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#### Abstract

We herein described the preparation of a novel series of limonin derivatives (modification in A-ring), which was synthesized efficiently using methodology in solution as well as in heterogeneous medium ( $\mathrm{K}-10$ ). In addition, we obtained derivatives by inserting the 1,2,3-triazole nucleus via click reaction and also prepared derivatives from reactions with limonin-7-oxime. All compounds were submitted to investigation of the antimicrobial activity against a collection of microorganisms. The results of the antimicrobial activity, in general, demonstrated that a relevant number of synthetic derivatives presented higher activity than the natural product.
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## Introduction

Limonoids are secondary metabolites also known as tetranortriterpenoids. This large class of $\mathrm{C}_{26}$ degraded triterpenes is found in plant families such as Rutaceae and Meliaceae, which have been shown to possess a wide spectrum of biological properties. ${ }^{1-4}$ Limonin (1) (Figure 1), the most abundant limonoid from citrus, is a highly oxygenated compound known to present various biological activities, including the ability to inhibit HIV-1 replication, ${ }^{5}$ anticarcinogenic, ${ }^{6,7}$ antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties. ${ }^{8}$ Studies have described that changes in the B -ring of $\mathbf{1}$ (at $\mathrm{C}-7$ position) greatly affect biological activities, such as antifeedant, ${ }^{9}$ antiproliferative, ${ }^{10}$ antiinflammatory and analgesic. ${ }^{11}$ Literature has demonstrated a positive influence on the induction of phase II enzymes by limonin-7-methoxime ( $\mathbf{1}$ modified on B-ring). Phase II enzymes are associated with the initiation of most types of cancers. ${ }^{12}$ Other changes in the limonin skeleton are described, such as in the D-ring. The D-ring of the limonin nucleus has a furan ring attached to its $\mathrm{C}-3$ position. Its modified forms such as defuran limonin exhibit loss of cytotoxicity in

[^0]human breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231), ${ }^{10}$ and loss of p38 mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase activity. ${ }^{13}$ Moreover, the complete hydrogenation of the furan ring 1 resulted in a lower antifeedant activity against S. frugiperda. ${ }^{9}$ Another synthetic limonin derivative from the modification of D-ring is the desoxylimonin that exhibited less analgesic and anti-inflammatory efficacy than limonin, suggesting the importance of the epoxy group for these activities. ${ }^{11}$


Figure 1. Structure of limonin (1).

On the other hand, literature reports few studies from the modification in A-ring of $\mathbf{1}$ and its investigation of the antimicrobial activity. ${ }^{14-17}$ Based on these aspects, this study reports the obtaining of limonin derivatives from changes in A-ring and the investigation of antimicrobial activity of all compounds. The modifications in the A-ring were made through aminolysis reactions with different primary amines
in homogeneous and heterogeneous media. In addition, we obtained new derivatives by inserting the 1,2,3-triazole nucleus via click reaction and also from $O$-alkylation and $O$-acylation reactions of limonin-7-oxime.

## Experimental

## Reagents and equipments

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained on a Bruker DPX-400 spectrometer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at 400.1 MHz and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ at 100.6 MHz ) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ or in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as the internal standard. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in ppm and the coupling constants ( $J$ ) are expressed in Hertz (Hz). Melting points were determined with a MQAPF-301 apparatus and are uncorrected. Electrospray ionization (ESI) highresolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Waters-Xevo G2 QTof mass spectrometer. An ultrasound bath (water), Bandelin Sonorex RK510S (50-60 Hz, $220 \mathrm{~V}, 9.5 \mathrm{~A}$ ), was used. Reactions were performed using a microwave (MW) oven (model Multiwave 3000, Anton Paar), equipped with a rotor for eight high-pressure quartz vessels (capacity of 80 mL , maximum pressure and operation temperature of 80 bar and $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively). Reactions were monitored using thin layer chromatography (TLC), performed using Merck DC aluminum plates coated with silica gel GF-254. Flash chromatography was carried out with silica gel (200-300 mesh). Compounds were detected by short and long wavelength ultraviolet light, by spraying with $5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, followed by heating. All commercially available reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ampicillin, azithromycin, levofloxacin and nystatin, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, were used as control antibiotics. All solvents were of analytical grade and freshly distilled prior to use.

General procedure for extraction of limonin (1) from citrus seeds

The following procedure was employed for the extraction of $\mathbf{1}$. Dried and crushed citrus seeds $(1.0 \mathrm{~kg})$ were extracted in a 3 L round-bottom flask equipped with a Soxhlet apparatus with acetone ( 1.0 L ) in reflux by 8 h . The resulting acetone extract was concentrated in vacuum to obtain a crude residue. The residual extract was washed with light petroleum (b.p. $30-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The solid crude limonin was solubilized in dichloromethane ( 250 mL ) and precipitated by slow addition of acetone, its solid was then filtered out and dried under reduced pressure to give the pure $\mathbf{1}(12 \mathrm{~g})$ as a white solid that was characterized
by corresponding spectroscopic data ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR listed below.

Limonin (1)
White solid; m.p. $296-297{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{18} 298{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.7,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 14.5,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 12.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 16.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.85 (dd, 1H, $J 15.7,14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.96 (dd, 1H, J 16.8, 3.7 Hz ), 4.02 (br s, 1H), 4.06 (s, 1H), 4.47 $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.34 (br s, 1H), 7.38-7.42 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 17.6 .18 .9,20.6,21.4,30.2,30.8,35.6,36.4$, $38.1,46.1,48.1,51.4,54.0,60.7,65.4,65.8,77.8,79.2$, 80.3, 109.7, 120.1, 141.2, 143.2, 166.5, 168.9, 206.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 471.2013$; found: 471.2015.

## General procedure for the synthesis of derivatives 3a-o

## Reaction condition $i$ (microwave-assisted)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}(2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute $\mathrm{EtOH}(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a glass tube was added dropwise the appropriate amine ( 3.6 mmol ) and $\mathrm{K}-10\left(0.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$; the quartz tube was sealed with reaction mixture and introduced into a microwave oven. The flask was irradiated for 30 min ( 150 W ) the temperature of $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of the reaction the mixture was filtered, the organic phase was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude products. All the compounds were purified by column chromatography on silica gel using 2-5\% EtOH- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to give analytically pure products $\mathbf{3 a - m}$. The products were characterized by corresponding spectroscopic data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and HRMS).

## Reaction condition ii (reflux)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}(2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in absolute EtOH $(8.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in round-bottom flask (equipped with a reflux condenser and recirculating chiller) was added dropwise the appropriate amine $(3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}-10\left(0.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$ and stirred. The reaction mixture was then heated at reflux and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC.

After completion of the reaction (12-36 h), the mixture was filtered, the organic phase was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude products. All compounds were purified by column chromatography on silica gel using $2-5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to give analytically pure products 3a-o.

## Reaction condition iii (ultrasound)

To a round-bottom flask was added montmorillonite $\mathrm{K}-10\left(0.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$, and $\mathbf{1}(2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was dispersed on K-10. Then the appropriate amine ( 3.6 mmol ) was added dropwise and the mixture was sonicated in an ultrasonic bath; the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC and after completion of the reaction $(10-12 \mathrm{~h})$, the products were extracted by washing the $\mathrm{K}-10$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phase was dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield the crude products. The crude products were purified by column chromatography over silica gel using 2-5\% $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to give analytically pure products $\mathbf{3 a - 0}$. The products were characterized by corresponding spectroscopic data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and HRMS).
$N$-Benzyl-2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d]isochromen-9yl)acetamide (3a)

White crystal; m.p. 216-217 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.46$ (m, 1H), 1.68 (dd, 1H, J 13.7, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.89-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.5,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.47(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-$ $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 15.9$. 21.0, 22.3, 23.3, 29.7, 33.4, $36.5,39.1,37.7,43.5,48.8,51.0,52.6,53.2,60.4,61.3$, $65.7,78.5,78.6,82.9,109.7,120.3,127.4,127.5$ (2C-Ar), 128.6 (2C-Ar), 138.0, 141.0, 143.1, 167.9, 171.9, 208.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{NO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+: 578.2748$; found: 578.2753.
$N$-(4-Chlorobenzyl)-2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d] isochromen-9-yl)acetamide (3b)

