ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Nauplius

THE JOURNAL OF THE BRAZILIAN CRUSTACEAN SOCIETY

> e-ISSN 2358-2936 www.scielo.br/nau www.crustacea.org.br

Morphological revision of phytal red-stripe *Eudactylopus fasciatus* Sewell, 1940 (Crustacea, Copepoda, Harpacticoida) from Bangaram Island, Lakshadweep, India

Neelima Vasu K. 💿 orcid.org/0000-0002-8231-6249

S. Bijoy Nandan 💿 orcid.org/0000-0003-2476-6935

Radhika R. (D orcid.org/0000-0002-6497-4125

Department of Marine Biology, Microbiology and Biochemistry, School of Marine Sciences, Cochin University of Science and Technology. Cochin-682016, Ernakulum, Kerala, India.

NVK Email: vasuneelima1@gmail.com SBN Email: bijoynandan@yahoo.co.in RR Email: badravarma@gmail.com

ZOOBANK: http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:89A53CF4-59CB-494B-9C44-8C02CF843158

ABSTRACT

Specimens of the harpacticoid copepod *Eudactylopus fasciatus* Sewell, 1940 were found in plankton samples taken from Lakshadweep, India. In this study, *E. fasciatus* is redescribed on several additional distinct morphological characters along with the original description dating back to 1940 (Sewell, 1940). The most important features of *E. fasciatus* from Bangaram Island are the external coloration pattern with eight longitudinal bands, additional numbers of setae on the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 7th segments of the A1, and the modified saber-shaped sexually dimorphic second endopod segment of the male P2 with a bud-like structure. The illustrated redescription and a taxonomic key for the identification of all the species of the genus *Eudactylopus* are also provided.

Keywords

Indian Ocean, phytal harpacticoid, redescription, taxonomy, taxonomic key

INTRODUCTION

The true phytal harpacticoid copepods of the family Thalestridae Sars, 1905 are mostly meiobenthic and inhabit the blades of seagrass and surrounding sediments (Hicks and Coull, 1983) and macroalgae (Boxshall and Halsey, 2004). The systematic position and the species composition of the family have been controversial subjects over several decades (Lang, 1936;

Corresponding Author S. Bijoy Nandan bijoynandan@yahoo.co.in

SUBMITTED 26 July 2021 ACCEPTED 29 March 2022 PUBLISHED 28 November 2022

DOI 10.1590/2358-2936e2022029

All content of the journal, except where identified, is licensed under a Creative Commons attribution-type BY.

Nauplius, 30: e2022029

1944; Hicks, 1988; Willen, 2000). According to Willen (2000), the family comprises 17 genera in two subfamilies: the Eudactylopusinae Willen, 2000 and the Thalestrinae Sars, 1905. The genus Eudactylopus was originally described by A. Scott (1909) with Dactylopus latipes (T. Scott, 1893) as the type species, based on several morphological characters such as body shape, rostral appearance, number of abdominal somites, 2nd endopod segment of the antennae, and setal formulae of the swimming legs. Claus (1863; 1866) described Thalestris robusta Claus, 1863 from Nice, France, that was later assigned to the genus Eudactylopus A. Scott, 1909 as Eudactylopus *robustus* (Claus, 1863) by Lang (1936). Brady (1905) reported T. robusta from tidal pools at Cullercoats, United Kingdom, but later Wilson (1925) changed the specific name to Thalestris valida Wilson, 1925. Brian (1923) reported Parathalestris clausi spectabilis Brian, 1923 from the Mediterranean Sea but later Brian (1928) raised it to the species rank as Parathalestris spectabilis, which was subsequently assigned to the genus Eudactylopus as E. spectabilis. Monard (1928) reported this species from the Mediterranean Sea and described it under the genus Eudactylopus. Brian (1928) also established the genus Plesiothalestris Brian, 1928 to accommodate Plesiothalestris opima Brian, 1928 (f. major and f. minor), but the genus was changed to Eudactylopus by Sewell (1940). In 1940, Sewell described Eudactylopus fasciatus Sewell, 1940, and Eudactylopus striatus Sewell, 1940 from the Indian Ocean. These species were considered as subspecies and junior synonyms of *E. robustus* by Noodt (1955). The species Eudactylopus krusadensis Krishnaswamy, 1950 was ignored by taxonomic studies due to the vague description and incomplete drawings. In 1995 Chang and Song redescribed two species of genus Eudactylopus, E. spectabilis and E. andrewi from the coast of Korean waters. Recently, E. yokjidoensis has been described from the coast of South Korea, a species closely resembling E. andrewi and E. spectabilis. Identification of current Lakshadweep specimens, based on Sewell (1940) and comparison with the description and illustrations of E. fasciatus from Nicobar, confirm the Lakshadweep specimens to be E. fasciatus (see Sewell, 1940). De Grave (2001) reported that the two islands of Lakshadweep and Nicobar have high diversity, but they have different

