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#### Abstract

Lilium and tuberose are promising geophytic flowers, which have high market demand in global floral markets. A study was conducted to optimize planting densities for cut lilium and tuberose production. Bulbs of Asiatic lily 'Brunello' and tuberose 'Single' were planted on $7.5 \mathrm{~cm}, 15.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 22.5 cm between bulbs while ridge were spaced at 60 cm apart. Lilium bulbs planted at 22.5 cm took 185 d , while tuberose took 101 d to produce flowers as compared to 7.5 cm spacing ( 173 d and 93 d , respectively). Bulbs of lilium and tuberose planted at 22.5 cm resulted in tallest plants with highest leaf area for both tested species, 69.1 cm and 11.4 $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ for lilium and 110.6 cm and $30.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ for tuberose, respectively. Both species had highest number of florets with longer stem/ spike length and stem/spike diameter when planted 22.5 cm apart, while close planting further decrease number of buds/florets and stem/spike length. Similar results were recorded for fresh weight of a stem, while vase life and dry weight of a stem were relatively less affected by plant spacing. However, lilium and tuberose bulbs when planted at 22.5 cm plant spacing produced higher number of best quality stems for market as compared to other planting densities.
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## Introduction

Lilium L. hybrids and Polianthes tuberosa L. (tuberose) members of family Liliaceae and Amaryllidaceae, respectively, are major bulbous crops which are being grown as cut flowers all over the world (Huang et al., 2001; Saifullah et al., 2010). Lilium is one of the leading cut flowers all over the world, which ranks $7^{\text {th }}$ among cut flowers in the world in terms of auction turnover. It is cultivated on an area of 5,172 ha with Netherlands leading with 4,280 ha (Buschman, 2005). There has been a big market demand for exotic cultivars of Lilium in Pakistan due to their majestic long slender perfumed and showy flowers, and these are being imported in ever increasing quantities. Pakistani areas with cool temperate winter have the potential for commercial cultivation of this fabulous exotic plant on large scale to diversify and improve local cut flower industry. Similarly, tuberose is the only bulbous cut flower which is available during hot summer months and is a hardy bulbous cut flower (Ahmad et al., 2009; Asif et al., 2016).

Plants require proper space to grow and to uptake available essentials like water, nutrition, air and light. Plant density plays significant role in plants growth and development. Close plant spacing resulted in less growth by limited uptake of nutrients and water from soil, so planting distance
should be proper for seeds and corms to get higher quality stems (Mane et al., 2007; Amjad and Ahmad, 2012). Therefore, yield and quality of cut stems depends on number of plants per square meter and dependent on cultivar/species (Inaba and Ohshiro, 2004). In developed countries, lilium is being produced in hi-tech greenhouses using plastic crates, however, in many developing countries, soil cultivation is being practiced, which needs information about optimal planting density for uniform plant growth and best quality cut stem production.

Lilium is emerging bulbous cut flower whose market demand is rising in Pakistan while tuberose is only bulbous flower available in Pakistan market during summer. However, their optimal planting density has not been determined for field production. Therefore, a study was conducted to optimize plant spacing for quality cut stem production of Lilium L. hybrids and Polianthes tuberosa L.

## Materials and methods

Bulbs of Asiatic lily 'Brunello' and tuberose 'Single' were planted on $7.5 \mathrm{~cm}, 15.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ and 22.5 cm between bulbs, while ridges were spaced at 60 cm . Lilium bulbs were planted on $15^{\text {th }}$ October, 2015, while tuberose bulbs on $15^{\text {th }}$ April, 2016, comprising total study period of one

[^0]year (Figure 1). Before planting, bulbs were treated with fungicide $2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ (Ridomil Gold; Metalaxyl-M and Sisomer) solution for 10 min . and dried under shade. Soil was thoroughly prepared, enriched with basal fertil-
izer [NPK (20:20:20)] at 100 kg per acre and laid out. Experiments were laid out for each crop according to randomized complete block design ( RCBD ) with three replications.


Figure 1. Lilium (A) and tuberose (B) plantings planted at various planting densities.