Yellowish white solid; m.p. $189-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 15.5,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.78-2.96 (m, 2H), 3.83 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.81 ( s , $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 14.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.47$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 14.6,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.2$. 21.3, 22.4, 23.5, 29.9, 33.6, $36.7,37.9,39.7,43.1,48.9,51.4,52.7,53.5,61.1,61.5$, $65.8,78.5,78.8,83.0,109.9,120.5,128.9$ (2C-Ar), 129.1 (2C-Ar), 133.5, 136.9, 141.2, 143.2, 167.2, 171.5,
207.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{ClNNaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 634.2178; found: 634.2169.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b, 7, 7, 11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d] isochromen-9-yl)- N -(4-methoxybenzyl)acetamide (3c)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.4,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.81$ (br s, 1H), 1.90-2.15 (m, 3H), 2.24-2.33 (m, 2H), 2.68 (dd, 1H, J 15.6, 9.2 Hz), 2.80-2.95 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 5H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 4.33 (dd, 1H, J 14.7, 5.4 Hz), 4.39 (dd, 1H, $J 14.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.88(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), 7.20 (d, 2H, J 8.6 Hz ), 7.35-7.44 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0,21.3,22.5,23.5,29.9,33.7$, $36.6,37.8,39.5,43.2,48.9,51.2,52.7,53.3,55.4,60.9$, $61.4,65.7,78.5,78.8,82.9,109.8,114.2$ (2C-Ar), 120.4, 128.9 (2C-Ar), 130.3, 141.1, 143.2, 159.1, 167.4, 171.6, 207.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 630.2674; found: 630.2656.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b, 7, 7, 11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d] isochromen-9-yl)- $N$-(4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl)acetamide (3d)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.84-2.03 (m, 3H), 2.23 (dd, 2H, J $14.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.45 (br s, 1H), 2.59-2.70 (m, 1H), 2.73-2.88 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ (br s, 1H), 4.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.38 (dd, 1H, J 15.4, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.1,21.3,22.5$, 23.5, 29.9, 33.6, 36.6, 37.8, 39.7, 43.1, 48.8, 51.3, 52.7, $53.3,61.0,61.4,65.7,78.5,78.9,82.9,109.8,120.4,124.2$ $\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 272.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{C},{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{CF}} 3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.8(2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Ar})$, $129.9\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{2} J_{\text {CF }} 32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 141.1,142.5,143.2,167.4,171.8$, 207.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 668.2442; found: 668.2479.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d]isochromen-9-yl)- $N$-phenethylacetamide (3e)

White solid; m.p. $231.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ $\left.+\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.77-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.91-2.06 (m, 1H), 2.13-2.26 (m, 2H), 2.50 (dd, 1H, J 15.8,
$9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.62-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.72 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.96 (br s, 2H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 6.28 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.36(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ 15.9, 21.0, 22.2, 23.2, 29.6, 33.4, 35.3.36.5, 37.7, 39.0, 40.5, 48.8, 50.9, $52.6,53.2,60.3,61.2,65.7,78.5,78.6,82.8,109.7,120.2$, 126.5, 128.6 (2C-Ar), 128.7 (2C-Ar), 138.9, 141.0, 143.1, 168.1, 172.0, 208.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 614.2724; found: 614.2726.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-floxireno[2,3-d]isochromen-9-yl)- $N$-((S)-1-phenylethyl)acetamide (3f)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $127.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.63-1.68$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65$ (dd, 1H, J 15.4, 8.3 Hz ), 2.77-2.90 (m, 2H), 3.77 (d, 1H, $J 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.42 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 6.78 (d, 1H, J7.3 Hz), 7.28-7.41 $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 16.0, 21.3, 22.3, 22.5, 23.4, 29.9, 33.7, 36.6, 37.8, 39.4, 48.9, 49.2, 51.3, $52.7,53.3,60.8,61.7,65.7,78.5,78.8,83.6,109.9,120.2$, 126.2 (2C-Ar), 127.5, 128.8 (2C-Ar), 141.1, 143.1, 143.3, 167.5, 171.0, 207.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 614.2724$; found: 614.2719.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno [2,3-d]isochromen-9yl) $-N$-((R)-1-phenylethyl)acetamide ( $\mathbf{3 g}$ )

Yellowish solid; m.p. $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47$ (d, 3H, J 6.8 Hz), 1.63-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.90-2.09 (m, 4H), 2.28 (d, 2H, J 11.5 Hz ), 2.62-2.71 (m, 1H), 2.77-2.91 (m, 2H), $3.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.42 (s, 1H), 6.33 (s, 1H), 6.78 (d, 1H, J7.3 Hz), 7.28-7.46 $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 16.1, 21.3, 22.4, $22.6,23.5,29.9,33.7,36.6,37.9,39.4,48.9,49.3,51.3$, $52.7,53.5,61.1,61.5,65.6,78.4,78.7,82.8,109.9,120.4$, 126.8 (2C-Ar), 127.7, 128.9 (2C-Ar), 141.1, 142.3, 143.2, 167.2, 171.3, 207.8; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 614.2724$; found: 614.2720.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno [2,3-d]isochromen-9-yl)- $N$-(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)acetamide (3h)

White crystal; m.p. $214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz ,
$\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.74-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64-2.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 16.3$, $4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.53$ (dd, 1H, J 16.3, 5.2 Hz ), 5.37 (s, 1H), 6.26 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J 4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33-8.53(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.1,21.2,22.5$, 23.5, 29.9, 33.6, 36.7, 37.8, 39.4, 44.8, 48.9, 51.2, 52.6, 53.3, 60.9, 61.5, 65.7, 78.4, 78.7, 82.9, 109.8, 120.4, 122.1, $122.5,136.9,141.0,143.2,149.0,156.5,167.4,171.9$, 208.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 601.2520; found: 601.2508.

N-(Furan-2-ylmethyl)-2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-ffoxireno[2,3-d] isochromen- 9 -yl)acetamide ( $\mathbf{3 i}$ )

White solid; m.p. $225-226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.90-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.5$, 8.5 Hz ), 2.75-3.01 (m, 2H), 3.76 (br s, 1H), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.10 (s, 2H), 4.40 (dd, 1H, J 15.5, 5.5 Hz ), 4.47 (dd, 1H, $J 15.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 3.2,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 6.32 (dd, 1H, J 3.2, 1.9 Hz ), 6.34 (dd, 1H, J $1.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 6.79 (br s, 1H), 7.34 (dd, 1H, J $1.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.37-7.43 (m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.2,21.3,22.4$, 23.5, 29.9, 33.6, 36.6, 37.9, 39.6, 48.3, 48.9, 51.4, 52.7, $53.5,60.9,61.7,66.0,78.0,78.5,82.9,109.8,109.9,110.6$, 120.4, 141.2, 142.2, 143.2, 143.4, 167.3, 171.3, 207.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{9}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 590.2361$; found: 590.2527.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3- $d$ ] isochromen- 9 -yl)- $N$-(thiophen-2-ylmethyl)acetamide ( $\mathbf{3} \mathbf{j}$ )

Yellowish white solid; m.p. $228{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.95-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 14.0,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.66$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.4,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (br s, 1 H$), 3.80$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.77(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.21 (dd, 1H, J 5.0, 1.2 Hz ), 7.38-7.42 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0,21.0,22.3,23.4,29.9,33.7$, 36.5, 37.6, 39.0, 48.4, 48.6, 51.4, 52.5, 53.4, 60.3, 61.4, $65.8,78.4,78.6,82.9,109.6,120.3,125.1,126.1,126.9$, 140.3, 140.9, 143.1, 167.0, 171.5, 208.2; HRMS (ESI)
calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 606.2132$; found: 606.2154 .

N-(Benzo[d][1, 3]dioxol-5-ylmethyl)-2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3- $d$ ] isochromen-9yl)acetamide ( $\mathbf{3 k}$ )

Yellowish white solid; m.p. $210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.97-2.11 (m, 4H), 2.31 (dd, 2H, J 14.2, 3.3 Hz), 2.63-2.72 (m, 1H), 2.78-2.91 (m, 2H), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.13 (s, 2H), 4.32 (dd, 1H, J 14.7, 5.5 Hz), 4.40 (dd, 1H, $J 14.7,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.39 (dd, 1H, J 2.5, 0.9 Hz ), 7.41 (br s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.0,21.3,22.5,23.5,29.9,33.7$, $36.6,37.8,39.6,43.5,48.9,51.2,52.7,53.3,60.9,61.4$, $65.7,78.5,78.8,82.9,101.2,108.3,108.4,109.8,120.4$, $120.9,132.2,141.1,143.2,147.0,148.1,167.3,171.6$, 207.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{10}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 644.2466; found: 644.2476.