geomorphological features (Malik et al., 2006; Wagle and Kunte, 1999). As a result, the low-lying coral island of Lakshadweep has a larger surface area, more diversified habitats, and is geographically closer to the high-diversity sections of Nicobar. Eudactylopus fasciatus can be differentiated from other species of the genus by the presence of a characteristic cuticular vertical band pattern all over the body. The description of these characteristic features was illustrated by Sewell (1940) in the original description, but not mentioned in detail and so a redescription of the species is provided herein. Eudactylopus striatus also possesses conspicuous red stripes all over the body, but they differ in being curved. The genus Eudactylopus includes 13 species: E. robustus (Claus, 1863), E. latipes (Scott T., 1893), E. opimia (Brian, 1928), E. spectabilis (Brian, 1923), E. anomala (Sewell, 1940), E. fasciatus (Sewell, 1940), E. andrewi andrewi (Sewell, 1940), E. striatus (Sewell, 1940), E. australis (Nicholls, 1941), E. atlanticus (Vervoort, 1964), E. krusadensis (Krishnaswamy, 1952), E. lucayosi (Geddes, 1969), *E. yokjidoensis* (Cho, Wi and Suh, 2018).

In the present study, we complement the original description of *E. fasciatus* with a new description based on material collected recently from Bangaram lagoon, Lakshadweep, India, including novel traits which were found to be exclusive to this species. Thus, the aim of this study is to better define the morphological characters of *E. fasciatus*. An identification key to the females of *E. fasciatus* species is also provided.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plankton samples were collected during the wintermonsoon of March 2015 from Bangaram Island, Lakshadweep Sea, at 3 selected stations (S1, S2, and S3). S1 is a coral area with algal turf protected with live corals; S2 is an inner lagoon covered with macro-algae; S3, a boat channel, is a highly disturbed environment that undergoes frequent dredging for navigational purposes. The samples were collected at 3 m depth using a hand net of mesh size 253 μ m (Cordell, 2007) to avoid entangling and disturbances to the corals (Fig. 1). All the collected samples were then rinsed into airtight sample bottles and preserved in 4 % buffered formaldehyde prepared with filtered seawater. Harpacticoid copepods were sorted, dissected, and mounted using a stereomicroscope. Dissected appendages were examined using a compound microscope (Olympus and Leica). Drawings were made with the aid of a drawing tube attached to a brightfield compound microscope (LynxLM 52-1704) of 40-1000X magnifications. Detailed taxonomic identification was done with the help of standard keys and literature (Sewell, 1929; Nicholls, 1942; Lang, 1948; 1965; Wells and Rao, 1987; Huys and Boxshall, 1991; Huys et al., 1996; Boxshall and Hasley, 2004; Wells, 2007). Total body length was measured from the anterior margin of the rostrum to the posterior margin of the caudal rami. The descriptive terminology is adopted from Huys and Boxshall (1991), and Huys et al. (1996). Measurements were recorded with a CAT-CAM CC300 camera. The dissected samples were incorporated into the copepod collection of the Department of Marine Biology, Microbiology and Biochemistry; School of Marine Sciences, Cochin University of Science and Technology (MBM/DBT/15/20).

