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#### Abstract

We describe herein our results on the synthesis and antioxidant properties of 7-chloroquinoline-$1,2,3$-triazoyl-4-carboxylates. This class of compounds have been synthesized in moderated to excellent yields by the reaction of 4 -azido-7-chloroquinoline with a range of $\beta$-ketoesters in the presence of a catalytic amount of pyrrolidine ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The synthesized compounds ethyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1 H -1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate and ethyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate were screened for their in vitro antioxidant activity and the results demonstrated that the first compound reduces the lipid peroxidation levels induced by sodium nitroprusside in liver of mice, while the second compound shown nitric oxide scavenging activity. This is an efficient method to produce new heterocyclic compounds with potential antioxidant activities.
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## Introduction

Quinolines ${ }^{1}$ are an important class of heterocyclic compounds and their structural unit is widespread in alkaloids, therapeutics and synthetic analogues with interesting biological activities. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ great range of quinoline derivatives have been used as antiviral, anticancer, antibacterial, antifungal, antiobesity and anti-inflammatory agents (Figure 1). ${ }^{3}$ Specially, 7-chloroquinoline derivatives are biologically active entities and display a broad range of pharmacological activities, including antimalarial and antitubercular properties. ${ }^{4}$ Because of its importance as a substructure in a wide variety of synthetic and natural products, considerable efforts have been directed to the design and the synthesis of new molecules based on 7-chloroquinoline.

On the other hand, 1,2,3-triazoles ${ }^{5}$ are a class of nitrogen-heterocycles commonly used in the discovery and modulation of drug candidates ${ }^{6}$ and several methodologies based on the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of azides with alkynes have been already reported to access this class of compounds. ${ }^{7,8}$ In particular, the selective construction of both 1,2,3-triazole geometrical isomers has conventionally been accomplished through a metal-mediated catalysis,

[^0]such as copper or ruthenium. ${ }^{8}$ However, the use of metallic catalysts has restricted the application of such methodologies in chemical biology. ${ }^{9}$ Aiming to overcome this drawback, organocatalytic approaches involving enamide-azide cycloaddition have been described. ${ }^{10}$ For example, Ramachary et al. ${ }^{11}$ described a practical and environmentally friendly amino acid catalyzed cascade process for the synthesis of highly substituted 1,2,3-triazoles through the cascade [3+2]-cycloaddition/ hydrolysis of Hagemann's esters with p-toluenesulfonyl azide $\left(\mathrm{TsN}_{3}\right)$ using proline as a catalyst.

Therefore, it remains the necessity for studies on the combinations of different substrates and reaction conditions for the synthesis of highly functionalized and complex heterocyclic structures, such as quinolines and 1,2,3-triazole derivatives. The synthesis of molecules containing these two heterocyclic units has extensive importance since their combine the well-known biological activities of the quinoline ${ }^{2-4}$ unit with those of 1,2,3-triazole moiety. ${ }^{5,6}$

In this context, Savini et al. ${ }^{12}$ described the synthesis of bifunctional hybrids containing 1,2,3-triazoylcarboxylates and 7 -chloroquinoline by the cycloaddition reaction of azidoquinolines with activated methylene compounds. ${ }^{12}$ The obtained molecules presented antiinflammatory and analgesic activities; however, the respective compounds were synthesized using equivalent
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Figure 1. Biologically important quinolines.
amounts of a strong base (EtONa). Recently, Kumar and co-workers ${ }^{13}$ described the synthesis, docking and in vitro antimalarial evaluation of bifunctional hybrids containing 1,2,3-triazoles and 7 -chloroquinoline derivatives. More recently, our research group described the synthesis and pharmacological properties of 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3triazoyl carboxamides. ${ }^{14}$ One of synthesized compounds (QTCA-1, Figure 1) was screened for anticonvulsant, antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities in vivo and it was effective in decreasing the appearance of seizures induced by pilocarpine and pentylenetetrazole. QTCA-1 has an effect on the central pain modulation, presenting antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory properties to combat acute pain. ${ }^{14}$