N-Allyl-2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d]isochromen-9yl)acetamide (3I)

White solid; m.p. $143-145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40$ (s, 3H), 1.43-1.54 (m, 1H), 1.81 (dd, 1H, J 13.8, 7.2 Hz ), 1.95-2.21 (m, 3H), $2.33(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 14.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 14.6,9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.03-3.18 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 1H), 3.87 (br s, 1H), $4.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 17.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J 13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 16.3,21.5,23.6,23.8,29.9,34.5,37.6,38.9,40.1,42.7$, $49.9,52.1,53.9,54.3,61.3,62.6,67.0,79.3,79.9,84.3$, $110.9,116.0,121.9,135.3,142.6,144.3,169.8,174.1$, 210.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 550.2411; found: 550.2513.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b, 7, 7, 11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d] isochromen-9-yl)-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)acetamide (3m)

Yellowish white solid; m.p. $141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
1.92-2.10 (m, 4H), 2.23 (t, 1H, J 2.8 Hz ), 2.27-2.36 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.04 (dd, 2H, J 5.3, 2.8 Hz), 4.14 (br s, 2H), 5.44 (s, 1H), $6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.36-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 16.1,21.3,22.5,23.5$, 29.3, 29.9, 33.7, 36.6, 37.8, 39.2, 48.9, 51.2, 52.7, 53.3, $60.9,61.4,65.7,71.6,78.4,78.9,79.5,82.7,109.8,120.4$, 141.1, 143.2, 167.4, 171.5, 207.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 548.2255$; found: 548.2242.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b, 7, 7, 11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d] isochromen-9-yl)- $N$-isopropylacetamide (3n)

Yellowish white solid; m.p. 124-126 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.061 .20(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 11.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.59-2.73 (m, 1H), 2.78-2.90 (m, 2H), 3.24-3.31 (m, 1H), 3.77 (d, 1H, J 8.1 Hz ), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ (s, 2H), 5.43 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 11.4,16.0,21.3,22.5$, $22.7,23.5,29.9,33.7,36.6,37.8,39.5,41.4,48.9,51.3$, $52.7,53.3,60.8,61.4,65.7,78.5,78.9,83.1,109.8,120.4$, 141.1, 143.2, 167.4, 172.1, 208.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 552.2568$; found: 552.2642.

N-Ethyl-2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d]isochromen-9yl )acetamide (30)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.8,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.92-2.15 (m, 4H), 2.21-2.34 (m, 2H), 2.66 (dd, 1H, J 15.8, 9.4 Hz ), 2.78-2.91(m, 2H), 3.12-3.27 (m, 2H), $3.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 14.7,16.1,21.3$, $22.4,23.5,29.9,33.7,34.6,36.7,37.9,39.5,49.0,51.3$, $52.7,53.4,60.9,61.54,65.8,78.5,78.8,83.1,109.9,120.5$, 141.1, 143.2, 167.3, 171.7, 207.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 538.2411$; found: 538.2462.

General procedure for synthesis of limonin-7-oxime (4)

To a solution of $\mathbf{1}(235.0 \mathrm{mg})$ in absolute $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ $(6.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added hydroxylamine hydrochloride $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} . \mathrm{HCl}, 240.0 \mathrm{mg}\right)$. Pyridine ( 6.0 mL ) was then added subsequently and the solution was refluxed for 5 h . The reaction was cooled and a saturated solution of NaCl
added. The mixture was then extracted with AcOEt to obtain pure limonin-7-oxime (4) in $91 \%$ yield. Spectral data for the product prepared are listed below.

## Limonin-7-oxime (4)

White crystal; m.p. $237{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400.1 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{br}$ s, 1H), $1.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 16.8,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34$ (br s, 1H), 7.36-7.42 (m, 2H), 8.41 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 18.4,18.8,19.6,21.3,21.5,30.4$, $33.0,35.9,38.1,45.9,46.3,49.7,54.4,60.3,65.4,65.9$, 78.6, 79.4, 80.7, 109.8, 120.3, 141.1, 143.3, 159.1, 167.8, 170.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 508.1942; found: 508.1941.

General procedure for synthesis of limonin-7-oxime ether derivatives $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ and limonin-7-oxime ester 5c

Synthesis of limonin-7-oxime ether derivatives $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$
To a solution of $\mathbf{4}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide (DMF, 10.0 mL ) was added dropwise the appropriate alkyl bromide ( 1.3 eq ). The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and sodium hydride ( 1.5 eq ) was added portionwise over a period of 10 min . The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 8 h . The reaction was then quenched with water and DMF was removed in vacuo; the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 3 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the product isolated by column chromatography over silica gel using $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to afford the desired products $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$ in yields of $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ for $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ for $\mathbf{5 b}$. The products were characterized by corresponding spectroscopic data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and HRMS).
( $8 \mathrm{a} S, 8 \mathrm{~b} S, 9 \mathrm{a} S, 12 S, 12 \mathrm{a} S, 14 \mathrm{~b} R, E)-8$-((Allyloxy)imino)-12-(furan-3-yl)-6,6,8a,12a-tetramethyldodecahydrooxireno[ 2,3- $d$ ]pyrano[4’,3’:3,3a]isobenzofuro[5,4- $f$ ]isochromene-3,10(1H,6H)-dione (5a)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $137-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.07(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 16.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.97 (dd, 1H, J 16.7, 3.8 Hz ), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J $13.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$J 11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.32$ (dd, 1H, J 17.2, 1.4 Hz), 5.47 (s, 1H), 5.90$6.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37$ (br s, 1H), 7.39-7.45 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.2,19.7,19.8,21.5,21.7,30.4$, $33.2,35.9,38.1,46.1,46.3,50.0,54.4,60.6,64.9,65.9$, $75.4,78.4,79.4,80.5,109.9,118.5,120.4,134.2,141.1$, 143.3, 158.4, 167.0, 169.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}: 548.2255\right.$; found: 548.2257.
(8aS,8bS,9aS,12S,12aS,14bR,E)-8-(((4-Bromobenzyl) oxy)imino)-12-(furan-3-yl)-6,6,8a,12a-tetramethyldodeca hydrooxireno[2,3-d]pyrano[4',3':3,3a]isobenzofuro[5,4-f] isochromene-3,10(1H,6H)-dione (5b)

Yellowish solid; m.p. 210-212 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.02$ (m, 2H), 2.29 (d, 1H, 59.5 Hz$), 2.63$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 17.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 17.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.51$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.19-7.22 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.39 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.50 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.1,19.8,19.9,21.0$, $21.5,30.5,33.4,35.9,38.0,46.3,46.4,50.1,54.4,60.9$, 64.8, 65.9, 75.6, 78.4, 79.5, 80.4, 109.9, 120.3, 128.7, 130.6 (2C-Ar), 131.8 (2C-Ar), 137.3, 141.1, 143.3, 159.4, 167.0, 169.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{8}$ [M + Na]+: 676.1517; found: 676.1521.

## Synthesis of limonin-7-oxime ester (5c)

To a solution of $4(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(10.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise benzoyl chloride ( 1.3 eq ). The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and sodium hydride ( 1.5 eq ) was added portionwise over a period of 10 min . The reaction mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h . The mixture was quenched with aqueous sodium bicarbonate (5.0\%) and extracted with dichloromethane $(4 \times 30.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaCl}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude solid. The crude product was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using $10 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to give pure product $\mathbf{5 c}$ in $80 \%$ yield. The product was characterized by corresponding spectroscopic data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and HRMS).
(8aS,8bS,9aS,12S,12aS,14bR,E)-8-((Benzoyloxy)imino)-12-(furan-3-yl)-6,6,8a,12a-tetramethyldodecahydrooxireno [2,3-d]pyrano[4',3':3,3a]isobenzofuro[5,4-f]isochromene-3,10(1H,6H)-dione (5c)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $215-216{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
$J 15.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 11.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.69 (d, 1H, J 16.7 Hz ), 2.93-2.98 (m, 1H), 3.36 (dd, 1H, $J 14.3,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02$ (br s, 2H), 4.38 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.44$ (m, 2H), 7.45-7.53 (m, 2H), 7.58-7.66 (m, 1H), 7.98-8.07 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 18.4, 19.6, 21.4, $21.5,22.1,30.2,32.6,35.9,38.2,46.2,47.4,49.8,54.6$, $60.3,65.0,65.6,78.2,79.3,80.2,109.9,120.3,127.14$, 128.8 (2C-Ar), 129.7 (2C-Ar), 133.7, 141.1, 143.2, 163.3, 166.4, 169.3, 169.4; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NNaO}_{8}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 596.2255$; found: 596.2246.

