INFLUENCE OF SEVERAL PLANT EXTRACTS ON THE OVIPOSITION BEHAVIOUR OF *AEDES FLUVIATILIS* (LUTZ) (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE) IN THE LABORATORY

ROTRAUT A. G. B. CONSOLI/*, NELYMAR M. MENDES, J. P. PEREIRA, BERNADETE S. SANTOS & MARLÚCIA A. LAMOUNIER

Centro de Pesquisas René Rachou – FIOCRUZ, Caixa Postal 1743, 30190 Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil *Departamento de Parasitologia, ICB – UFMG, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brasil

Whole, ethanolic, hexanic, lyophilized extracts of several plants and anacardic acid were tested in respect of their influence on the oviposition behaviour of Aedes fluviatilis (Lutz) at 100, 10 and 1 ppm concentrations. Extracts of Allium sativum, Jatropha curcas, Mikania schenkii, Poinciana regia and Spatodea campanulata had a repulsive effect ($\alpha = 0.05$) on females at 100 ppm, those of Anacardium occidentale, Bidens segetum and Caesalpinia peltophoroides were also repelent at 10 ppm. Extracts of Coriandrum sativum (100, 10 and 1 ppm), Chara zeylanica (10 ppm), Cupressus sempervirens (10 ppm), Foeniculum vulgare (10 ppm) and Spatodea campanulata (1 ppm) were attractive to the females; 13 (52.0%) of the extracts tested, did not influence the oviposition behaviour.

Key words: Aedes fluviatilis - oviposition behaviour - plant extracts

Most work, relating mosquitoes to plants deals with the larvicidal properties of plant extracts or their mechanical influence on their breeding places (Supavarn et al., 1974; Judd & Borden, 1980; Hobbs & Molina, 1983; Consoli et al., 1988), but little attention has been paid to their chemical influence on oviposition behaviour. Oviposition site selection seems to be the most important factor in determining mosquito breeding places and therefore the distribution of species in nature (Ikeshoji & Mulla, 1970; Ikeshoji et al., 1975). Many works show the selectiveness of mosquito females in choosing their breeding sites and the numerous factors which can affect it (Consoli & Williams, 1978; Hwang & Mulla, 1980; Leite, 1980; Trimble & Wellington, 1980). Chemicals with either repulsive or attractive properties to ovigerous mosquito females would be very useful tools in control programmes, especially since usually females are able to react to small amount of these. Aedes (Ochlerotatus) fluviatilis (Lutz, 1904) is a widely distributed neotropical species, found in domestic, peridomestic and silvatic habitats and the present work aims to assess the influence of some plant extracts on its oviposition behaviour.

Grants by CNPq and FINEP. Received June 14, 1988. Accepted January 25, 1989.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Mosquitoes employed: males and females of Ae. fluviatilis were obtained from a colony maintained at Centro de Pesquisas René Rachou — FIOCRUZ, MS, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Routine breeding techniques are described in Consoli & Williams (1978) and Consoli & Williams (1981).

Plant extracts: Table I shows the 25 extracts, obtained from 22 different plants, by 5 diverse methods:

- Whole extracts: the fresh plant parts were triturated with an equal volume of distilled water. The resulting mass was strained through a piece of four fold surgical gauze and the obtained liquid constituted the extract.
- Lyophylized extracts: the plant parts were dried and 1 g was boiled for 15 min in 250 ml of distilled water. The resulting liquid was passed through filter paper and 6 ml of it was lyophylized.
- Hexanic extracts: the dried and ground plant parts were extracted with hexane, in a Soxhlet apparatus for 72 h, the solvent being evaporated afterwards (Mendes et al., 1984).

To attain solubility in water, 0.02 ml methanol were added to each 50 mg extract. Previous experiments showed that methanol at this concentration did not affect oviposition behaviour.

- Ethanolic extracts: were prepared in a similar way to hexanic extracts, only changing

hexane for ethanol. Previous to solution in water each 50 mg extract were dissolved in 1 ml ethanol. This procedure did not influence oviposition behaviour.

 Anacardic acid: was prepared at the Chemical Laboratory of Centro de Pesquisas René Rachou, accordingly to Tyman (1976).