However, to the best of our knowledge, an organocatalytic approach to synthesize bifunctional hybrids containing 1,2,3-triazoyl-carboxylates and 7-chloroquinoline have not been explored. In this sense, and due to our interest correlated to the preparation of nitrogen-functionalized heterocycles, ${ }^{14,15}$ we describe herein the efficient synthesis


Scheme 1. General scheme of the reaction.
of 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl carboxylates $\mathbf{3}$ by the reaction of 4 -azido- 7 -chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}$ with a range of $\beta$-keto-esters $\mathbf{2}$ in the presence of an organocatalyst (Scheme 1). Additionally, the obtained compounds 3a and $\mathbf{3 k}$, derivative from commercial $\beta$-keto-esters $\mathbf{1 a}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$; $\left.\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ and $\mathbf{1 k}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} ; \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, were screened for their in vitro antioxidant activity.

## Results and Discussion

To found the more suitable reaction conditions for the synthesis of the desired 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl carboxylates $\mathbf{3}$ in high yields, a set of experiments was performed using the 4 -azido-7-chloroquinoline 1 and $\beta$-keto-ester 2a as standard substrates (Scheme 2 and Table 1). We started the reaction conditions screening by reacting 4 -azido-7-chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ with ethyl acetoacetate 2a $(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ as the organocatalyst at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1 , entry 1 ).



Scheme 2.

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | Catalyst / mol\% | Temperature $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Isolated yield <br> of $\mathbf{3 a ^ { \mathrm { b } } / \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(10)$ | 70 | 78 |
| 2 | L-proline (10) | 70 | 57 |
| 3 | pyrrolidine (10) | 70 | 93 |
| 4 | piperidine (10) | 70 | 57 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(10)$ | 70 | 68 |
| $6^{\mathrm{c}}$ | - | 70 | 18 |
| $7^{\text {d }}$ | pyrrolidine (10) | 70 | 89 |
| 8 | pyrrolidine (10) | 50 | 89 |
| 9 | pyrrolidine (10) | r.t. | 90 |
| 10 | pyrrolidine (5) | r.t. | 75 |
| $11^{\mathrm{c}}$ | pyrrolidine (1) | r.t. | 35 |
| $12^{\mathrm{e}}$ | pyrrolidine (10) | r.t. | 80 |
| $13^{\mathrm{f}}$ | pyrrolidine (10) | r.t. | 57 |
| $14^{\mathrm{g}}$ | pyrrolidine (10) | r.t. | traces |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reactions were performed using 4-azido-7-chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}$ ( 0.3 mmol ) and ethyl acetoacetate 2a ( 0.3 mmol ), using DMSO as solvent ( 0.3 mL ) under air atmosphere for 24 h ; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ yields are given for isolated products; ${ }^{c}$ the reactions were performed in 48 h ; ${ }^{\text {d }}$ the reaction was performed using 0.6 mL of DMSO; 'the reaction was performed
 ${ }^{e}$ the reaction was performed in glycerol $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$; r.t: room temperature.

Under this reaction conditions, the desired product 3a was obtained in $78 \%$ yield after 24 h . Using the same conditions, however changing the organocatalyst to L-proline ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), a decrease in the yield of product 3a was observed (Table 1, entry 2). To our satisfaction, a great increment in the chemical yield of $\mathbf{3 a}$ was achieved changing the organocatalyst to pyrrolidine ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), with the product being isolated in $93 \%$ yield after 24 h at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

When piperidine and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ were used as organocatalysts, lower yields of product $\mathbf{3 a}$ were obtained (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). In the absence of an organocatalyst, 3a was isolated in only $18 \%$ yield, even after 48 h at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 1, entry 6). Motivated by the result using pyrrolidine as organocatalyst, additional experiments were performed. Thus, the reaction using $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of pyrrolidine carried out at a diluted, $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ concentration $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}$ of DMSO was used) at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave $\mathbf{3 a}$ in $89 \%$ yield (Table 1, entry 7). When the concentrated ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) reactions were conduced at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or at room temperature instead $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, product $\mathbf{3 a}$ was obtained in good yields (Table 1, entries 8-9). By decreasing the organocatalyst loading from 10 to 5 and $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in reactions using 0.3 mL of DMSO and at room temperature, caused a great decrease in the yields of 3a (Table 1, entries 10 and 11). Reactions performed in PEG-400 and EtOH furnished 80 and $57 \%$ yield, respectively (Table 1, entries 12 and 13). When the reaction was carried out using glycerol, a range of by-products was observed and only traces of desired product were formed (Table 1, entry 14).