General procedure for synthesis of 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ by click reaction

To a solution of $\mathbf{3 m}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ previously synthesized as described, in tetrahydrofuran (THF, 1.0 mL ) were added dropwise the respective organic azide ( 0.3 mmol ). Then a fresh solution of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.0006 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sodium ascorbate $(0.0012 \mathrm{~g}$, $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in distilled $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture stirred under air for 10 h . The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and brine ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was then extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine ( 3 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the product isolated by column chromatography on silica gel using $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent to afford the desired products $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{b}$ in yields of $78 \%$ for $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $71 \%$ for $\mathbf{6 b}$. The products were characterized by corresponding spectroscopic data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and HRMS). Spectral data for the products prepared are listed below.

N -((1-(4-Bromobenzyl)-1 H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)-2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b,7,7,11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetra decahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d]isochromen-9yl )acetamide (6a)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $245-246{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J 15.4,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.73-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.52 (dd, 1H, J 15.2, 5.8 Hz$), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.02 (br s, 1H), 7.10-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.40 (m, 2H), $7.45-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0$, 21.2, 22.3, 23.3, 29.7, 33.5, 35.0, 36.4, 37.7, 39.2, 48.7, 51.1, 52.5, 53.2, 53.5, 60.9, 61.3, 65.5, 78.2, 78.5, 82.5, 109.7, 120.3, 122.0, 123.1, 129.7 (2C-Ar), 132.3 (2C-Ar), 133.4, 140.9, 143.0, 145.4, 167.5, 171.4, 207.6; HRMS
(ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{BrN}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$: 759.2000; found: 759.2004.

2-((1S,3aS,4aR,4bR,9aR,11aS)-1-(Furan-3-yl)-9a-(hydroxymethyl)-4b, 7, 7, 11a-tetramethyl-3,5-dioxotetradecahydroisobenzofuro[5,4-f]oxireno[2,3-d] isochromen-9-yl)-N-((1-(4-methylbenzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl)acetamide (6b)

Yellowish solid; m.p. $209{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400.1 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-2.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27$ (d, 2H, J 13.6 Hz ), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.63 (dd, 1H, $J 15.5,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.72-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ (dd, 1H, J 15.3, 5.5 Hz$), 4.51$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 15.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.43$ (s, 3H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 7.07 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (s, 2H), 7.26 (s, 2H), 7.35-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.43 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 16.0,21.1,21.1,22.2$, 23.3, 29.7, 33.4, 35.0, 36.4, 37.7, 39.2, 48.6, 51.0, 52.5, $53.2,54.0,60.8,61.3,65.5,78.2,78.5,82.5,109.7,120.3$, 121.9, 128.3 (2C-Ar), 129.8 (2C-Ar), 131.4, 138.8, 140.9, 143.0, 144.8, 167.1, 171.4, 207.7; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{NaO}_{8}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}: 695.3051$; found: 695.3066.

## X-Ray crystallography

Single crystal X-ray measurements were made on a crystal glued to a fine glass fiber in a Bruker X8 Kappa APEX II CCD diffractometer using $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha$ graphite monochromatized radiation ( $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) either at room temperature or at 100 K with a cold nitrogen stream. The individual images were integrated using SAINT ${ }^{19}$ to $0.70 \AA$ resolution for all crystal structures. Data were corrected for absorption effects using the multiscan method using SADABS. ${ }^{20}$ The structure was solved and refined using the Bruker SHELXTL software package. ${ }^{21}$

## Crystal data of limonin (1)

Molecular formula: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{8}$, MM: 470.51, orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (No. 19), $a=8.7938$ (11) $\AA$, $b=14.4208(19) \AA, c=17.653(3) \AA, \mathrm{V}=2238.6(5) \AA^{3}$, $\mathrm{T}=100 \mathrm{~K}, Z=4,20128$ reflections measured, 5945 independent ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0762$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $w R\left(F_{2}\right)$ was 0.0964 . Flack $x$ determined using 1243 quotients by the Parsons method ${ }^{22}$ was $0.6(8)$. The chirality of the compound was based on the structure of 4 .

## Crystal data of limonin derivative 3a

Molecular formula: $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{8}$, MM: 577.65, orthorhombic, $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (No. 19), $a=9.5605(4) \AA$, $b=12.1844(5) \AA, c=24.9809(10) \AA, \mathrm{V}=2910.0(2) \AA^{3}$,
$\mathrm{T}=296 \mathrm{~K}, Z=4,56267$ reflections measured, 8895 independent ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0925$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $w R\left(F_{2}\right)$ was 0.1179 . Flack $x$ determined using 1208 quotients by the Parsons method ${ }^{22}$ was $0.2(6)$. The chirality of the compound was based on the structure of 4 .

## Crystal data of limonin derivative $\mathbf{3 h}$

Molecular formula: $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}, \mathrm{MM}: 578.64$, orthorhombic, P212121 (No. 19), $a=9.4401$ (4) $\AA$, $b=13.0432(5) \AA, c=23.2182(8) \AA, V=2858.84(19) \AA^{3}$, $\mathrm{T}=296 \mathrm{~K}, Z=4,69806$ reflections measured, 8643 independent ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.1309$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $w R\left(F^{2}\right)$ was 0.1385 . Flack $x$ determined using 1026 quotients by the Parsons method ${ }^{22}$ was $0.3(7)$. The chirality of the compound was based on the structure of 4 .

Crystal data of limonin-7-oxime (4) as ethanol solvate
Molecular formula: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{8} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$, MM: 485.53, monoclinic, $P 2_{1}($ No. 4), $a=9.093$ (3) $\AA, b=11.227$ (3) $\AA$, $c=12.984(4) \AA, \beta=106.847(14)^{\circ}, V=1268.6(7) \AA^{3}$, $\mathrm{T}=100 \mathrm{~K}, Z=2,30536$ reflections measured, 7586 independent ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0196$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $w R\left(F^{2}\right)$ was 0.0838 . Flack $x$ determined using 3254 quotients by the Parsons method ${ }^{22}$ was $-0.07(13)$; a value close to zero indicates the correct enantiomorph. The correct chirality was confirmed by the Bayesian method ${ }^{23}$ in PLATON [version 301214]; ${ }^{24}$ with a probability P 2 (true) $=1.000$ with 3544 Bijvoet pairs.

## Antimicrobial test methods

For the antimicrobial evaluation, strains from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) were used. Fungi: Candida albicans ATCC 10231, Candida tropicalis ATCC 18803, Candida krusei ATCC 6258, Candida parapslosis ATCC 22018, Cryptococcus neoformans ATCC 28952, and Cryptococcus gatti ATCC 2601; Grampositive bacteria: Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Bacillus subtilis ATCC 6633, Bacillus cereus ATCC 33019, Enterococcus spp. ATCC 6589, Enterobacter aerogenes ATCC 13048, Listeria innocua ATCC 33090, and Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 19112; Gram-negative bacteria: Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Enterobacter cloacae ATCC 1304, Burkholderia cepacia ATCC 17759, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Shigella sonnei ATCC 25931, Salmonella typhimurium ATCC 14028, and Morganella morganii ATCC 25829. Ampicillin, azithromycin and levofloxacin were included as antibacterial controls. Nystatin was used as antifungal control.

## Broth microdilution method

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) was determined on 96 well culture plates by a microdilution method using a microorganism suspension at a density of 105 colony-forming unit (CFU) $\mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ with casein soy broth incubated for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for bacteria, and Sabouraud broth incubated for 72 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for fungi. The cultures that did not present growth were used to inoculate plates of solid medium (Muller Hinton agar and Sabouraud agar) in order to determine the minimal lethal concentration (MLC). Proper blanks were assayed simultaneously and samples were tested in triplicate. Technical data have been described previously (National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, NCCLS). ${ }^{25}$

## Results and Discussion

This study began with the use of a small amount of limonin (1) which was isolated from Helietta apiculata Benth in our laboratories. However, we decided to investigate other sources in order to obtain compound $\mathbf{1}$ in an amount consistent with the present study. We selected Citrus sinensis (orange) seeds, which are easily accessible and provide a greater yield in the isolation of 1. The strategy used to prepare the derivatives starting from changes in A-ring of $\mathbf{1}$ involved its aminolysis with different primary amines in homogeneous and heterogeneous media using microwave or ultrasound sonication. Initially, we investigated the role of the solvent and the use of montmorillonite K-10 clay under microwave energy on the reaction yield. The reaction between compound $\mathbf{1}$ and benzylamine ( $\mathbf{2 a}$ ) generated the desired product 3a in good yields (63-84\%) at a reaction time of 30 min at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, as shown in Table 1. Obtaining this new limonin derivative 3a employing the K-10 was effective with all solvents used and the reaction condition $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{K}-10 /$ microwave was the best since the reaction yield was $84 \%$ (Table 1).