TABLE I

Plants: species, family, extracts and parts employed

Species	Family	Extracts	Parts employed leaves stem stem total	
Agave americana L.	Agavaceae	whole		
Allium sativum L.	Liliaceae	lyophilized whole		
Ampelozizyphus amazonicus Duck.	Rhamnaceae	lyophilized		
Anacardium occidentale L.	Anacardiaceae	anacardic acid hexanic	rind of fruits rind of fruits	
Bidens segetum Mart. ex Colla	Compositae	ethanolic	total	
Caesalpinia peltophoroides Benth	Caesalpinaceae (Leg.)	ethanolic	stem and leaves	
Chara zeylanica A, Brown	Characeae	lyophilized	stem and leaves	
Coriandrum sativum L.	Umbeliferae	lyophilized lyophilized	fruits leaves	
Cupressus sempervirens L.	Cupressaceae	lyophilized	leaves	
Dieffenbachia picta Schott	Araceae	lyophilized	leaves	
Eucalyptus saligna Smith	Myrtaceae lyophilized		leaves	
Suphorbia cotinifolia L.	Euphorbiaceae	lyophilized	leaves	
Foeniculum vulgare Mill.	Umbeliferae	lyophilized	leaves	
atropha curcas L.	Euphorbiaceae ethanolic		fruits and leaves	
Aikania hisurtissima DC.	Compositae	hexanic	stem and leaves	
Iikania schenkii Hieron	Compositae	ethanolic	stem and leaves	
Verium oleander L.	Apocinaceae	ethanolic	stem and leaves	
Petroselium sativum L.	Umbeliferae	lyophilized	flowers and leaves	
oinciana regia Bojer	Caesalpinaceae (Leg.)	ethanolic	flowers and leaves	
Ruta graveolens L.	Rutaceae	ethanolic	stem and leaves	
patodea campanulata P. Beauv.	Bignoniaceae	ethanolic	flowers	
ernonia salzmanni DC	Compositae	ethanolic	stem and leaves	

Experiments: twenty-five experiments, each repeated three times, were carried out. For each replicate 200 males and 200 females, aged between 4 and 5 days, were put into a cage build of "eucatex" and nylon netting (40 x 40 x 40 cm). A supply of 5% honey solution was provided. Five days after females had taken a blood meal on anaesthetized mice (Mus musculus) experimental and control dishes were put into the cage for 24 h, being the number of eggs laid in each dish recorded. For each experiment, a different plant extract was used in solutions of 100, 10 and 1 ppm in distilled water and placed inside the cages in transparent glass dishes (150 ml/9.5 cm diameter). Always a similar dish, containing only distilled water was added as control. The position of the dishes was different inside each replicate cage.

Statistical evaluation: the differences between means were evaluated using Ducan's test (Levin, 1978) and a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$ (5%) was adopted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table II shows totals, means and standard deviations of eggs laid in the diverse experimen-

tal media and in control dishes. Twelve (48.0%) of the 25 extracts tested influenced oviposition behaviour in an attractive or repulsive way and 13 (52.0%) were indifferent to females at oviposition.

Extracts with a repulsive effect: of all extracts tested, 8 (32.0%) repelled females significantly at 100 ppm concentration: A. sativum (2), A. occidentale (2), B. segetum, C. peltophoroides, J. curcas, M. schenkii, P. regia and S. campanulata. At 10 ppm only 3 (12.0%) extracts maintained such properties (A. occidentale (2), B. segetum and C. peltophoroides) and none was repulsive to females at 1 ppm. Consoli (1987) and Consoli et al. (1988) observed in Ae. fluviatilis that at 100 ppm solutions the extracts of A. occidentale (2) and S. campanulata enhanced larval mortality and A. sativum (2) did so at 1 ppm but similar extracts of B. segetum, J. curcas, M. schenkii and P. regia were innocuous to larval at 100 ppm concentrations. No references were found on the influence of these plants on the oviposition behaviour of other mosquitoes, but Heal & Roger (1950) mention the larvicidal properties, in higher concentrations, of extracts of other species of genera Bidens, Caesalpinia and Jatro-

TABLE II

Totals, means and standard deviations of eggs laid in 100, 10, 1 ppm of plant extracts and control dishes