From the results shown in Table 1, it can be inferred that the best reaction conditions to obtain 7 -chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl carboxylate $\mathbf{3 a}$ is the stirring of a solution of 4-azido-7-chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethyl acetoacetate $\mathbf{2 a}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyrrolidine ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) as organocatalyst in DMSO $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature under air atmosphere for 24 h (Table 1, entry 9). After that, we focused our efforts in expanding the scope of this methodology by reacting 4-azido-7-chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}$ with a range of $\beta$-keto-esters 2 under the optimized reaction conditions (Scheme 3 and Table 2).


Scheme 3.

Table 2. Variability in the synthesis of 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl-4-carboxilates $\mathbf{3}^{\text {a }}$
entry


2


2b


3b

3


$2 f$


Table 2. Variability in the synthesis of 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl-4-carboxilates $\mathbf{3}^{\text {a }}$ (cont.)
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${ }^{a}$ Reactions were performed using 4-azido-7-chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol}), \beta$-keto-esters 2a-l ( 0.3 mmol ) and pyrrolidine ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in DMSO ( 0.3 mL ) as solvent at room temperature for 24 hours under air atmosphere; byields are given for isolated products; cobtained as a 10:1 mixture of regioisomers; ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ reactions were performed in 48 h .

The results depicted in Table 2 disclose that our protocol works well for a range of substituted $\beta$-ketoesters, affording high yields of the respective products 3 . For example, $\beta$-keto-esters 2b-c, containing alkyl ( $t$-Bu and Oct); 2d containing benzyl and 2e, containing phenethyl groups, afforded the expected products in excellent yields (Table 2, entries 2-5). Similarly, the reactions using alkynol derivatives $\mathbf{2 f} \mathbf{- h}$ yielded the corresponding quinoline-triazoyl carboxylates $\mathbf{3 f}$-h in high yields (Table 2, entries 6-8). Besides, 2-(phenylselanyl) ethyl 3-oxobutanoate $\mathbf{2 i}$ reacted smoothly with 4 -azido-7chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}$, yielding the corresponding product $\mathbf{3 i}$ in $63 \%$ yield (Table 2 , entry 9). Additionally, $\beta$-ketoester derived from cholesterol $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{j}$ was efficiently reacted with 4-azido-7-chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}$ affording satisfactory yield of product $\mathbf{3 j}$ (Table 2, entry 10). Finally, when the reaction was performed using ethyl benzoylacetate $\mathbf{2 k}$, the corresponding product $\mathbf{3 k}$ was obtained in $85 \%$ in a $10: 1$ mixture of regioisomers (Table 2, entry 11). Unfortunately, the reaction using ethyl 4,4,4-trifluoroacetoacetate 21 gave only trace amounts of the desired product 31, even after 48 h (Table 2, entry 12). All the synthesized 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl carboxylates ( $\mathbf{3 a - k}$ ) were characterized by analysis of their mass, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra and the spectral data support and confirm the formation of the target compounds.

The excessive production of reactive species by cellular respiration and other metabolic activities can cause damage to all cellular structures. ${ }^{16}$ Oxidative stress is critical to the etiology of many chronic and degenerative diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes and obesity, ${ }^{17}$ and the synthesis of compounds with antioxidant potential was increased in recent years. ${ }^{18}$ Considering the necessity of discovery of new therapies to prevent or combat the damages caused by the oxidative stress and the pronounced biological activities, including antioxidant properties of quinoline derivatives, the synthesis of this class of compounds with antioxidant potential has received attention from researchers worldwide. ${ }^{19}$ In this sense, after the synthesis and characterization of the 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl-4-carboxilates $\mathbf{3}$, we turned our attention to evaluate the antioxidant activity of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ using different in vitro assays, since that these compounds were synthesized in high yields and derived from commercial $\beta$-keto-esters $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 k}$.