The following abbreviations are used in the text: A1, first antennae; A2, second antennae; ae,

aesthetasc; C IV, copepodid 4th stage; enp, endopod; exp, exopod; benp, baseoendopod; enp-1–enp-3, first to third endopodal segments; exp-1–exp-3, first to third exopodal segments; P1–P5, first to fifth swimming legs; R, rostrum; Ur, urosome.

Systematics

Family Thalestridae G. O. Sars, 1905

Genus Eudactylopus A. Scott, 1909

Eudactylopus fasciatus Sewell, 1940

Sampling locality. Bangaram Lagoon, Lakshadweep

Material examined. Holotype: Female, India, Kerala, Lakshadweep, Bangaram (10°56'27.38"N 72°17'29.02"E). Specimens were dissected and mounted (voucher no. MBM/DBT/15). Male specimens were dissected and mounted on 4 slides (voucher no. MBM/DBT/21) from Bangaram Island Lakshadweep.



Figure 1. Map showing the sampling stations on Bangaram Island (10°56'27.38"N 72°17'29.02"E), Lakshadweep, Arabian Sea.

Redescription of female. Total body length 1.164 mm, measured from anterior margin of rostrum to posterior margin of caudal rami. Largest width measured at posterior margin of cephalic shield, 0.451 mm. Body stout and fusiform (Fig. 2A, B, C). Rostrum prominent, triangular, slightly curved downwards, rounded at its tip. Prosome comprising cephalothorax with completely fused first pedigerous somite and 3 free pedigerous somites. All somites with an incised type of hyaline frill except fifth pedigerous somite (Fig. 2E). Caudal rami cylindrical, slightly longer than broad, with 6 elements inserted distally. The outer distal edge of 6 ramus showing slight prolongation. Seta I bare, seta II bare and shortest, seta III longer than seta I and II, seta IV and V thickened and long, seta VI bulbous basally and with setules along the inner margin, and seta VII with setules at base and arising from inner dorsal surface. (Figs. 2D, 8G). Dorsal surface of cephalic shield with 8 longitudinal colored bands of which 2 left and 2 right (1, 2, 7, and 8th, see Fig. 8A) being considered lateral bands. Dorsal bands 3, 4, 5, and 6 reaching mid-dorsal margin of P5 bearing somite. Five bands continuing downwards onto first urosomite (P5 bearing somite). Rostrum triangular, linguiform reaching beyond length of first antennular segment. Urosome 5-segmented, comprising P5 bearing somite, genital and first abdominal somites fused to form genital double somite and 3 abdominal somites, respectively. Each abdominal somite 1, 2, and 3 with incised type of hyaline frill and anal somite bearing pair of bifid-tipped plates.

Antennule (Fig. 3A) 9-segmented, about as long as cephalothorax. First segment largest bearing an inner longitudinal row of spinules and 1 short seta. Second segment with 11 setae. Third segment with 1 seta in posterior margin and 4 setae on outer distal margin. Fourth segment with 2 setae on outer distal margin, long slender aesthetasc fused basally to 1 apical seta. Fifth segment with 1 outer distal seta. Sixth segment with 3 setae on outer distal margin. Seventh and eighth segments with 1 apical and 1 inner setae. Ninth segment with 4 setae and 1 aesthetasc.

Armature formula: 1-1/2-11/3-5/4-3+1(Ae)/5-1/6-3/7-2/8-2/9-4+1(Ae).

