## The critical behavior of the BCS order parameter: a straightforward derivation

Roland Köberle<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade de São Paulo, Departamento de Física, São Carlos, SP, Brasil.

Received on April 6, 2021. Revised on May 3, 2021. Accepted on May 4, 2021.

Textbooks on Solid State Physics, such as [1–3], include a mandatory chapter on Superconductivity. Usually the basic item to start with is the famous Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer model (BCS)[4]. One of the main results concerns the way in which the superconducting order parameter  $\Delta(T)$  vanishes at the critical temperature  $T_c$ , namely as

$$\Delta(T) \sim B(T_c - T)^{1/2} \tag{1}$$

where the prefactor B is a non-universal coefficient and the exponent has the classical value  $\alpha = 1/2^1$ .

Then one may read that this is a standard result for any mean-field theory, although the student may wonder, why it does not follow straightforwardly from the model?

Yet in the literature this outstandingly simple statement is obtained in a rather roundabout manner. Furthermore  $\alpha$  and B are computed only in the weakcoupling limit  $\hbar\omega_D \gg k_B T_c$ , where  $\omega_D$  is the Debye frequency. This is certainly an aesthetically not very pleasing situation and I doubt the student really wants to grind through the approximations just to get this simple result.

The following lines show a little trick straightening out this situation. It will hopefully find its way to the textbooks.

In the BCS theory the order-parameter  $\Delta(T)$  satisfies the non-linear integral equation<sup>2</sup>

$$1 = g \int_0^{\hbar\omega_D} d\epsilon \frac{\tanh\left(\frac{\beta E}{2}\right)}{2E},\tag{2}$$

with  $E = \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}$ ,  $\beta = 1/k_B T$  and g is some coupling constant.

We extract the critical behavior of the order parameter straightforwardly and without approximations. For this purpose we choose  $\Delta$  to be real and parametrize it as

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\beta) = a \left(\frac{\beta - \beta_c}{\beta_c}\right)^{\alpha}; \ \beta \sim \beta_c.$$
(3)

This yields for the derivative  $\partial_{\beta} \Delta^2 \equiv \frac{\partial \Delta^2}{\partial \beta}$ :

$$\lim_{T \to T_c} \partial_{\beta} \mathbf{\Delta}^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \alpha > 1/2 \\ a^2/\beta_c & \alpha = 1/2 \\ \infty & \alpha < 1/2 \end{cases}$$
(4)

The non-linear integral equation (2) for the order parameter has the solution  $\Delta(\beta, \omega_D, g)$ , depending on three parameters. Substituting this solution into equation (2) yields an identity. Differentiating this identity with respect to  $\beta$  easily yields the following relation

$$\partial_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\Delta}^{2}(\beta, \omega_{D}, g) = \frac{\int_{0}^{\hbar\omega_{D}} \frac{d\epsilon}{\cosh^{2}\frac{\beta E}{2}}}{\int_{0}^{\hbar\omega_{D}} \frac{d\epsilon}{E^{3}} \left(\tanh\frac{\beta E}{2} - \frac{\beta E}{2\cosh^{2}\frac{\beta E}{2}}\right)}.$$
(5)

Taking the limit  $T \to T_c, \Delta \to 0$ , we obtain

$$0 < a^2 = \frac{2(k_B T_c)^2 \tanh \frac{\hbar \omega_D \beta_c}{2}}{\int_0^{\hbar \omega_D \beta_c} \frac{dx}{x^3} \left( \tanh \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x}{2\cosh^2 \frac{x}{2}} \right)} < \infty$$
(6)

implying  $\alpha = 1/2$ . Notice that the above integrand is finite at x = 0.

As illustration we evaluate the integral for  $\hbar\omega_D\beta_c = 10$ to get

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}(T) = 3.10 \cdot k_B T_c \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ T \lesssim T_c.$$
(7)

## References

 A.L. Fetter and J.D. Walecka, *Quantum Theory* of *Many-Particle Systems* (McGraw-Hill Company, New York, 1971).

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence email address: rk@ifsc.usp.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Although traditionally the exponent is referred to as  $\beta = 1/2$ , we use  $\alpha$  to avoid confusions with  $\beta = 1/k_BT$ 

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  See e.g [3] equation (23.20) or [2] equation (6.28).

- [2] A. Atland and B. Simon, Condensed Matter Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2010).
- [3] E.C. Marino, Quantum Field Theory Approach to Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017).
- [4] J. Bardeen, L.N. Cooper and J.R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev. 106, 162 (1957).