The montmorillonite K-10 clay is widely studied and found to be useful in many reactions, such as the synthesis of polyfunctionalized heterocyclic systems, ${ }^{26,27}$ the obtaining of $\beta$-enamine compound from $\beta$-dicarbonyl compound, ${ }^{28}$ the protection of functional groups such as alcohols, thiols, phenols and amines, ${ }^{29}$ the protection of carbonyl compounds, ${ }^{30}$ the transesterification of $\beta$-ketoesters, ${ }^{31}$ and the aminolysis of epoxides. ${ }^{32}$ This mineral clay catalyzes reactions and provides easy isolation of reactions. Reactions with the other primary amines 2b-m were performed using EtOH/K-10/ microwave oven at a reaction time of 30 min at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions

|  |  <br> 1 |  <br> 2a | Table 1 <br> MW |  <br> 3a |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solvent | Catalyst |  |  | time $\left(80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{min}$ | Yield ${ }^{\text {a }}$ \% |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | K-10 |  |  | 30 | 63 |
| THF | K-10 |  |  | 30 | 67 |
| EtOH | K-10 |  |  | 30 | 84 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | K-10 |  |  | 30 | 55 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | - |  |  | 30 | 48 |
| THF | - |  |  | 30 | 53 |
| EtOH | - |  |  | 30 | 47 |
| 1,4-Dioxane | - |  |  | 30 | 42 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Yield after chromatography. MW: microwave; THF: tetrahydrofuran.
(condition $i$, Table 2). All the new derivatives $\mathbf{3 b}$-m were obtained in good yields of $67-85 \%$. The derivative with $p$-OMeBn substituent $3 \mathbf{c}$ was obtained in higher yield (entry 2 ) and the derivative with 2 -thiophenemethyl substituent $\mathbf{3 j}$ was generated in lower yield (entry 10 ). The structures of the products obtained are shown in Table 2.

Utilizing the condition $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{K}-10$ under reflux (condition $i i$, Table 2), the limonin derivatives 3a-o were also synthesized with good yields of $60-70 \%$, although with a reaction time higher than when these reactions were associated with microwave oven, as described in Table 2. In order to investigate the obtaining of these new derivatives $\mathbf{3 a - o}$ from the heterogeneous methodology, we conducted aminolysis reactions employing montmorillonite K-10 as a solid support associating the use of ultrasound sonication under solvent free conditions (condition iii, Table 2). This series of compounds 3a-o was efficiently obtained (yields $60-80 \%$ ) at a reaction time between $10-12 \mathrm{~h}$ (see Table 2). In conditions $i i$ and $i i i$, other primary amines with a low boiling point were also used such as isopropylamine $\mathbf{2 n}$ (entry 10) and ethylamine 20 (entry 11).

The structure of each product 3a-o was identified from spectroscopic data. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the compounds $\mathbf{3 a - e}, \mathbf{3 h}-\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{3 o}$, the signals assigned to methylene protons bonded to NH appeared in the ranges of ca. $3.36-4.14 \mathrm{ppm}$. On the other hand, the signals attributed to the methine protons bonded to NH of compounds $\mathbf{3 f}$, $\mathbf{3 g}$ and $\mathbf{3 n}$ were registered as multiplets in the ranges of ca. $5.03-5.16 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{3 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$ and at $3.24-3.31 \mathrm{ppm}$ for $\mathbf{3 n}$.

In addition to the signals assigned to the furan ring observed in the characteristic region of aromatic protons, we have also observed other signals corresponding to the aromatic moiety of the derivatives $\mathbf{3 a - k}$ at around $6.23-8.53 \mathrm{ppm}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra showed the signals of the respective amides formed through the opening of the lactone ( A -ring of $\mathbf{1}$ ) due to deshielding of the carbonyl carbon from 168.9 to $171.0-174.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ in derivatives 3a-0. Besides elucidating structures by the use of spectroscopic techniques, crystals were obtained and the structures $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 h}$ were reconfirmed by crystallographic methods.

These crystalline structures were obtained from slow evaporation of the solvent mixture $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /diisopropyl ether (1:2) for 1, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ diisopropyl ether (1:1) for limonin derivatives $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 h}$. The absolute configuration of structures of $\mathbf{1 , 3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 h}$ are based on that of 4 , which was confirmed by the single crystal X-ray diffraction experiment (Figure 2). The structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is identical to that reported before ${ }^{33}$ and is included here as it is a better determination made at 100 K .

The reaction between $\mathbf{1}$ and hydroxylamine hydrochloride in anhydrous ethanol and pyridine under heating at reflux generates the expected limonin-7oxime (4). In the sequence, compound $\mathbf{4}$ was subjected to the $O$-alkylation reaction using alkyl bromides (allyl bromide and $p$-bromobenzyl bromide) in the presence of sodium hydride in anhydrous DMF, yielding the oxime ether derivatives $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$. On the other hand, the derivative $\mathbf{5 c}$ was obtained from the $O$-acylation reaction

Table 2. Synthesis of limonin derivatives by aminolysis
(ion

Table 2. Synthesis of limonin derivatives by aminolysis (cont.)

| entry | Amine | Product | Yield ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ / , time / h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 |  <br> 2h |  <br> 3h | (i) $69,0.5$ <br> (ii) 60,18 <br> (iii) 61,12 |
| 9 |  <br> $2 i$ |  | (i) $73,0.5$ <br> (ii) 62,20 <br> (iii) 69,10 |
| 10 |  <br> 2j |  | (i) $67,0.5$ <br> (ii) 60,24 <br> (iii) 70, 10 |
| 11 |  <br> 2k |  | (i) $78,0.5$ <br> (ii) 65,20 <br> (iii) 70,10 |
| 12 |  <br> 21 |  | (i) $75,0.5$ <br> (ii) 65,36 <br> (iii) 70, 12 |
| 13 |  |  <br> 3m | (i) $68,0.5$ <br> (ii) 60,24 <br> (iii) 63,10 |
| 14 |  |  | (ii) 61,12 <br> (iii) 60, 10 |
| 15 | $\begin{equation*} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \tag{30} \end{equation*}$ |  | (ii) 66, 24 <br> (iii) 61,12 |

${ }^{a}$ Yield after chromatography. Reaction conditions: (i) K-10 $\left(0.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}\right)$ and EtOH $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ associated with microwave oven; (ii) K-10 $\left(0.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{m}^{-1}\right)$, EtOH ( 8 mL ), reflux; (iii) K-10 ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$ ), ultrasound.
(a)




Figure 2. ORTEP plot of $\mathbf{1}$ (a), derivatives $\mathbf{3 a}$ (b) and $\mathbf{3 h}$ (c).
between compound $\mathbf{4}$ and benzoyl chloride reagent in the presence of sodium hydride in anhydrous DMF (Scheme 1).

The compounds were obtained in $72-80 \%$ yield after column chromatography. The structure of product 4 was identified from spectroscopic data and compared with reported values in the literature. ${ }^{9}$ Excellent quality, large crystals of $\mathbf{4}$ were obtained from slow evaporation of ethanol (Figure 3). The absolute structure using the Parsons method ${ }^{22}$ gave a Flack $x$ of $-0.07(13)$, which indicates a probably correct absolute structure. Using Bayesian statistics of the Bijvoet pairs ${ }^{23}$ the probability of the correct struture for the two possibility case (either the chirality is correct or it is wrong) gave a 1.000 probability that the absolute structure is correct. The X-ray diffraction study was consistent only with an $E$ configuration for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ double bond.





Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) hydroxylamine hydrochloride, pyridine, ethanol, reflux, $91 \%$; (ii) RBr or $\mathrm{RCl}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., 72-80\%.


Figure 3. ORTEP plot of limonin-7-oxime (4).