Antenna (Fig. 3C) with coxa, allobasis and free endopodal segment. Coxa small, unornamented. Allobasis (basis and first endopodal segment fused) with 1 exopodal seta on distal third and ornamented with posterior row of minute spinules. Exp-2 segmented, exp-1 longer than exp-2, exp-1 with 1 subapical seta, and exp-2 with 1 subapical and 2 apical setae. Free endopodal segment with 2 inner lateral spines, 2 inner distal spines, 2 geniculate distal setae, 1 outer distal seta, and 1 short outer seta. Mouthparts well developed. Labrum (Fig. 4A) sclerotized, numerous hairs on its posterior margin. Mandible (Fig. 4B) with coxa and short basis fused with coxa. Gnathobase with strong chitinized teeth. Cutting edge with 4 blunt teeth with slender spinule dorsally. Palp 2-segmented, 1 segmented exopod (fused to basis basally) and endopod. Basis with 2 setae. Exopod with 2 setae on outer distal corner of coxa-basis, endopod with 6 setae. Maxillule (Fig. 4C) arthrite of pre-coxa with 7 strong spines along distal margin and claw-like spine on apical margin. Coxa elongated, 3 apical setae on maxillular coxa. Maxilla (Fig. 4D) pre-coxa and coxa fused, outer margin with small spinules. Syncoxa armed with 3 endites. Proximal endite with 2 bipinnate spines; middle with 1 bipinnate seta and spine, distal endite with 2 bipinnate spines. Basal complex with basis drawn into strong claw with 2 small setae near base. Maxilliped (Fig. 4E) prehensile, subchelate, 3-segmented, composed of syncoxa with 3 spinulose setae on inner distal margin and 2 rows of spinules on proximal and distal margins. Enp shorter than basis bearing 1 seta; enp first segment with spinular row on inner margin, distal segment forming a strong claw.

P1 (Figs. 5A, 8A) transversely elongate intercoxal sclerite. Coxa with 1 anterior row of outer spinules. Basis with 1 transverse median row of spinules close to inner margin, with additional spinules at base of basal armature and between rami; basal armature consisting of 1 strong inner and outer spine. Exopod 3-segmented, shorter than endopod. Exopod 1 with 1 robust outer spine, some outer and some distal spinules; exopod 2 longest with 3 inner spinules proximally, with 1 subdistal inner seta and 1 outer median spine; exopod 3 small, with 3 outer spines and 1 serrated seta.



Figure 2. *Eudactylopus fasciatus* Sewell, 1940, female. A–C, Habitus, dorsal view; D–E, anal somite and caudal rami, dorsal. Scale bars: 100 µm.



Figure 3. *Eudactylopus fasciatus* Sewell, 1940, female. A, Antennule, ventral view; B, rostrum; C, antenna, latero-dorsal view. Scale bar: 100 µm.



Figure 4. *Eudactylopus fasciatus* Sewell, 1940, female. A, Labrum; B, mandible; C, maxillule; D, maxilla; E, maxilliped. Scale bar: 100 µm.

Endopod 2-segmented; endopod 1 elongate, as long as exopod, with 1 long inner seta; enp 2 small, with 2 claws of different lengths, and 1 tiny seta.

P2-P4 with large intercoxal sclerite and well developed precoxa. Exopod and endopod 3-segmented. P2 (Figs. 5B, 8B) basis with 1 strong outer spine; with spinular rows on anterior surface. Exopod 1 with triangular outer distal protuberance with rows of outer spinules, and with 1 outer spine and 1 bipinnate subdistal inner seta; exopod 2 shorter than exp1, with triangular inner and outer distal protuberances with some outer spinules and armed with 1 outer spine and 1 bipinnate subdistal inner seta; exopod 3 with 3 outer spines, 1 long apical outer spine, 1 apical inner seta, and 2 bipinnate inner setae. Endopod 1 with triangular inner and outer distal protuberance with some outer spinules and armed with 1 long inner bipinnate seta; endopod 2 with 2 long bipinnate inner setae; endopod 3 with 1 outer spine, 2 apical and 2 inner setae.

P3 (Figs. 6A, 8C) similar to P2, except for basis with 1 thin seta.