Plants extracts	Experiments ppm								
	100		10		1		Control		
	N	$\overline{x} \pm s$	N	x ± s	N	$\overline{x} \pm s$	N	$\bar{x} \pm s$	
Anacardic acid	1496	498.7/ 369.8	2563	854.3/ 519.1	2565	855.0/162.7	3283	1094.3/103.6	
A. americana	1143	381.0/ 239.4	2123	707.7/ 554.0	1796	598.7/ 61.8	1492	497,3/435,5	
A. sativum 1	1932	644.0/ 201.5	2982	994.0/ 212.8	3111	1037.0/516.3	2951	983.7/565.3	
A, sativum 2	_	_	3453	1151.0/ 466.3	4744	1581.3/718.2	2552	850.7/116.4	
A, amazonicus	2667	889.0/ 112.1	2133	711.0/ 181.2	3435	1145.0/323.0	2984	994.7/539.1	
A, occidentale	_	_	1768	589.3/ 446.1	2780	926.7/822.3	4962	1654.0/311.6	
B. segetum	-		216	72.0/ 64.2	1596	532.0/175.8	1510	503,3/329.9	
C. peltophoroides	179	59.7/ 100.8	561	187.0/ 40.6	1379	459.7/124.8	527	371.7/183.5	
C. zeylanica	1092	364.0/ 264.2	1831	610.3/ 105.5	317	105.7/ 98.3	712	237.3/220.2	
C. sativum 1	1281	427.0/ 322.4	2163	721.0/ 305.8	1308	436.0/433.4	1106	368,7/215.9	
C. sativum 2	4952	1650.7/ 216.8	4890	1630.0/1098.7	4998	1666.0/168.6	1208	402.7/190.6	
C. sempervirens	847	282.3/ 198.0	5331	1777.0/ 865.2	1979	659.7/289.3	614	204.7/274.1	
D. picta	1223	407.7/ 365.0	1212	404.0/ 449.1	1083	361.0/527.8	1005	335.0/252.9	
E. saligna	3355	1118.3/1185.6	1370	856.7/ 537.7	2300	766.7/159.5	2573	857,7/456,7	
E, cotinifolia	3623	1207.7/ 417.5	3312	1104.0/ 306.4	2701	900.3/240.4	2061	687.0/367.8	
F. vulgare	334	111.3/ 65.2	965	321.7/ 145.3	303	101.0/ 38.0	48	16.0/ 27.7	
I, curcas	180	60.0/ 103.9	644	214.7/ 206.1	1265	421.6/432.6	2328	776.0/432.4	
M, hisurtissima	4467	1489.0/ 692.0	6250	2083.3/ 595.8	3990	1330.0/632.2	2900	966,7/160,7	
M, schenkii	129	43.0/ 13.9	687	229.0/ 199.0	1261	420.3/191.6	1411	470.3/194.3	
N. oleander	1070	356.7/ 122.3	2041	680.3/ 425.2	2872	957.3/579.3	2260	753,3/196.0	
P. sativum	1857	619.0/ 358.0	2122	707.3/ 414.8	1747	582.3/386.4	3013	1004.3/175.0	
P. regia	104	34.7/ 60.0	1811	603.7/ 211.7	1697	565,7/383,2	686	228.7/180.4	
R. graveolens	68	22.7/ 39.3	881	~ 293.7/ 195.0	1090	363.0/250.3	653	217.7/257.6	
S. campanulata	_	-	759	253.0/ 228.2	1533	511.0/357.3	820	273.0/175.8	
V. salzmanni	171	57.0/ 52.8	487	162.3/ 99.8	1002	334.0/171.5	878	292,7/266,7	

pha. Also Amonkar & Reeves (1970) showed the larvicidal effect of A. sativum extracts on several species of Aedes and Culex.

Extracts with an attractive effect: only 1 (4.0%) extract was found to attract the females at all three concentrations employed: C. sativum (2); in 3 (12.0%) solely 10 ppm concentrations were attractive (C. zeylanica, C. sempervirens and F. vulgare) and S. campanulata extract was attractive exclusively at 1 ppm. All these extracts were innocuous to Ae. fluviatilis larvae, except S. campanulata at 100 ppm (Consoli, 1987; Consoli et al., 1988). There are numerous references on the influence of genus Chara on mosquitoes: Caballero (1919) ascribed larvicidal properties to Chara foetida, but McGregor (1924) observed that Chara foetida and Chara hispida were unable to deter larval development; Matheson & Hinman (1929) stated that in aquatic habitats containing Chara fragilis mosquito larvae did not complete their development and females of genera Aedes and Culex did not lay eggs. Amonkar & Reeves (1970) and Furlow & Hays (1972) also refer to the toxic properties of this genus to mosquitoes, but Angerilli (1980a) observed that Chara globularis was the predominant vegetation in some mosquito breeding sites, and that the water which previously contained this species was attractive to ovigerous Ae. aegypti females (Angerilli, 1980b). In previous experiments, it was asserted that Ae. fluviatilis larvae develop normally in dishes where C. zeylanica growned, and the actual presence of this species in the water was indifferent to its females at oviposition. No references were found on the effects of C. sempervirens and F. vulgare on mosquitoes, but Cruz (1979) refers to the popular reputation of the former as a mosquito repelent.

