

## 3.0-tesla magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of postmenopausal osteoporosis: are technological advances capable of replacing bone densitometry?

Flavia Martins Costa<sup>1</sup>

By 2050, approximately 22% of the world's population is expected to over 60 years of age. The increase in life expectancy and consequent aging of the population have led to a higher prevalence of chronic non-contagious diseases, which are now considered to constitute a new "epidemic"<sup>(1)</sup>. One of the chronic non-contagious diseases that represents a risk to the health of the elderly population is osteoporosis<sup>(1,2)</sup>.

Osteoporosis is a metabolic bone disease that is prevalent in the elderly, predominantly in postmenopausal females; the resulting bone loss facilitates the occurrence of osteoporotic fractures, which have a great impact on quality of life, causing a significant loss of functionality, as well as increasing morbidity and mortality<sup>(3)</sup>. Given the multifactorial nature of the disease, prevention and early diagnosis are the greatest allies for healthy aging. Bone densitometry plays a decisive role in the screening and monitoring of individuals at risk for osteoporosis.

Since 1993, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) has been recommended by the World Health Organization as the method of choice for the quantification of bone mass, being used for the diagnosis and therapeutic follow-up of osteoporosis<sup>(4)</sup>. Since 2014, DEXA has been the diagnostic method of choice in Brazil because it is noninvasive, uses extremely low doses of radiation, provides an accurate assessment of fracture risk, and is the most suitable means available for evaluating individuals at risk of developing osteoporosis<sup>(5-8)</sup>. However, despite the fact that DEXA is an established method in the management of osteoporosis, a question arises<sup>(9)</sup>: Is there a revolutionary high-tech examination that does not involve the use of ionizing radiation, is accessible, is replicable, and is capable of replacing densitometry? Trentadue et al.<sup>(10)</sup> conducted advanced research using 3.0-tesla magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and apparent diffusion coefficient mapping, as a means of screening for and diagnosing osteoporosis in postmenopausal patients.

A 3.0-tesla MRI scanner employs the strongest magnetic field allowed in humans, with advanced technology, excellent multiplanar capacity capable of generating high-resolution images and high tissue contrast, thus enabling accurate diagnoses of numerous conditions involving the central nervous system, the cardiovascular system, the abdominal/pelvic organs, and the musculoskeletal system, especially the bone marrow<sup>(11)</sup>.

Although DWI is the method of choice for bone marrow assessment, there are numerous factors that influence the appearance of the bone marrow, including age, metabolic diseases, anemia, hematopoietic marrow reconversion, hematological disorders, and metastatic tumors<sup>(11)</sup>. Those factors are prevalent after the age of 60 and make it difficult to perform an isolated DWI assessment of the bony framework, which is composed of mineralized bone, thus preventing the accurate analysis of the reduction in bone mass density necessary for the diagnosis of osteopenia and osteoporosis.

We must recognize that MRI technology, including advanced techniques, is not superior to bone densitometry, which has proven to be more cost-effective for the early detection of osteoporosis, due to its low cost and lack of contraindications. In contrast, 3.0-tesla MRI not only is expensive and difficult to access but is also contraindicated in many situations, such as in patients with claustrophobia or with a pacemaker, as well as having limits regarding patient weight and abdominal circumference, and it still requires patient collaboration, which makes it difficult to replicate at scale.

Given that the aging of the population will become one of the most significant social transformations of the 21st century, with implications for various sectors of society, especially the health care sector, we need to contribute in the field of diagnosis effectively and accurately to promote healthy longevity<sup>(12)</sup>. It is therefore important to implement and operationalize health care for the elderly with preventive, treatment, and rehabilitation activities to maintain functional capacity and quality of life<sup>(12-14)</sup>.

Our mission is to identify high-tech diagnostic methods or methods that are capable of actively contributing to individual and collective actions, aiming at specific prevention,

1. Radiologist and MRI coordinator at Diagnósticos da América (DASA-RJ); Professor of Radiology at the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ) School of Medicine, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil.  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8070-3993>.

early diagnosis, and, consequently, appropriate treatment of the main health problems in the elderly population, including chronic non-contagious diseases<sup>(15,16)</sup>.

#### REFERENCES

1. Malta DC, Silva Jr JB. O plano de ações estratégicas para o enfrentamento das doenças crônicas não transmissíveis no Brasil e a definição das metas globais para o enfrentamento dessas doenças até 2025: uma revisão. *Epidemiol Serv Saúde*. 2013;22:151–64.
2. Braga DL, Mousovich-Neto F, Tonon-da-Silva G, et al. Epigenetic changes during ageing and their underlying mechanisms. *Biogerontology*. 2020;21:423–43.
3. Yazbek MA, Marques Neto JF. Osteoporose e outras doenças osteometabólicas no idoso. *Einstein (São Paulo)*. 2008;6(Supl 1):S74-S8.
4. World Health Organization. Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis. Report of a WHO Study Group. WHO Technical Report Series 843. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1994.
5. Frazão P, Naveira M. Prevalência de osteoporose: uma revisão crítica. *Rev Bras Epidemiol*. 2006;9:206–14.
6. Kanis JA, Cooper C, Rizzoli R, et al. Review of the guideline of the American College of Physicians on the treatment of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int*. 2018;29:1505–10.
7. Seeley DG, Browner WS, Nevitt MC, et al. Which fractures are associated with low appendicular bone mass in elderly women? The Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *Ann Intern Med*. 1991;115:837–42.
8. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Portaria nº 451, de 9 de junho de 2014. Aprova o protocolo clínico e diretrizes terapêuticas da osteoporose.
9. Albuquerque SMRL. Envelhecimento ativo: desafio dos serviços de saúde para a melhoria da qualidade de vida dos idosos. [tese]. São Paulo, SP: Universidade de São Paulo; 2005.
10. Trentadue M, Sozzi C, Idolazzi L, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging at 3.0-T in postmenopausal osteoporosis: a prospective study and review of the literature. *Radiol Bras*. 2022;55:216–24.
11. Tsujikawa T, Oikawa H, Tasaki T, et al. Whole-body bone marrow DWI correlates with age, anemia, and hematopoietic activity. *Eur J Radiol*. 2019;118:223–30.
12. Miranda GMD, Mendes ACG, Silva ALA. O envelhecimento populacional brasileiro: desafios e consequências sociais atuais e futuras. *Rev Bras Geriatr Gerontol*. 2016;19:507–19.
13. Chaimowicz F. A saúde dos idosos brasileiros às vésperas do século XXI: problemas, projeções e alternativas. *Rev Saúde Pública*. 1997;31:184–200.
14. Motta LB. Treinamento interdisciplinar em saúde do idoso: um modelo de programa adaptado às especificidades do envelhecimento. [cited 2022 Aug 19]. Available from: [www.observatorionacionaldoidoso.fiocruz.br/biblioteca/\\_artigos/16.pdf](http://www.observatorionacionaldoidoso.fiocruz.br/biblioteca/_artigos/16.pdf).
15. Carvalho JAM, Garcia RA. O envelhecimento da população brasileira: um enfoque demográfico. *Cad Saúde Pública*. 2003;19:725–33.
16. Motta LB. O processo de envelhecimento. In: Saldanha AL, Caldas CP, organizadores. *Saúde do idoso: a arte de cuidar*. 2ª ed. Rio de Janeiro, RJ: Interciência; 2004. p. 117–24.