P4 (Figs. 6B, 8D) basis with thin outer seta as in P3. Exopod 1 and exopod 2 with triangular outer protuberance distally, with outer and inner spinules, with 1 outer spine and 1 subdistal inner seta, exopod 3 with 3 outer spines, 1 long apical outer spine, 1 apical bipinnate inner seta, and 3 long inner, subdistal bipinnate setae. Endopod 1 with inner and outer triangular distal protuberances, endopod 2 wider than enp-1, with inner and outer triangular distal protuberance with 1 long bipinnate inner seta; endopod 3 with 1 small outer spine, 2 apical and 2 inner bipinnate setae. P5 (Figs. 2B, 7A, 8E) well developed; baso-endopod and exopod separated, baso-endopod larger than exopod, with outer seta arising from long setophore. Endopodal lobe larger than exopod, with 4 distal setae, with small spinule between setae and subdistally along outer margin. Exopod with 6 setae on the distal margin with different lengths. Outer and distal margins armed with fine setules.

P6 (Figs. 7B, 8F) unsegmented with small protuberance bearing 3 setae.

Armature formula P1–P4 as in Tab. 1.

 Table 1. Female Eudactylopus fasciatus Sewell, 1940. Armature formula of swimming legs. P2–P4, swimming legs (pereopods).

Swimming legs	Exopod	Endopod
P2	I-1; I-1; III.I1.2	0-1; 0-2; I.2.2
P3	I-1; I-1; III.I1.2	0-1; 0-2; I.2.3
P4	I-1; I-1; III.I1.2	0-0; 0-1; I.2.2

Egg sac (Fig. 2B): eggs lies between pair of P5 which looks like a brood pouch protecting the eggs.

Description of the male. Total body length 1.096 mm (n = 6) measured from anterior margin of rostrum to posterior margin of caudal rami. The salient features of P2 and P5 are as follows. P2 modified. P2 exopod



Figure 5. Eudactylopus fasciatus Sewell, 1940, female. A, P1, anterior; B, P2, anterior. Scale bar: 100 µm.

3-segmented; endopod 2-segmented; exopod 1 with strong outer spine and single inner seta; exopod 2 shortest, with 1 strong outer spine and 1 inner seta; exopod 3 composed of 3 strong outer spines, 1 long outer spine and 3 inner setae increasing in size distally. P2 endopod 1 bearing single marginal spine on inner border. Endopod 2 leg completely modified. Second and third segments fused (Figs. 7C, 9A). Longitudinal rows of minute spinules along proximal half of outer margin and armed with 3 strong inner setae, 1 inner



Figure 6. Eudactylopus fasciatus Sewell, 1940, female (A) P3, anterior ; (B) P4, anterior . Scale bar 100 µm.

apical seta, 1 apophysis (an internal projection of the seta, often spoon-like), and 1 modified element. The copepodite IV of *E. fasciatus* male are represented in Fig. 9B–H. Copepodite IV having 2, 3, and 4 legs with exopod and endopod. Exopod and endopod being 2-segmented and later 3-segmented.

P5 (Fig 7D) smaller than those of female. Inner expansion of basal segment very short, with 3 unequal (1 inner, 1 distal elongated, 1 outer minute) setae. Exp with 5 setae (2 outer spiniform elements, 1 outer subdistal and 1 inner subdistal slender seta, and 1 apical strong seta).



Figure 7. Eudactylopus fasciatus Sewell, 1940, female. A, P5; B, P6. Male, C, P2; D, P5. Scale bar: 100 µm.



Figure 8. Eudactylopus fasciatus Sewell, 1940, female. A, P1; B, P2; C, P3; D, P4; E, P5; F, P6; G, caudal rami. Scale bars: 100 µm.

Figure 9. *Eudactylopus fasciatus* Sewell, 1940, male. A, P2; B, copepodid stages (C IV) - A1; C, C IV - Ur; D, C IV - P1; E, C IV-P2; F, C IV - P3; G, C IV - P4; H, C IV - P5. Scale bars: 100 µm.