the remaining 13 (52.0%) extracts did not influence oviposition in Ae. fluviatilis. Among these, the extracts of A. americana, N. oleander and V. salzmanni showed larvicidal activity at 100 ppm and A. occidentale (1) at 10 ppm (Consoli, 1987; Consoli et al., 1988). Sometimes the oviposition behaviour of Ae. fluviatilis toward an extract seems to be detached from the extract's toxicity for its larval: the extracts of A. americana, C. sativum (1) and N. oleander did not repel females, despite being toxic to the larval (Consoli et al., 1988). Maw (1970) observed that capric acid was simulta-

neously attractive to the females and toxic to the larval of Culex restuans and Murphey & Burbutis (1967) stated that female Culex salinarius were able to lay eggs in various solutions lethal to eggs and larval. On the other hand, in spite of being repulsive to females of Ae. fluviatilis, the extracts of B. segetum, J. curcas, M. schenkii and P. regia are harmless to the larvae of the same species (Consoli, 1987; Consoli et al., 1988). The concentration of some extracts offered seems to be important, since at 100 ppm oviposition was completely inhibited by S. campanulata and at 1 ppm ovigerous females were attracted. Different parts of the same plant may also have different effects: the leaf extract of C. sativum was attractive to females and innocuous to larvae but the fruit extract of the same plant resulted as indifferent to females but toxic to larvae (Consoli et al., 1988). Furlow & Hays (1972), Judd & Borden (1980) and Hobbs & Molina (1983) described physical and chemical ways plants can interfere with mosquito oviposition and development. Lewis et al. (1974) described the efficacy of ovitraps containing alfafa infusion for Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus and Sucharit et al. (1982) showed the oviposition preference of three species of Mansonia for water where Pistia stratiotes was present. The diversity of methods adopted by different authors make precise comparisons difficult, but it seems evident that numerous plants and plant products can have important influence on the reproductive behaviour of mosquitoes.

RESUMO

Influência de diversos extratos vegetais sobre o comportamento de oviposição de Aedes fluviatilis (Lutz) (Diptera: Culicidae) em laboratório – Extratos brutos, etanólicos, hexânicos, liofilizados de diversos vegetais e ácido anacárdico foram testados quanto a sua influência sobre o comportamento de oviposição das fêmeas de Aedes fluviatilis (Lutz), nas concentrações de 100, 10 e 1 ppm. Os extratos de Allium sativum, Jatropha curcas, Mikania schenkii, Poinciana regia e Spatodea campanulata mostraram-se repelentes ($\alpha = 0.05$) para as fêmeas na concentração de 100 ppm e os de Anacardium occidentale, Bidens segetum e Caesalpinia peltophoroides também na de 10 ppm. Os extratos de Coriandrum sativum (100, 10 e 1 ppm), Chara zeylanica (10 ppm), Cupressus sempervirens (10 ppm), Foeniculum vulgare

(10 ppm) e Spatodea campanulata (1 ppm) atrairam a oviposição das fêmeas; 13 (52,0%) dos extratos testados foram indiferentes às fêmeas nas concentrações utilizadas.

Palavras-chave: Aedes fluviatilis — comportamento de oviposição — extratos vegetais

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankfull to Dr Leonidas de Mello Deane for his advice during all the phases of this work, to professors Telma S. M. Grandi, Lair A. Rennó, Deusdedid S. de B. Leite and José L. Pedersoli for the plant identifications and supply of bibliography and to Dr Paul Williams for the revision of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- ANGERILLI, N. P. D., 1980a. A similarity dendrogram as an indicator of mosquito breeding sites. Mosquito News, 40: 117-118.
- ANGERILLI, N. P. D., 1980b. Influences of extracts of fresh water vegetation on the survival and oviposition by *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). Can. Ent., 112: 1249-1252.
- AMONKAR, S. V. & REEVES, E. L., 1970. Mosquito control with the active principle of garlic Allium sativum. J. Econ. Ent., 63:1172-1175.
- CABALLERO, A., 1919. La Chara foetida A. Br. y las larvas de Stegomyia, Culex y Anopheles. Rev. Appl. Entomol., 1922-B 10: 108 (Abstract).
- CONSOLI, R. A. G. B., 1987. Influência de diversos fatores físicos e químicos sobre o comportamento de oviposição das fêmeas de Aedes (Ochlerotatus) fluviatilis (Lutz, 1904) e suscetibilidade das larvas da mesma espécie a diversos extratos vegetais. Thesis. Univ. Federal de Minas Gerais, 187 p.
- CONSOLI, R. A. G. B.; MENDES, N. M.; PEREIRA, J. P.; SANTOS, B. S. & LAMOUNIER, M. A., 1988. Influência de diversos derivados de vegetais na sobrevida das larvas de Aedes fluviatilis (Lutz) (Diptera: Culicidae) em laboratório. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, 83: 87-93.
- CONSOLI, R. A. G. B. & WILLIAMS, P., 1978. Laboratory observations on the bionomics of Aedes fluviatilis (Lutz, 1904). Bull. Ent. Res., 68: 123-136.
- CONSOLI, R. A. G. B. & WILLIAMS, P., 1981. Aspects of the biology of laboratory-reared female Aedes fluviatilis (Lutz) (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito News, 41:40-46.
- CRUZ, G. L., 1979. Dicionário das plantas úteis do Brasil. Edit. Civilização Brasileira S/A, Rio de Janeiro, 599 p.
- FURLOW, B. M. & HAYS, K. L., 1972. Some influences of aquatic vegetation on the species and number of Culicidae (Diptera) in small pools of water. *Mosquito News*, 32:595-599.