The thiobarbituric acid reactive species (TBARS) assay is often used to evaluate the ability of antioxidants in reducing the lipid peroxidation levels. ${ }^{20}$ Compound 3a reduced the lipid peroxidation levels in 24 and $41 \%$, at the concentrations of $100 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ and $500 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, respectively (Figure 2b). On the other hand, as demonstrated
in Figure 2a, compound $\mathbf{3 k}$ did not protect against lipid peroxidation induced by sodium nitroprusside (SNP).


Figure 2. Effect of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ on lipid peroxidation levels induced by sodium nitroprusside (SNP) in rat liver. Data are reported as the mean (s) $\pm$ standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) of 3-4 independent experiments performed in duplicate and expressed as percentage (\%) of induced. $\left(^{*}\right)$ denotes $p<0.05$ and $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ denotes $p<0.01$ as compared to induced (sample with inductor of oxidative damage - SNP) (One-way ANOVA / Newman-Keuls).

In this way, our results suggest a pharmacological potential of this class of compounds since the compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ protects against the lipid peroxidation in TBARS assay. The lack of effect of the compound $\mathbf{3 k}$, however, does not rule out the possibility of it be exerting antioxidant action by other mechanisms. Thus, other assays were performed to verify if $\mathbf{3 k}$ could act as an antioxidant in vitro.

It is important highlight that studies have demonstrated that products of lipid peroxidation contribute to the mutagenic and carcinogenic effects. ${ }^{21}$ In fact, Shoeb et al..$^{22}$ reported that the formation of 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal protein adducts in renal and colon cancer tissues has been related to the growth and progression of kidney and colon cancers. Thus, strategies focusing on manipulating the reactive species generation, lipid peroxidation and production of
lipid electrophiles may be a viable approach for cancer prevention and treatment.

Free-radical scavenging is one of the known mechanisms by which several compounds act as an antioxidant. Thus, to extend the knowledge of the antioxidant potential of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$, their nitric oxide (NO), ${ }^{23}$ 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) ${ }^{24}$ and 2,2-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) ${ }^{25}$ radicals scavenging abilities were evaluated.

As a result, the compound $\mathbf{3 k}$, at concentrations equal to or higher than $50 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, reduced the production of nitrite up to $41 \%$, indicating its potential as a NO-scavenging agent. In contrast, analog compound 3a did not present this effect (Figures 3a and 3b). NO has been associated with a variety of pathological process including neurodegenerative, inflammatory and cardiovascular diseases. ${ }^{26}$ In this sense, the reduction of NO production has the potential to be beneficial as an approach to develop new therapies for these diseases.


Figure 3. Effect of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ on nitric oxide (NO) radical scavenging assay. Data are reported as the mean (s) $\pm$ standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) of 3-4 independent experiments performed in duplicate and expressed as percentage (\%) of inhibition. $\left(^{* *}\right.$ ) denotes $p<0.01$ as compared to induced (sample only with inductor of oxidative damage SNP) (One-way ANOVA / Newman-Keuls).

The determination of DPPH and ABTS radicals scavenging activities are among the most common spectrophotometric methods used for the evaluation of in vitro antioxidant capacity. ${ }^{27}$ As showed in Figures 4 a and

4 b , the compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ did not present scavenger activity of these radicals, suggesting that the mechanism by which compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ display antioxidant action cannot be evaluated by theses assays.

It is well established that the antioxidant activity could be correlated with the reducing power. ${ }^{28}$ In this way, the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) $)^{29}$ assay was used to determine the reducing power of the compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and 3k. As can be seen in Figure 4c, our results revealed that they have no reducing power at the tested concentrations.


Figure 4. Effect of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ on (a) 2,2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging; (b) 2,2-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) radical scavenging and (c) ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assays. Data are reported as the mean $(\mathrm{s}) \pm$ standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) of 3-4 independent experiments performed in duplicate and expressed as \% of control (DPPH and ABTS) and absorbance at 593 nm (FRAP) (One-way ANOVA / Newman-Keuls).