DISCUSSION

Eudactylopus faciatus is characterized from other species due to its beautiful coloration. Some remarkable variation has been observed in several morphological characters of the populations of *E. fasciatus* collected from Bangaram Island compared to Sewell's (1940) original description (material from the weed areas of Nankauri harbour, Nicobar Island and Addu Atoll, Maldive Archipelago). The cephalothorax of *E. fasciatus* from Bangaram Island possessed 8 bands (6 bands are present in the Nicobar Island specimen) that are longitudinally aligned. Bands 1, 2, 7, and 8 extend until the caudal ramus area in the Bangaram specimen (bands 1, 2, 5, and 6 extend to the caudal ramus in the Nicobar Island specimen). The central 3, 4, 5, and 6 bands end at the posterior margin of the IVth thoracic somite in the Bangaram specimen (the central pair ends at the posterior margin of IVth thoracic somite in the Nicobar Island specimen). The remaining six bands in the Bangaram specimen (four bands in Sewell's material in the Nicobar Island specimen) continue across the Vth thoracic segment and then down to the abdomen, as far as the last urosomite (Fig. 10). This species is morphologically different from *E. striatus* but similar in the color pattern.



Figure 10. *Eudactylopus fasciatus* Sewell, 1940. A, Bangaram specimen (Bangaram Islands, Lakshadweep, southwestern Arabian Sea, Indian Ocean); B, Sewell's (1940) specimen (Andaman and Nicobar islands, Nancowry (= Mankauri) Harbour, northestern Indian ocean). Th.1- Th.5 (Thoracic segments 1-5).

The antennule first segment has one seta in Bangaram specimens (vs. no seta in the Nicobar specimen), second segment has 11 setae in Bangaram specimens (vs. five setae in the Nicobar specimen), third segment has eight setae in Bangaram specimens (vs. four setae in in the Nicobar specimen), seventh segment has two setae in Bangaram specimens (vs. one seta in the Nicobar specimen) and the eighth segment has two setae in Bangaram specimens (vs. one seta in the Nicobar specimen) and the eighth segment has two setae in Bangaram specimens (vs. one seta in the Nicobar specimen). The setal elements on 4th, 5th, 6th, and 9th antennular segments were similar to the original description. The second endopodal segment of P2 and P3 have two inner setae each whereas P4 possesses a single seta in accordance with the original description. The P5 is droplet- or tear-shaped. P5 of *E. fasciatus* has a very narrow articulation area and a broad, rounded terminal portion as in Sewell's original description of the species.

We collected only a few males. In the specimens from Nicobar, the second and third endopodal segments of P2 are fused together, and two stout modified spines (one terminal plain seta and one subterminal seta on the outer side with a spatulate apex) arise from the distal part of the outer end. In male specimens from Bangaram, Lakshadweep the enp-2 and enp-3 are completely fused. The shape of the modified leg P2 was sabre-like with two modified thick spines, one at the distal end and the second as a bud-like structure at the distal outer angle (*vs.* two pointed thickened spines at the distal outer angle in Sewell's material).

Key to the species of Eudactylopus.

la	Rostrum triangular with round apex 2	a
1b	Rostrum sharply triangular, free end with pointed apex	b
2a	A1 7-segmented, aesthetasc on 3 rd and 4 th segment in female	b
2b	A1 7-segmented, 2 aesthetascs on 4 th segment in female	b
3a	A1 8-segmented, aesthetasc on 3 rd and 4 th in male E. anomala Sewell, 194	0
3b	A1 9-segmented, aesthetasc on 4 th only	b
4a	A1 9-segmented aesthetasc on 3 rd and 4 th in male 5	a
4b	A1 9-segmented aesthetasc on 4 th and 5 th in male	a
5a	P2 enp extending to proximal apex of exp3 in males E. striatus Sewell, 194	0
5b	P2 end extends to middle of Exp-3 at most in males E. fasciatus Sewell, 194	0
6a	P5 droplet-shaped; inner seta of P5 exp short E. opimia (Brian, 1928)
6b	P5 square-shaped; inner seta of P5 exp is long, comb-like	a
7a	P5 droplet-shaped; inner seta of P5 exp long and bipinnate E. spectabilis (Brian, 1923	5)
7b	P5 droplet-shaped; inner seta of P5 exp long E. robustus (Claus, 1863	,)
8a	P5 oblong-shaped; inner seta of P5 exp is short E. lucayosi (Geddes, 1969	り
8b	P5 wide flap-like structure E. latipes (T. Scott, 1893	,)
9a	Basendopod exp of P5 fused E. andrewi Sewell, 194	0
9b	Basendopod and exp of P5 separated E. yokjidoensis Cho, Wi and Suh, 201	8