- HEAL, R. E. & ROGERS, E. F., 1950. A survey of plants for insecticidal activity. Lloydia, 13: 89-162.
- HOBBS, J. H. & MOLINA, P. A., 1983. The influence of the aquatic fern Salvinia auriculata on the breeding of Anopheles albimanus in coastal Guatemala. Mosquito News, 43: 456-457.
- HWANG, Y. S. & MULLA, M. S., 1980. Chemicals of the future. Californ. Agriculture, 34: 32-33.
- IKESHOJI, T. & MULLA, M. S., 1970. Oviposition attractants for four species of mosquitoes in natural breeding waters. Ann. Entomol. Soc. America, 63: 1322-1327.
- IKESHOJI, T.; SAITO, K. & YANO, A., 1975. Bacterial production of the ovipositional attractants for mosquitoes on fatty acid substrates. Appl. Entomol. Zool., 10: 239-242.
- JUDD, G. J. R. & BORDEN, J. H., 1980. Oviposition deterrents for Aedes aegypti in extracts of Lemma minor. J. Entomol. S. Brit. Col., 77: 30-33.
- LEITE, M. A. B., 1980. Comportamento de oviposição e interação das formas imaturas de Aedes fluviatilis (Lutz, 1904) e Culex pipiens fatigans Wiedemann, 1828 (Diptera: Culicidae) em laboratório. Thesis. Univ. Federal de Minas Gerais, 103 p.
- LEVIN, J., 1978. Estatística aplicada às Ciências Humanas. Editora Harper & Row do Brasil Ltda, São Paulo, 310 p.
- LEWIS, L. F.; TRUMAN, B. C.; O'GRADY, J. J.; CHRISTENSON, D. M., 1974. Collecting ovigerous Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus Say near favorable resing sites with louvered traps baited with infusions of alfafa pellets. Mosquito News, 34:436-439.
- MATHESON, R. & HINMAN, E. H., 1929. Further studies on *Chara spp* and other aquatic plants in relation to mosquito breeding. *Am. J. Trop. Med.*, 9: 249-266.
- MAW, M. G., 1970. Capric acid as a larvicidal and oviposition stimulant for mosquitoes. *Nature*, 227: 1154-1155.
- McGREGOR, M. E., 1924. Tests with *Chara foetida* and *Chara hispida* on the development of mosquito larval. *Parasitology*, 16:382-387.
- MENDES, N. M.; PEREIRA, J. P.; SOUZA, C. P. & AZEVEDO, M. L. L., 1984. Ensaios preliminares em laboratório para verificar a ação moluscicida de algumas espécies da flora brasileira. Rev. Saúde Públ. S. Paulo, 18: 348-354.
- MURPHEY, F. J. & BURBUTIS, P. P., 1967. Straw infusion attractiveness to gravid female Culex salinarius. J. Econ. Entomol., 60: 156-161.
- SUCHARIT, S.; APIWATHNASORN, C.; RUK-KEARTSKUL, R. & KERDPIBULU, V., 1982. Inproved oviposition medium for *Mansonia* colonization. *Mosquito News*, 42: 357-359.
- SUPAVARN, P.; KNAPP, F. W. & SIGAFUS, R., 1974. Biologically active plant extracts for control of mosquito larvae. *Mosquito News*, 34: 398-402.
- TRIMBLE, R. M. & WELLINGTON, W. G., 1980. Oviposition stimulant associated with fourth-instar larvae of Aedes togoi (D. C.), J. Med. Entomol., 17: 508-514.
- TYMAN, J. H., 1976. Determination of the component phenols in natural and technical cashew nutshell liquid by gas-liquid chromatography. *Analyt. Chem.*, 48: 30-34.