The structures of the products 5a-c were established by the analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, the disappearance of the signal $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OH})$, which appeared at 8.41 ppm for compound 4 was observed. The ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra of the derivatives $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ showed signals corresponding to aromatic moiety at around 7.19-8.07 ppm. In order to get the limonin derivatives containing a nitrogen heterocyclic ring, we selected 1,2,3-triazole nucleus. This type of heterocyclic system has a wide range of biological activities and, among these, significant antimicrobial activity. ${ }^{34-36}$ The construction of the 1,2,3-triazole moiety was carried out by a click reaction. This reaction occurred
between the propargyl derivative $\mathbf{3 m}$ with the selected benzyl azides 1-(azidomethyl)4-bromobenzene or 1-(azidomethyl)4-methylbenzene using $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as precatalyst, sodium ascorbate ( $\mathrm{NaAsc} \mathrm{)} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{reducing} \mathrm{agent}$ in a mixture of THF/water (1:1) at room temperature to afford the desired 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins 6a and $\mathbf{6 b}$ in good yield (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) sodium ascorbate (NaAsc), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), 1-(azidomethyl)4-bromobenzene or 1-(azidomethyl)4-methylbenzene, r.t., 10 h. Yield: $78 \%$ (6a) and $71 \%$ (6b).

The structures of the 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ were unambiguously established based on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra we observed the disappearance of the triplet at 2.23 ppm with $J 2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ assigned to the methine proton of the acetylene and the appearance of a singlet at 7.43 ppm for $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $7.45-7.54 \mathrm{ppm}$ (overlap signal) for $\mathbf{6 a}$ corresponding to 1,2,3-triazolyl moiety. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra showed the signals of the respective carbon triazolyl system, whose methine carbon appeared at 122.0 and 121.9 ppm for $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$, respectively. The quaternary carbon appeared at 145.3 ppm for $\mathbf{6 a}$ and 144.8 ppm for $\mathbf{6 b}$. These chemical shifts confirmed the conversion of derivative $\mathbf{3 m}$ to its corresponding 1,2,3-triazolyl nuclei $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$.

## Biological activity

The antimicrobial activity of limonin (1), limonin derivatives 3a-0, limonin-7-oxime (4), limonin-7-oxime derivatives 5a-c and 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins 6a and 6b was evaluated by minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) from broth microdilution method. The collection of twenty microorganisms used included six fungi: Candida albicans (C. albicans), Candida tropicalis (C. tropicalis), Candida krusei (C. krusei), Candida parapslosis (C. parapslosis), Cryptococcus neoformans (Crypt. n), and Cryptococcus gatti (Crypt. gatti); seven Gram-positive bacteria: Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus), Bacillus subtilis (B. subtilis), Bacillus cereus (B. cereus), Enterococcus spp
(Enteroc. spp), Enterobacter aerogenes (E. aerogenes), Listeria innoсиа (L. innoсиa), and Listeria monocytogenes (L. monocytogenes); and seven Gram-negative bacteria: Escherichia coli (E. coli), Enterobacter cloacae (Ent. cloacae), Burkholderia cepacia (B. cepacia), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa), Shigella sonnei (S. sonnei), Salmonella typhimurium (S. typhimurium), and Morganella morganii (M. morganii). These antimicrobial analyses were performed at concentrations between 6.2-200 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ and converted to $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$, in order to compare the activity of the investigated compounds. Tables with the results of antimicrobial activities in $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ are in the Supplementary Information.

The results observed for the antifungal analysis (Table 3) indicated that among the tested Candida spp, the C. krusei was the most susceptible to the investigated compounds (1, 3a-0, 4, 5a-c, 6a and $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) with the MIC value between $10-103 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(6.2-25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and minimal fungicidal concentration (MFC) value ranging from 43 to $>190 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(25\right.$ to $\left.>100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$. Limonin (1) and some of its derivatives of the series 3a-o, the compounds 3a( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}), \mathbf{3 f}(\mathrm{R}=(S)-(+) \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{CH} 3) \mathrm{Ph})$, 3g $\left(\mathrm{R}=(R)-(-) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\right), \mathbf{3 j}(\mathrm{R}=2$-thiophenemethyl) and $3 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{R}=i-\mathrm{Pr})$ exhibited better antifungal activity with MIC value between $10-13 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\right.$ MIC $\left.=6.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right)$ against this species of Candida (Table 3). Another promising result was the analysis against the fungus Crypt. neoformans, since the compounds limonin (1), the series 3a-o, limonin-7-oxime (4), limonin-7-oxime derivatives $\mathbf{5 a - c}$ and 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) showed antifungal action with MIC value between 11-53 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (6.2-25 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) and MFC value between 39-206 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ (MFC value between $50-100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ ). The derivatives with 2-thiophenemethyl substituent ( $\mathbf{3 j}$ ) with $\mathrm{MIC}=11 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ (MIC $=6.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ ) and ethyl substituent (3o) with MIC $=12 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\right.$ MIC $\left.=6.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ exhibited the best antifungal effect. The derivatives $\mathbf{3 e}(\mathrm{R}=$ phenethyl) with MIC $=21 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and 3 i ( $\mathrm{R}=$ furfuryl) with MIC $=22 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right.$ ) showed effective antifungal activity against this fungus, as shown in Table 3.

The investigation of the antibacterial activity was performed against a range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Among the employed Grampositive bacteria, L. monocytogenes was the most susceptible to all analyzed compounds with MIC value between 34-169 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}\left(25-100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) value ranging from 338 to $>425 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(200\right.$ to $>200 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}$ ) (Table 4). Limonin (1) presented bacterial inhibition against $L$. monocytogenes with MIC $=53 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$

Table 3. Antifungal activity (MIC and MFC in $\mu \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) for limonin (1), limonin derivatives 3a-o, limonin-7-oxime (4), limonin-7-oxime derivatives 5a-c and 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$

|  |  |  <br> $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Bn}$, $-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Bn}, 3$ <br> (R) $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}^{2}\right.$ -thiophen m R = pro |  <br> 3a-0 $\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{CIE}$ <br> $R=$ phene <br> Ph, 3h R <br> ethyl, 3k <br> gyl, 3n R |  <br> 3c $\mathrm{R}=p$ <br> yl, 3 f R = <br> -picolyl, <br> = piperon <br> $i$-pr, 30 R |  <br> eBn, H(CH3 = furfur IR = al thyl |  |  $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\text { ally }$ $5 \mathrm{c}$ |  <br> c $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{b} \mathrm{R}^{1}=p \\ & =\mathrm{Bz} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Microorganism MIC and MFC / ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compounds and control | Candida albicans |  | Candida tropicalis |  | Candida krusei |  | Candida parapslosis |  | Cryptococcus neoformans |  | Cryptococcus gatti |  |
|  | MIC | MFC | MIC | MFC | MIC | MFC | MIC | MFC | MIC | MFC | MIC | MFC |
| 1 | 212 | >212 | 212 | 212 | 13 | 53 | 106 | 212 | 53 | 106 | 106 | 106 |
| 3 a | $>173$ | - | > 173 | - | 11 | 86 | 173 | > 173 | 43 | > 173 | 86 | 86 |
| 3b | $>163$ | - | 163 | > 163 | 20 | 163 | 163 | > 163 | 41 | 163 | 82 | 82 |
| 3 c | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 41 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 |
| 3d | 77 | 77 | 155 | 155 | 39 | 77 | 155 | > 155 | 39 | 39 | 77 | 77 |
| 3 e | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 21 | 84 | 84 | 169 | 21 | 84 | 42 | 84 |
| 3 f | 169 | 169 | 84 | 169 | 10 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 42 | 84 | 42 | 84 |
| 3 g | 169 | 169 | 84 | 84 | 10 | 84 | 84 | 169 | 42 | > 169 | 84 | 84 |
| 3h | > 173 | - | > 173 | - | 22 | > 173 | 173 | > 173 | 43 | > 173 | 43 | 173 |
| 3 i | 176 | > 176 | 176 | > 176 | 22 | 44 | 88 | > 176 | 22 | 176 | 44 | 88 |
| 3j | 171 | 171 | 171 | 171 | 11 | 43 | 86 | 171 | 11 | 86 | 43 | 171 |
| 3k | 80 | 161 | 80 | 80 | 40 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 40 | 40 | 80 | 80 |
| 31 | 189 | > 189 | 189 | > 189 | 24 | 47 | 95 | 189 | 47 | 95 | 47 | 95 |
| 3 m | 190 | > 190 | 190 | 190 | 47 | 190 | 95 | 190 | 47 | 47 | 95 | 95 |
| $3 n$ | 189 | > 189 | 189 | > 189 | 12 | 47 | 94 | > 189 | 47 | 94 | 47 | 94 |
| 30 | 194 | > 194 | 194 | > 194 | 24 | 97 | 97 | 194 | 12 | 97 | 48 | 97 |
| 4 | 103 | > 206 | 206 | 206 | 103 | 103 | 103 | 206 | 51 | 206 | 51 | 103 |
| 5a | 95 | 95 | 95 | 190 | 47 | > 190 | 95 | 190 | 47 | 190 | 47 | 95 |
| 5b | 76 | 76 | 76 | > 153 | 38 | $>153$ | 76 | > 153 | 38 | 153 | 38 | 76 |
| 5 c | 85 | 170 | 170 | > 170 | 42 | $>170$ | 85 | > 170 | 42 | 170 | 85 | 85 |
| 6 a | 68 | 68 | 68 | 135 | 34 | $>135$ | 68 | 135 | 34 | 135 | 34 | 68 |
| 6b | 74 | 74 | 74 | > 149 | 37 | > 149 | 74 | 149 | 37 | 149 | 37 | 74 |
| Nystatin | 0.8 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |

MIC: minimal inhibitory concentration; MFC: minimal fungicidal concentration.
(MIC $=25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ ) and was less effective against the other utilized Gram-positive bacteria with MIC value between $212-425 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(100-200 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$.