## Conclusions

In summary, we describe herein our results on the organocatalytic approach to synthesize bifunctional hybrids containing 1,2,3-triazoyl-carboxylates and 7-chloroquinoline units. This class of compounds was synthesized in moderated
to excellent yields by an enamide-azide cycloaddition reaction of 4-azido-7-chloroquinoline with a range of $\beta$-keto-esters in the presence of a catalytic amount of pyrrolidine ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The preliminary biological assays shown that this class of compounds has the potential to act against the oxidative stress and our results corroborate with other studies in literature that revealed the antioxidant potential of other quinoline derivatives. Additional toxicological and pharmacological evaluations of these compounds are under studies in our laboratories.

## Experimental

## General remarks

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) were obtained at 300 MHz on a Varian Inova 300 NMR spectrometer. Spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm, with tetramethylsilane (TMS) used as the external reference. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ ), multiplicity, coupling constant $(J)$ in Hertz and integrated intensity. Carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectra ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) were obtained at 75.5 MHz on a Varian Inova 300 NMR spectrometer. Spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solutions. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm in reference to the solvent peak of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Abbreviations to denote the multiplicity of a particular signal are s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), qua (quartet), qui (quintet), dd (double doublet) and $m$ (multiplet). Mass spectra (MS) were measured on a Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010 mass spectrometer. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a Bruker Micro TOF-QII spectrometer 10416. Column chromatography was performed using a Merck silica gel (230-400 mesh). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using a 0.25 mm thick Merck silica sel $\mathrm{GF}_{254}$. For visualization, TLC plates were either placed under ultraviolet light or stained with iodine vapor or acidic vanillin.

General procedure for the synthesis of 7-chloroquinoline-1,2,3-triazoyl carboxylates

To a solution of 4-azido-7-chloroquinoline $\mathbf{1}(0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, $0.061 \mathrm{~g})$ in DMSO $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$, was firstly added the $\beta$-ketoesters 2a-k ( 0.3 mmol ) and then the catalyst pyrrolidine ( 0.03 mmol .0 .021 g ). The reaction mixture was stirred in an open vial at room temperature for 24 hours. After completion of the reaction, the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a mixture of hexanes/ethyl acetate (5:1) as the eluent to afford the desired products 3a-k.

Ethyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3a)

Yield: $0.085 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.27 (d, 1H, J 1.9 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.60 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.0$ and 1.9 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.48 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 7.34 (d, 1H, J 9.0 Hz, HetAr-H), 4.50 (qua, 2H, $\left.J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.47(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 161.10,151.28$, 149.88, 140.20, 139.34, 137.00, 136.76, 129.67, 128.93, $123.58,122.09,118.75,61.15,14.18,9.44 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity): 316 (7), 259 (15), 243 (17), 231 (19), 217 (45), 215 (100), 214 (22), 205 (16), 203 (19), 189 (28), 181 (27), 179 (27), 164 (26), 162 (80), 137 (15), 135 (44), 127 (44), 126 (27), 100 (20), 99 (65), 83 (30), 75 (15), 74 (14), 43 (25); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 317.0805$; found: 317.0788.
tert-Butyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1 H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3b)

Yield: $0.101 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 133-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.26 (d, 1H, J 1.8 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.58 (dd, 1H, J 9.0 and 1.8 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.47 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 7.34 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), $2.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.68\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.33,151.30,149.78,139.61$, 139.38, 137.80, 136.92, 129.61, 128.85, 123.67, 122.12, 118.77, $82.44,28.13,9.60 ;$ MS $m / z$ (relative intensity): 344 (1), 215 (18), 163 (14), 57 (100), 41 (21); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 345.1118; found: 345.1095.

Octyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1 H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3c)

Yield: $0.118 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$; yellow solid; $\mathrm{mp}: 70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.27 (d, 1H, J 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.58 (dd, 1H, $J 9.0$ and 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.48 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), $7.34\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, HetAr-H), $4.43\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, 2.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.85 (qui, $2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.50-1.29 (m, $\left.10 \mathrm{H}, 5 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.88\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J} 7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 161.22,151.30,149.84,140.16,139.31,137.00$, $136.78,129.69,128.93,123.61,122.07,118.76,65.35$, 31.60, 29.06, 29.00, 28.55, 25.80, 22.46, 13.93, 9.53; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity): 400 (2), 260 (25), 243 (21), 218 (23), 217 (30), 216 (64), 215 (37), 214 (20), 189 (23), 162 (30), 71 (26), 57 (62), 55 (23), 43 (100), 41 (46); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 401.1744 ; found: 401.1687 .

Benzyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3d)

Yield: $0.110 \mathrm{~g}(97 \%)$; yellow viscous liquid; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.25 (d, 1H, J 2.0 Hz, HetAr-H), 7.56 (dd, 1H, J 9.0 and 2.0 Hz, HetAr-H), 7.51 (dd, 2H, J 8.0 and $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.46 (d, 1H, J 4.5 Hz, HetAr-H), 7.42-7.30 (m, 4H, 3Ph-H and HetAr-H), $5.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 2.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.82,151.24,149.70$, $140.42,139.13,136.89,136.39,135.21,129.61,128.80$, $128.39,128.31,128.24,123.51,121.93,118.71,66.67$, 9.48; MS m/z (relative intensity): 377 ( 0.72 ), 202 (7), 162 (8), 91 (100), 92 (8), 65 (8); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 379.0962$; found: 379.0961 .

## 1-Phenylethyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3e)

Yield: $0.113 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$; yellow solid; $\mathrm{mp}: 63-65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.26 (d, 1H, J 2.0 Hz, HetAr-H), 7.58-7.51 (m, 3H, 2HetAr-H and Ph-H), 7.43-7.29 (m, 5H, 4Ph-H and HetAr-H), 6.23 (qua, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}$ ), 2.45 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.76\left(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J} 6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 160.52,151.31,149.85,141.07,140.40$, 139.31, 137.06, 136.77, 129.74, 128.95, 128.50, 128.01, 126.15, 123.63, 122.09, 118.77, 73.47, 22.29, 9.60; MS m/z. (relative intensity): 392 (0.53), 272 (10), 203 (11), 106 (9), 105 (100), 79 (9), 77 (8); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 393.1118; found: 393.1139.

Prop-2-yn-1-yl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3f)

Yield: $0.072 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp}: 97-99{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.27 (d, 1H, J 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.60 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.0$ and 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.50 (d, 1H, J 4.5 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.33 (d, 1H, J 9.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 5.03 (d, 2H, $J 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 2.60 (t, 1H, J $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}$ ), 2.52 (s, 3 H , $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.27,151.33$, $149.83,140.91,139.13,137.06,135.92,129.78,128.96$, 123.52, 121.98, 118.79, 77.04, 75.49, 52.47, 9.58; MS m/z. (relative intensity): 327 (7), 325 (23), 296 (21), 252 (21), 216 (38), 214 (93), 164 (33), 162 (100), 135 (51), 127 (43), 99 (59), 83 (33), 43 (36); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 327.0649$; found: 327.0625.

## 2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-yl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate ( 3 g )

Yield: 0.103 g ( $97 \%$ ); white solid; $\mathrm{mp}: 61-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.26 (d, 1H, J 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.58 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.0$ and 2.0 Hz, HetAr-H), 7.48 (d, 1H, J 4.5 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.33 (d, 1H, J 9.0 Hz , HetAr-H), $2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, CH ), $2.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
$\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 159.52,151.31,149.78,140.51,139.24$, 136.97, 136.81, 129.68, 128.88, 123.57, 122.03, 118.75, 84.07, 73.15, 28.97, 9.57; MS m/z (relative intensity): 354 (4), 216 (17), 215 (26), 205 (14), 203 (35), 163 (12), 162 (34), 135 (14), 127 (13), 99 (20), 83 (11), 67 (100), 65 (21), 57 (14), 43 (19), 41 (44); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 355.0962$; found: 364.0972.