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We express our sincere gratitude to Prof. Paulo Henrique Costa Corgosinho for his valuable comments and providing useful taxonomic literature during the development of this manuscript. We are thankful to the Department of Biotechnology, Govt. of India, the Department of Marine Biology, Microbiology and Biochemistry, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Department of Science and Technology, Lakshadweep for providing necessary facilities, and support. The first author also expresses thanks to Dr. Sanu V.F. for his valuable support.

REFERENCES

Boxshall, G.A. and Halsey, S.H. 2004. An introduction to copepod diversity. The Ray Society, London, 966p.

- Brady, G.S. 1905. On Copepoda and other Crustacea taken off Northumberland and Durham in July, 1904. *Transactions of the Natural History Society of Northumberland, Durham, and Newcastle-upon-Tyne*, 1: 210–223.
- Brian, A. 1923. Elenco di copepodi marini bentonici provenienti da Rovigno e descrizione di una n. varietá di Parathalestris clausi Norm. *Monitore Zoologico Italiano*, 34: 126–135.
- Brian, A. 1928. Descrizione di specie nuove o poco conosciute di copepodi bentonici del mare Egeo. *Bollettino dei Musei di Zoologia e Anatomia Comparata della Universita di Genova*, 7: 1–37.
- Chang, C.Y. and Song, S.J. 1995. Marine harpacticoid copepods of genus *Eudactylopus* (Harpacticoida, Thalestridae) in Korea. *Korean Journal of Systematic Zoology*, 11: 379–388.
- Cho, D.H.; Wi, J.H. and Suh, H.L. 2018. A new species of *Eudactylopus* (Copepoda: Harpacticoida) from the south coast of Korea based on morphological and molecular evidence. *Animal Systematics Evolution and Diversity*, 34: 127–142.
- Claus, C. 1863. Die frei lebenden Copepoden mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Fauna Deutschlands, der Nordsee und des Mittelmeeres. Wilhelm Engelmann, Leipzig, 230p.
- Claus, C. 1866. Die Copepoden-Fauna von Nizza: ein Beitrag zur Charakteristik der Formen und deren Abänderungen" Im Sinne Darwin's". Schriften der Gesellschaft zur Beförderung der Gesamten Naturwissenschaften zu Marburg, Supplement 1, 1-34..
- Cordell, J.R. 2007. Free-living Copepoda (Orders Calanoida, Cyclopoida, and Harpacticoida). p. 446-464. In: Carlton JT (ed), The Light and Smith manual: intertidal invertebrates from central California to Oregon. Fourth Ed. University of California Press.
- De Grave, S. 2001. Biogeography of Indo-Pacific Pontoniinae (Crustacea, Decapoda): a PAE analysis. *Journal of Biogeography*, 28: 1239-53.
- Geddes, D.C. 1969. Marine biological investigations in the Bahamas. 9. Harpacticoid copepods belonging to the family Thalestridae. *Sarsia*, 39: 1–16.
- Hicks, G.F. and Coull, B.C. 1983. The ecology of marine meiobenthic harpacticoid copepods. *Oceanography and Marine Biology*, 21: 67–17.
- Hicks, G.R. 1988. Harpacticoid copepods from biogenic substrata in offshore waters of New Zealand. 1: New species of *Paradactylopodia, Stenhelia* (St.) and *Laophonte. Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand*, 18(4), 437-452.
- Huys, R. and Boxshall, G.A. 1991. Copepod Evolution. Publication No. 159. London, The Ray Society, 468p.
- Huys, R.; Gee, J.M.; Moore, C.G. and Hamond, R. 1996. Marine and brackish water harpacticoid copepods Part 1. In: Barnes RSK, Crothers JH, eds. Synopses of the British Fauna (New Series). Vol. 51. Shrewsbury: Field Studies Council, 1–352.
- Krishnaswamy, S. 1950. Eudactylopus krusadensis, a new species of Harpacticoid Copepod from Krusadai Island in the Gulf of Mannar. *Rec. Indian Mus.*, 48(3-4): 118-212, figs. 1-2.
- Lang, K. 1936. Copepoda Harpacticoida. In: S. Bock (ed), Further Results of the Swedish Antarctic Expedition 1901–1903. P.A. Norstedt & Sponer, Stockholm, 3(3): 1–68, figs. 1–92.