The result of limonin derivatives, series 3a-o, indicated that the compounds $\mathbf{3 c}(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{OMeBn})$ with
$\mathrm{MIC}=82 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right), \mathbf{3 d}\left(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Bn}\right)$ with MIC $=77 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right), \mathbf{3 m}(\mathrm{R}=$ propargyl $)$ with MIC $=95 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ showed better antibacterial activity than limonin (1) (MIC value between $212-425 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) to Gram-positive bacteria $S$. aureus,
B. cereus, L. innocua and Enterococcus spp. This positive antibacterial activity of compounds $\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{3 d}, \mathbf{3 m}$ and also $\mathbf{3 g}\left((R)-(+) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ with MIC $=84 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ against $B$. cereus showed better action compared to the control ampicillin (MIC $=143 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ). Conversely, the derivatives 3c $(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{OMeBn})$ with $\mathrm{MIC}=82 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=$ piperonyl) with $\mathrm{MIC}=80 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ against the bacterium E. aerogenes were the most active and showed better action compared to the control ampicillin (MIC $=143 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ). The derivative 3d, which has a $p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Bn}$ substituent, was the only compound in the series $\mathbf{3 a - o}$ that presented relevant antibacterial effect (MIC $=77 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ and MBC $>310 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ) against the bacterium B. subtilis.

Interestingly, the derivative $\mathbf{3 g}$ that has the $(R)-(+)$ $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}$ substituent showed better antibacterial activity against the tested Gram-positive bacteria (MIC value between 42-169 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) than its stereoisomer, the compound $\mathbf{3 f}$ (MIC value between $84-338 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ), which contains the $(S)-(-) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}$ substituent. These results suggest that different stereocenters present in these compounds $\mathbf{3 f}$ and $\mathbf{3 g}$ are important for the antibacterial activity observed. Other derivatives of the series 3a-o exhibited good antibacterial action against L. monocytogenes, the compounds $3 \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{OMeBn})$ with MIC $=41 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MBC}>329 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ and 3k ( $\mathrm{R}=$ piperonyl) with $\mathrm{MIC}=40 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ (MBC $>322 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ). It was also observed with the results shown in Table 4 that compounds $\mathbf{3 n}(\mathrm{R}=i-\mathrm{Pr})$ with MIC $=94 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{3 o}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=$ ethyl) with MIC $=97 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ were selective against both Listeria, L. monocytogenes and L. innocua. Based on the results described above, it can be pointed out that among the series $\mathbf{3 a - 0}$, the derivatives 3c ( $\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{OMeBn}$ ) with the electron donating group to the aromatic ring, $\mathbf{3 d}\left(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Bn}\right)$ with electronwithdrawing group to the aromatic ring, $\mathbf{3 k}$ with piperonyl group and $\mathbf{3 g}$ chiral group $(R)(+) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}$, in general, presented better antibacterial effect against the tested Gram-positive bacteria. The results of the antibacterial analysis of limonin-7-oxime (4), limonin-7-oxime derivatives 5a and 5b and 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins 6a and $\mathbf{6 b}$ indicate good antibacterial effect against $B$. cereus with MIC value between 68-103 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$, which showed better action compared to the control ampicillin with MIC $=143 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$.

In evaluating against all employed Gram-negative bacteria, limonin (1) was less active (MIC value ranging from 212 to $>425 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) than most of its derivatives (MIC value between 17-388 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) as demonstrated in Table 4. The compound $\mathbf{3 c}(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{OMeBn})$ with MIC $=82 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ presented good antibacterial effect
against Ent. cloacae and B. cepacia, which showed better action compared to the controls ampicillin, with MIC $=143 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\right.$ MIC $\left.=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right)$ and levofloxacin, with MIC $=138 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ for Ent. cloacae. Moreover, the compound $\mathbf{3 c}(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{OMeBn})$ also exhibited good antibacterial activity against $P$. aeruginosa with MIC $=41 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and showed better action compared to the controls ampicillin, with MIC $=71 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\right.$ MIC $\left.=25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right)$ and levofloxacin, with MIC $=138 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right)$. Another important result was the antibacterial activity of the compounds $\mathbf{3 d}\left(\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Bn}\right), \mathbf{3 g}\left(\mathrm{R}=(R)-(+) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{Ph}), \mathbf{3 k}(\mathrm{R}=$ piperonyl) and $\mathbf{3 m}(\mathrm{R}=$ propargyl) with MIC value between 77-95 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ against B. cepacia and P. aeruginosa, except the compound 3k which showed MIC $=161 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ against B. cepacia. These results showed better antibacterial effect compared to the controls ampicillin, with MIC $=143 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ against B. cepacia, and levofloxacin, with MIC $=138 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ against $P$. aeruginosa.

The derivative $3 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{R})-(+) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ showed better antibacterial effect than its stereoisomer $\mathbf{3 f}(\mathrm{R}=(S)$ -$\left.(-) \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ against Gram-negative bacteria, thereby, it reproduced the profile exhibited against Gram-positive bacteria (Table 4). As cited above, the derivatives $\mathbf{3 c}$, $\mathbf{3 d}, \mathbf{3 g}, \mathbf{3 k}$ and $\mathbf{3 m}$ showed the best results among the series 3a-o against the tested Gram-negative bacteria. The bacterium $P$. aeruginosa was the most sensitive to limonin-7-oxime (4), limonin-7-oxime derivatives 5a-c and 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 b}$ with MIC value between 17-103 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ (MBC value ranging from 149 to $>412 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ). Compounds 4 and 5a-c showed MIC value between 76-103 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\left(\right.$ MIC $\left.=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right)$ (MBC value ranging from 76 to $>380 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) also to other Gram-negative bacteria E. coli, B. cepacia, S. typhimurium, M. morganii, with the exception of the compound 4 (MIC $\left.=206 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ against $E$. coli. These results showed better antibacterial action compared to the controls ampicillin, with MIC $=143 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ against $B$. cepacia, and levofloxacin, with MIC $=138 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ against P. aeruginosa.

The 1,2,3-triazolyl limonin 6a exhibited MIC $=17 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\left(\right.$ MIC $\left.=12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ against $P$. aeruginosa, thus it was the best antibacterial activity among all the investigated compounds. This result is excellent since this compound $\mathbf{6 a}$ showed better action compared to the controls ampicillin, with $\mathrm{MIC}=71 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=25 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}\right)$, and levofloxacin, with MIC $=138 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=50 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and it was equivalent to the control azithromycin, with MIC $=16.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{MIC}=12.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}{ }^{-1}\right)($ Table 4$)$.

