Cielo CA. Influência do sexo, idade, profissão e diagnóstico fonoaudiológico na qualidade de vida em voz. Rev CEFAC. 2014;16(6):1900-8.
- 24. Behlau M, Madazio G, Moreti F, Oliveira G, Santos LMA. Paulinelli BR. Couto Junior EB. Efficiency and cutoff values of self-assessment instruments on the impact of a voice problem. J Voice. 2015 [ahead of print].
- 25. Ricarte A, Oliveira G, Behlau M. Validação do protocolo Perfil e Participação em Atividades Vocais no Brasil. CoDAS. 2012;25(15):242-9.
- 26. Moreti F, Zambon F, Oliveira G, Behlau M. Adaptação transcultural da versão brasileira da Voice Symptom Scale: VoiSS. J Soc Bras Fonoaudiol. 2011;23(4):398-400.
- 27. Moreti F, Zambon F, Oliveira G, Behlau M. Crosscultural adaptation, validation, and cutoff values of the brazilian version of the voice symptom scale -VoiSS. J Voice. 2014;28(4):458-68.
- 28. Behlau M, Oliveira G, Santos LMA, Ricarte A. Validação no Brasil de protocolos de autoavaliação do impacto de uma disfonia. Pró-Fono R Atual Cient. 2009;21(4):326-32.
- 29. Behlau M, Santos LMA, Oliveira G. Cross Cultural adaptation and validation of the Voice Handicap Index into Brazilian Portuguese. J Voice. 2009;25(3):354-9.
- 30. Gasparini G, Behlau M. Quality of life: validation of the Brazilian version of the Voice-Related Quality of Life (V-RQOL) measure. J Voice. 2009;23(1):76-81.
- 31. Behlau M, Rehder MI, Valente O. Disfonias endócrinas. In: Behlau M (Org). Voz. O livro do especialista. 2nd ed. Rio de Janeiro: Revinter; 2005. p. 51-78.
- 32. Tonisi GBR. Os efeitos do climatério na frequência fundamental [monografia]. São Paulo (SP): Centro de Especialização em Fonoaudiologia Clínica -CEFAC; 1998.

- 33. Meurer ME, Wender MCO, Corleta HVE, Capp E. Female suprasegmental speech parameters in reproductive age postmenopause. Maturitas. 2004;48(1):71-7.
- 34. Ferraz PRR, Bertoldo SV, Costa LGM, Serra ECN, Silva EM, Brito LMO et al. Vocal parameters and voice-related quality of life in adult women with and without ovarian function. J Voice. 2013;27(3):355-60.
- 35. Firat Y, Engin-Ustun Y, Kizilay A, Ustun Y, Akarcay M, Selimoglu E et al. Effect of intranasal estrogen on vocal quality. J Voice. 2009;23(6):716-20.
- 36. Leite APD, Carnevale LB, Rocha HL, Pereira CA, Lacerda Filho L. Relação entre autoavaliação vocal e dados da avaliação clínica em indivíduos disfônicos. Rev CEFAC. 2015;17(1):44-51.
- 37. Mendes-Laureano J, Sá MFS, Ferriani RA. Comparison of fundamental voice frequency between menopausal women and women at menacme. Maturitas. 2006;55:195-9.