## 1-Ethynylcyclohexyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1 H-

 1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3h)Yield: $0.050 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%)$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp}: 68-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.27 (d, 1H, J 2.0 Hz, HetAr-H), 7.58 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 9.0$ and 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.45 (d, 1H, J 4.5 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.33 (d, 1H, J 9.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 2.75 (s, 1H, CH ), $2.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40-2.33\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.15-$ $2.06\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.84-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.64-1.56$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.48-1.36\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 159.51,151.34,149.91,140.53,139.40,137.12$, 137.03, 129.78, 129.00, 123.69, 122.16, 118.78, 83.08, 76.96, 75.16, 37.06, 24.98, 22.51, 9.68; MS m/z (relative intensity): 394 (0.15), 203 (10), 105 (100), 97 (11), 95 (12), 83 (15), 81 (35), 77 (11), 71 (14), 69 (58), 57 (29), 55 (24), 43 (24), 41 (23); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ [M + H] ${ }^{+}$: 395.1275; found: 395.1252.

2-(Phenylselanyl)ethyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate (3i)

Yield: $0.089 \mathrm{~g}(63 \%)$; yellow solid; $\mathrm{mp}: 139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.28 (d, 1H, J 1.9 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.60-7.56 (m, 3H, 2Ph-H and HetAr-H), 7.41 (d, 1H, J 4.5 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.32-7.26 (m, 4H, 3Ph-H and HetAr-H), 4.64 (t, 2H, J $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ ), 3.29 (t, 2H, J $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SeCH}_{2}$ ), $2.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.95$, 151.37, 150.10, 140.58, 139.46, 137.31, 136.61, 133.06, $129.93,129.95,129.19,128.83,127.42,123.66,122.22$, 118.80, 64.41, 25.17, $9.65 ; \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (relative intensity): 472 (0.03), 216 (15), 215 (17), 184 (9), 181 (8), 157 (28), 155 (16), 154 (10), 135 (5), 127 (5), 99 (8), 78 (13), 77 (28), 75 (5), 74 (7), 65 (4), 51 (14), 44 (21), 40 (100); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Se}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 473.0284$; found: 473.0279.
(3S, $8 S, 9 S, 10 R, 13 R, 14 S, 17 R)$-10,13-Dimethyl-17-((R)-6-methylheptan-2-yl)-2,3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17-tetradecahydro-1 H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-3-yl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-methyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4carboxylate (3j)

Yield: $0.094 \mathrm{~g}(48 \%)$; white solid; $\mathrm{mp}: 199-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

HetAr-H), 8.27 (d, 1H, J 2 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.58 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J 8.9$ and 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.46 (d, 1H, J 4.5 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.33 (d, 1H, J 8.9 Hz , HetAr-H), 5.45 (d, 1H, $J 4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), 5.03-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}), 2.65-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.06-1.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.62-0.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $29 \mathrm{H}, 6 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{CH}$ and $\left.4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.66,151.32,149.86,140.23,139.37$, 137.30, 137.08, 133.96, 129.76, 128.97, 123.65, 122.91, $122.13,118.79,75.15,56.56,56.01,49.90,42.18,39.60$, $39.39,38.05,36.92,36.53,36.06,35.68,31.81,31.72$, $28.13,27.89,27.72,24.18,23.72,22.74,22.47,20.93$, 19.24, 18.62, 11.76, 9.61; MS m/z (relative intensity): 371 (0,06), 288 (5), 147 (8), 145 (6), 107 (5), 105 (5), 95 (6), 93 (4), 69 (4), 66 (4), 55 (6), 44 (17), 39 (100); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O} 2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$: 657.3935; found: 657.3877 .

Ethyl 1-(7-chloroquinolin-4-yl)-5-phenyl-1H-1,2,3-triazole-4-carboxylate ( $\mathbf{3 k}$ )

Yield: 0.096 g ( $85 \%$ ); pale white solid; mp: $124-126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, HetAr-H), 8.19 (d, 1H, J 2.0 Hz , HetAr-H), 7.54 (m, 2H, $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.16$ (m, 6H, 3Ph-H and $3 \mathrm{HetAr}-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.41 (q, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 1.36\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J 7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 160.49,150.98,149.79,142.71,139.76$, 136.88, 130.36, 129.61, 129.55, 128.84, 128.39, 127.26, 124.42, 123.90, 122.13, 119.04, 61.44, 14.05; MS m/z (relative intensity): 377 (7), 304 (20), 293 (25), 292 (21), 278 (30), 277 (53), 276 (69), 275 (22), 264 (21), 242 (27), 241 (57), 240 (33), 204 (32), 161 (39), 145 (35), 135 (35), 118 (34), 105 (100), 99 (40), 89 (71), 77 (37); HRMS calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 379.0962$; found: 379.0924 .