Table 4. Antibacterial activity (MIC and MBC in $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) for limonin (1), limonin derivatives 3a-0, limonin-7-oxime (4), limonin-7-oxime derivatives 5a-c and 1,2,3-triazolyl limonins 6a and 6b

| Gram-positive bacteria MIC and MBC / ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compounds and controls | Staphylococcus aureus |  | Bacillus subtilis |  | Bacillus cereus |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Enterococcus } \\ \text { spp } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Enterobacter aerogenes |  | Listeria innocиа |  | Listeria monocytogenes |  |
|  | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC |
| 1 | 425 | $>425$ | 212 | > 425 | 425 | $>425$ | 425 | $>425$ | 425 | > 425 | 212 | > 425 | 53 | $>425$ |
| 3a | 346 | > 346 | NT | NT | 346 | $>346$ | 346 | > 346 | 346 | > 346 | 173 | $>346$ | 173 | $>346$ |
| 3b | > 327 | - | NT | NT | 327 | $>327$ | > 327 | - | > 327 | - | 163 | $>327$ | 82 | $>327$ |
| 3c | 82 | 164 | 164 | 164 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 164 | 82 | 164 | 82 | > 329 | 41 | > 329 |
| 3d | 77 | 77 | 77 | > 310 | 77 | $>310$ | 77 | $>310$ | 155 | > 310 | 77 | $>310$ | 155 | $>310$ |
| 3 e | 338 | > 338 | NT | NT | 338 | $>338$ | 338 | $>338$ | 338 | 338 | 169 | > 338 | 169 | > 338 |
| 3 f | 338 | $>338$ | NT | NT | 338 | $>338$ | 338 | 338 | 338 | $>338$ | 169 | > 338 | 84 | 338 |
| 3g | 84 | > 338 | 169 | > 338 | 84 | > 338 | 84 | > 338 | 169 | > 338 | 42 | 338 | 42 | > 338 |
| 3h | > 346 | - | NT | NT | > 346 | - | 346 | $>346$ | > 346 | - | 173 | > 346 | 86 | $>346$ |
| 3i | 352 | $>352$ | NT | NT | 352 | $>352$ | 352 | $>352$ | > 352 | - | 176 | $>352$ | 88 | $>352$ |
| 3j | 343 | > 343 | NT | NT | 343 | > 343 | 343 | > 343 | 343 | > 343 | 171 | > 343 | 86 | $>343$ |
| 3k | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 80 | 80 | 80 | > 322 | 40 | $>322$ |
| 31 | 379 | > 379 | NT | NT | 379 | $>379$ | 379 | $>379$ | 379 | $>379$ | 189 | 379 | 95 | $>379$ |
| 3m | 95 | 190 | 190 | > 380 | 95 | $>380$ | 95 | $>380$ | 190 | > 380 | 95 | > 380 | 95 | > 380 |
| 3n | 378 | > 378 | NT | NT | 378 | $>378$ | 378 | $>378$ | 378 | > 378 | 94 | 378 | 94 | > 378 |
| 30 | 388 | > 388 | NT | NT | 388 | $>388$ | 388 | $>388$ | 388 | $>388$ | 97 | 388 | 97 | 388 |
| 4 | 103 | 206 | 206 | $>412$ | 103 | $>412$ | 103 | $>412$ | 206 | $>412$ | 206 | $>412$ | 103 | $>412$ |
| 5a | 95 | $>380$ | 190 | $>380$ | 95 | $>380$ | 95 | 380 | 190 | $>380$ | 95 | > 380 | 47 | $>380$ |
| 5b | 76 | > 305 | 153 | > 305 | 76 | > 305 | 76 | > 305 | 153 | > 305 | 76 | > 305 | 76 | > 305 |
| 5c | 170 | > 339 | > 339 | - | 170 | > 339 | 85 | > 339 | 339 | > 339 | 170 | > 339 | 42 | > 339 |
| 6 a | 68 | 135 | 135 | $>271$ | 68 | $>271$ | 68 | $>271$ | 135 | 271 | 68 | $>271$ | 34 | $>271$ |
| 6b | 74 | 149 | 149 | > 297 | 74 | > 297 | 74 | > 297 | 149 | > 297 | 74 | >297 | 37 | > 297 |
| Ampicillin | 2.2 | 143 | 2.2 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 71 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 36 | 143 | 36 | 143 |
| Azithromycin | 1.0 | 67 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 4.1 | 8.3 |
| Levofloxacin | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |


| Gram-negative bacteria MIC and MBC / ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Escherichia coli |  | Enterobacter cloacae |  | Burkholderia серасіа |  | Pseudomonas aeruginosa |  | Shigella sonnei |  | Salmonella typhimurium |  | Morganella morganii |  |
|  | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC | MIC | MBC |
| 1 | 425 | $>425$ | > 425 | - | $>425$ | - | 212 | > 425 | 425 | 425 | 425 | $>425$ | 212 | $>425$ |
| 3a | 346 | > 346 | 346 | > 346 | 346 | > 346 | 346 | 346 | 346 | > 346 | 346 | > 346 | 173 | > 346 |
| 3b | > 327 | - | > 327 | - | > 327 | - | 327 | > 327 | > 327 | - | > 327 | - | > 327 | - |
| 3 c | 82 | 164 | 82 | 164 | 82 | 82 | 41 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 164 | 82 | 164 |
| 3d | 77 | $>310$ | 155 | $>310$ | 77 | 77 | 77 | 155 | 77 | $>310$ | 77 | 77 | 77 | $>310$ |
| 3 e | 338 | > 338 | 338 | > 338 | 338 | $>338$ | 169 | 338 | > 338 | - | 338 | > 338 | 169 | > 338 |
| 3 f | > 338 | - | 338 | 338 | 338 | > 338 | 338 | > 338 | > 338 | - | > 338 | - | 160 | $>338$ |
| 3g | 84 | > 338 | 169 | > 338 | 84 | 169 | 84 | 338 | 84 | > 338 | 84 | > 338 | 84 | > 338 |
| 3h | $>346$ | - | > 346 | - | $>346$ | - | 346 | > 346 | > 346 | - | $>346$ | - | 173 | $>346$ |
| 3 i | > 352 | - | > 352 | - | 352 | > 352 | 352 | $>352$ | 352 | > 352 | > 352 | - | 176 | > 352 |
| 3j | 343 | 343 | 343 | > 343 | 343 | $>343$ | 171 | 343 | 343 | $>343$ | 343 | > 343 | 171 | > 343 |
| 3k | 80 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 161 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 161 | 80 | 161 |
| 31 | 379 | > 379 | 379 | > 379 | 379 | > 379 | 379 | > 379 | 379 | $>379$ | 379 | > 379 | 189 | > 379 |
| 3 m | 95 | $>380$ | 190 | $>380$ | 95 | 95 | 95 | 190 | 95 | $>380$ | 95 | $>380$ | 190 | $>380$ |
| 3n | 378 | 378 | 378 | $>378$ | 378 | > 378 | 378 | > 378 | 378 | $>378$ | > 378 | - | 100 | $>378$ |
| 30 | 388 | > 388 | 388 | $>388$ | 388 | $>388$ | 388 | $>388$ | 388 | $>388$ | 388 | > 388 | 100 | $>388$ |
| 4 | 206 | $>412$ | 206 | $>412$ | 103 | 103 | 103 | 412 | 103 | $>412$ | 103 | $>412$ | 103 | $>412$ |
| 5a | 95 | 380 | 95 | 380 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 190 | 95 | $>380$ | 95 | $>380$ | 95 | $>380$ |
| 5b | 76 | > 305 | 153 | > 305 | 76 | 76 | 76 | 153 | 153 | > 305 | 76 | > 305 | 76 | > 305 |
| 5c | 85 | > 339 | 170 | > 339 | 85 | 85 | 85 | 170 | 170 | > 339 | 85 | $>339$ | 85 | > 339 |
| 6 a | 68 | 271 | 68 | 271 | 68 | 68 | 17 | > 271 | 68 | $>271$ | 68 | $>271$ | 68 | $>271$ |
| 6b | 74 | > 297 | 149 | > 297 | 74 | 74 | 74 | 149 | 74 | > 297 | 74 | 149 | 74 | > 297 |
| Ampicillin | 8.9 | 71 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 143 | 71 | 143 | 71 | 143 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Azithromycin | 2.0 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 16.7 | 33 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |  | 8.3 | 4.1 |
| Levofloxacin | 8.6 | 69 | 138 | 138 | 69 | 138 | 138 | 138 | 69 | 138 | 2.1 |  | 2.1 | 2.1 |

MIC: minimal inhibitory concentration; MBC: minimal bactericidal concentration; NT: not tested.

## Conclusions

In our study, a novel series of derivatives was synthesized and characterized from natural limonin (modification in A-ring) using methodology in solution as well as in heterogeneous medium. As a result, it was possible to obtain fifteen compounds. In addition, we obtained two derivatives by inserting the 1,2,3-triazole nucleus via click reaction and prepared three derivatives from reactions with limonin-7oxime. The results of the antimicrobial activity against a collection of microorganisms, in general, demonstrated that a relevant number of synthetic derivatives presented higher activity than the natural product limonin and showed higher antibacterial effect comparable to employed controls. The present study indicated that the modification in A-ring of the limonin structure and at C-7 position generated compounds that showed to be more active.

## Supplementary Information

Supplementary data ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, HRMS spectra and tables of the results of antimicrobial activities in $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}$ are available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file. Crystallographic data (compounds 1, 3a, 3h, 4) have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under CCDC deposition with numbers 1051185-1051188 via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
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