Data regarding plant growth parameters were collected using standard procedures. Plant height was recorded using meter rod, while leaf area was measured in $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of fully matured leaves according to Carleton and Foote (1965) using formula (length $\times$ breath $\times 0.68$ ). Leaf total chlorophyll contents (SPAD) were measured using leaf chlorophyll meter (Minolta spad-502) after 60 days of planting bulbs. Stem or spike diameter (mm) and buds/ florets/flower diameter ( mm ) were measured with digital vernier caliper (Model; 0604CAL8). Lilium stems were harvested when first bud started to open, while tuberose spikes were harvested when 4-5 florets were open. Stem /spike quality were rated on scale from 1 to 9 ((1) $=$ poor quality and $9=$ best quality) according to Cooper and Spokas (1991) For vase life (d) evaluation, stems were recut to uniform length 50 and 60 cm for lilium and tuberose, respectively) and placed individually in jars containing 250 mL distilled water until termination and considered terminated when $>50 \%$ of the florets/petals exhibited termination symptoms. Stem fresh weight (g) was recorded after harvest, while dry weight (g) was recorded after placing stems in an oven at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours.

All other cultural practices like integrated pest management, fertilization, weeding, earthing up, staking, etc. were similar for all the treatments during entire study period. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistix (version 8.1) and treatment means were compared according to LSD at 5\% level of probability (Steel et al., 1997).

## Results

## Lilium

Lilium bulbs planted at 22.5 cm took more time ( 185 d ) to produce flowers as compared to those planted at 7.5 cm (173 d) (Table 1). Bulbs spaced at 22.5 cm produced tallest plants $(69.1 \mathrm{~cm})$ with greatest leaf area $\left(11.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ and highest leaf total chlorophyll contents (70.1 SPAD) (Table 1). Moreover, tallest stem length ( 43.7 cm ) and stem diameter ( 7.7 mm ) with greatest number of florets (5.4) was recorded for bulbs planted at in the 22.5 cm plant spacing (Table 1). Similarly, highest stem fresh weight ( 84.4 g ) and dry weight ( 13.9 g ) was observed at wider bulb spacing $(22.5 \mathrm{~cm})$. However, flower diameter and vase life were not affected by plant spacing (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of planting densities (PD) on production time (PT) (days from planting to harvest), plant height ( PH ) ( cm ), leaf area (LA) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ), leaf total chlorophyll contents (LTCC) (SPAD), stem length (SL) (cm), stem diameter (SD) (mm), buds per stem (BS) (no.), flower diameter (FD) (cm), stem fresh weight (SFW) (g), stem dry weight (SDW) (g), flower quality (FQ) (1-9), and vase life (VL) (d) of cut lilium 'Brunello'. All data represent means of 15 plants.

| PD <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | PT <br> $(\mathbf{d})$ | $\mathbf{P H}$ <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | LA <br> $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{2}\right)$ | LTCC <br> $(\mathbf{S P A D})$ | $\mathbf{S L}$ <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | SD <br> $(\mathbf{m m})$ | BS <br> $(\mathbf{n o .})$ | FD <br> $(\mathbf{c m})$ | SFW <br> $(\mathbf{g})$ | SDW <br> $(\mathbf{g})$ | FQ <br> $(\mathbf{1 - 9})$ | VL <br> $(\mathbf{d})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.5 | $173 \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{y}}$ | 53.8 c | 4.3 b | 28.4 c | 38.3 b | 5.5 c | 3.8 c | 23.5 | 57.7 b | 11.9 b | 5.0 b | 11.8 |
| 15.0 | 180 b | 62.1 b | 6.1 b | 50.5 b | 41.6 a | 6.7 b | 4.8 b | 22.2 | 72.4 a | 12.3 b | 7.0 b | 11.9 |
| 22.5 | 185 a | 69.1 a | 11.4 a | 70.1 a | 43.7 a | 7.7 a | 5.4 a | 23.53 | 84.4 a | 13.9 a | 8.4 a | 12.0 |
| Significance $^{\mathrm{x}}$ | 0.0047 | 0.0040 | 0.0013 | 0.0002 | 0.0074 | $<0.0001$ | 0.0006 | NS | 0.0013 | 0.0298 | 0.0362 | NS |

${ }^{2}$ Stem overall quality rating from 1 to $9(1=$ poor quality, $9=$ best quality $)$.
${ }^{\mathrm{y}}$ Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to least significant difference test at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$.
${ }^{x}$ Probability values were obtained using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedure of Statistix (version 8.1) for significant effect of planting densities. $\mathrm{NS}=$ not significant at $\mathrm{P}>0.05$.

## Tuberose

Tuberose bulbs produced earliest (93 d) spikes when planted at 7.5 cm , while took 101 d to produce flowers when planted at 22.5 cm spacing (Table 2). Tallest plants $(110.6 \mathrm{~cm})$ with greater leaf area ( $30.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) and leaf total chlorophyll contents (75.8 SPAD) were produced when spaced at 22.5 cm (Table 2). Tuberose bulbs spaced at 7.5
cm produced shortest stem length ( 88.3 cm ) with least stem diameter ( 4.8 mm ), floret diameter ( 30.4 mm ) and less florets number per stem (29.5) (Table 2). Greatest stem fresh weight ( 38.4 g ) and dry weight ( 8.7 g ) was recorded in bulbs spaced at 22.5 cm . Similarly, wider plant spacing $(22.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ produced best stem/flower quality (8.8) and longest vase life (12.3 d) (Table 2).