- Lang, K. 1944. Monographie der Harpacticiden (Vorlaufige Mitteilung). Uppsala, Almqvist and Wiksells Boktryckeri Ab, 39p.
- Lang, K. 1948 Monographie der Harpacticiden, I & II. Håkan Ohlssons Boktryckeri, Lund, 1683 p.
- Lang, K. 1965. Copepoda Harpacticoidea from the Californian Pacific coast. *Kungliga Svenska vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar*, (4)10(2): 1–560.
- Malik, J.N.; Murty, C.V.R. and Rai, D.C. 2006. Landscape changs in the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (India) after the December 2004 Great Sumatra Earthquake and Indian Ocean tsunami. *Earthquake Spectra*, 22(S3):S43–S66.
- Monard, A. 1928. Les Harpacticoïdes marins de Banyuls. *Archives de Zoologie Expérimentale et Générale*, 67: 259–443, figs. 1–48.
- Nicholls, A.G. 1941. Littoral Copepoda from South Australia. (I) Harpacticoida. *Records of the South Australian Museum*, 6: 381–427.
- Nicholls, A.G. 1942. Marine Copepoda from Western Australia. I. Littoral harpacticoids from Rottnest Island. *Journal of the Royal Society of Western Australia*, 27: 135–141.
- Noodt, W. 1955. Marine Harpacticoiden (Crust. Cop.) aus dem Marmara Meer. *Istanbul* Üniversitesi *fen Fakültesi Mecmuasi*, 20B: 49–94.
- Sars, G.O. 1905. Copepoda Harpacticoida. Parts IX & X. Thalestridae (continued). In: An account of the Crustacea of Norway, with short descriptions and figures of all species pp. 109–132.
- Scott, A. 1909. The Copepoda of the Siboga Expedition. Part I. Free-swimming, littoral and semi-parasitic Copepoda. *Siboga Expedition Monographs*, 29a: 1–323.
- Scott, T. 1893. Report on Entomostraca from the Gulf of Guinea, collected by John Rattray, B.Sc. *Transactions of the Linnean Society of London*, 6: 1–161.
- Sewell, R.B.S. 1940. Copepoda Harpacticoida. Scientific Reports of the John Murray Expedition, 7: 117–382.
- Sewell, R.B.S. 1929. The copepoda of Indian seas. Biotech Books, Delhi, India.
- Vervoort, W. 1964. Free-living Copepoda from Ifaluk Atoll in the Caroline Islands with notes on related species. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum*, 236: 1–431.
- Wagle, B.G, and Kunte, P.D. 1999. Photo-Geomorphological study of representative islands of Lakshadweep. *Gondwana Geological Magazine*, 4: 203-9.
- Wells, J.B.J. 2007. An annotated checklist and keys to the species of Copepoda Harpacticoida (Crustacea). *Zootaxa*, 1568: 1–872.
- Wells, J.B.J. and Rao, G.C. 1987. Littoral Harpacticoida (Crustacea:Copepoda) from Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *Memoirs of the Zoological Survey of India*, 16: 1–385.
- Willen, E. 2000 Phylogeny of the *Thalestridimorpha* Lang, 1944 (Crustacea, Copepoda). Cuvilier Verlag, Gttingen, 233 p.
- Wilson, C.B. 1925. New North American parasitic copepods, new hosts, and notes on copepod nomenclature. *Proceedings* of the United States National Museum, 64: 1–22.