Table 2. Effect of planting densities (PD) on production time (PT) (days from planting to harvest), plant height (PH) (cm), leaf area (LA) ( $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ), leaf total chlorophyll contents (LTCC) (SPAD), stem length (SL) (cm), stem diameter (SD) (mm), florets per stem (FS) (no.), flower diameter (FD) (mm), stem fresh weight (SFW) (g), stem dry weight (SDW) (g), flower quality (FQ) (1-9) and vase life (VL) (days) of tuberose 'Single'. All data represent means of 15 plants.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | PT <br> (d) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PH} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LA } \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | LTCC <br> (SPAD) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SL} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FS } \\ \text { (no.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FD } \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | SFW (g) | SDW (g) | $\begin{gathered} F Q^{z} \\ (1-9) \end{gathered}$ | VL <br> (d) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.5 | $93.5 \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{y}}$ | 90.3 c | 23.5 b | 44.5 a | 88.3 b | 4.8 c | 29.5 b | 30.4 b | 26.0 b | 6.0 ab | 7.0 b | 8.3 c |
| 15.0 | 96.7 b | 101 b | 24.7 b | 64.8 ab | 98.7 a | 7.1 b | 38.8 a | 35.9 a | 34.1 a | 7.1 b | 8.4 a | 10.3 b |
| 22.5 | 101.3 a | 110.6 a | 30.2 a | 75.8 a | 101.6 a | 8.5 a | 41.0 a | 37.7 a | 38.4 a | 8.7 a | 8.8 a | 12.3 a |
| Significance ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | $<0.0001$ | 0.0003 | 0.0005 | 0.036 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.036 | 0.008 | 0.091 | 0.022 | 0.002 |

${ }^{2}$ Stem overall quality rating from 1 to $9(1=$ poor quality, $9=$ best quality $)$.
${ }^{y}$ Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to least significant difference test at $\mathrm{P} \leq 0.05$.
${ }^{x}$ Probability values were obtained using the General Linear Models (GLM) procedure of Statistix (version 8.1) for significant effect of planting densi-

## Discussion

Plant growth depends on availability of nutrition and plant population per unit area which ultimately affects flowers yield and quality. Study was conducted on a loamy soil with pH (8.7), EC ( $2.5 \mathrm{dS} \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ ), organic matter ( $0.66 \%$ ), nitrogen contents $(6.5 \%)$, phosphorous contents $(9.7 \mathrm{mg}$
$\mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) and potassium contents ( $203 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}{ }^{-1}$ ). Both species produced tallest plants with grater leaf area and leaf total chlorophyll contents when spaced at wider spacing, which may be due to more nutrients uptake from soil through root hairs and more available space and consequent more photosynthetic production (Tehranifar and Akbari, 2012). Similarly, greatest leaf area and chlorophyll contents re-
sulted higher photosynthesis rate for lilium bulbs planted at wider spacing (Amjad and Ahmad, 2012). Results contradicts with the findings of Singh and Sangama (2001) who reported tallest plant height with deeper planting and closer spacing of gladiolus. Lilium and tuberose bulbs planted at wider spacing ( 22.5 cm ) produced greatest stems length, diameter with greater flowers/florets per stem, which may be due to less nutrient competition among plants and availability of sunlight (Tehranifar and Akbari, 2012). Similarly, Kawarkhe et al. (2001) reported that number of spikes per plant and spike length increased with the increase in application rates of P fertilizers in gladiolus. However, Mishra et al. (2002) recorded higher number of florets per stems in higher planting density. Tuberose had more florets per stem compared to lilium, which might be due to the genetic differences, growth habit and their potential on various agroclimatic conditions (Blaine, 1999; Balaram et al., 2009). Stem fresh and dry weight was increased when bulbs of both species were planted at wider spacing, which might be due higher nutrition uptake (Kazaz et al., 2011). Similarly, flower quality was best when spaced at higher plant spacing, which may be due to higher sunlight availability and air circulation among plants.

## Conclusion

Lilium and tuberose bulbs planted at 22.5 cm plant spacing produced better quality stems as compared to those planted at 7.5 cm and 15.0 cm spacing, however, for early production, close spacing may be adopted.
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