## Biological assays

## Chemicals

DPPH and ABTS were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Compounds 3a and 3k were diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and used at different concentrations ( $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ ). All other chemicals were of analytical grade and obtained from standard commercial suppliers.

## Animals

Male adult Swiss mice (25-35 g) were used to lipid peroxidation levels determination. The animals were kept on a separate animal room, in a 12 h light/dark cycle, at a room temperature of $22 \pm 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with free access to food (Guabi, RS, Brazil) and water. The animals were used according to the guidelines of the Committee on Care and Use of Experimental Animal Resources, Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Brazil.

## Biochemical assays

## Lipid peroxidation levels

Mice were euthanized and the liver tissue was rapidly dissected, weighed, placed on ice and homogenized in cold $50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 ( $1 / 10, \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Homogenate freshly prepared was centrifuged at $2400 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min to yield a pellet that was discarded and a low-speed supernatant $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$. This assay was carried out to determine if compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and 3k protect against lipid peroxidation induced by SNP in mice liver homogenate. TBARS levels were used as a measure of lipid peroxidation. An aliquot of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ was added to the reaction: $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\operatorname{SNP}\left(50 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right), 10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathbf{3 k}\left(10-500 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}\right)$ and $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Tris- HCl ( $50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ ). Afterward the mixture was pre-incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction product was determined using $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ thiobarbituric acid (TBA, $0.8 \%$ ), $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, 8.1\%) and $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ acetic acid ( pH 3.4 ) with subsequent incubation at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . TBARS levels were spectrophotometrically determined at 532 nm as described by Ohkawa et al., ${ }^{20}$ using malondialdehyde (MDA, an end product of the peroxidation of lipids) as an external standard. Results were reported as percentage (\%) of induced.

## NO scavenging activity

NO scavenging activity of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ was measured according to the method of Marcocci et al. ${ }^{23}$ The compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathbf{3 k}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at different concentrations (10-500 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ) was mixed to $990 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of SNP solution ( $25 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ ). The reaction mixture was allowed during 2 h under light at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. An aliquot $(250 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ of the sample was removed and diluted in $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of Griess reagent. After 5 min , the absorbance of the chromophore (formed during the diazotiation of nitrite with sulfanilamide and its subsequent coupling with naphthylethylenediamine) was measured at 570 nm . Results were expressed as percentage (\%) of inhibition. Control group exhibit $0 \%$ of inhibition.

## DPPH radicals scavenging activity

The ability in scavenging DPPH radicals was evaluated to determine the possible mechanism by which the compounds 3a and 3k exhibit antioxidant property, according to the method described by Choi et al. ${ }^{24}$ An aliquot of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathbf{3 k}$ at different concentrations ( $10-500 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ) was mixed with 1 mL of a methanolic solution of DPPH radical, resulting in a final concentration of $85 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \mathrm{DPPH}$. The mixture was left to stand for 30 min at room temperature in the dark and the absorbance was measured at 517 nm . In the control tube was added an aliquot of $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of vehicle. The values were expressed as percentage (\%) of control.

## ABTS radicals scavenging activity

The determination of the ABTS radical scavenging ability of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ was performed to a better understanding of the antioxidant property of compounds, according to the method of Re et al. ${ }^{25}$ with some modifications. Primarily, the ABTS radical was generated by reacting $7 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1} \mathrm{ABTS}$ solution in water with $140 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ potassium persulfate in the dark for 12-16 h. In the day of the assay, the pre-formed ABTS radical solution was diluted in potassium phosphate buffer in a proportion of 1:88 ( 1 mL ABTS radical and 87 mL of $10 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0 ). Briefly, 1 mL of ABTS radical solution was added to tubes containing $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathbf{3 k}$ at different concentrations ( $10-500 \mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ ) or vehicle (control). The mixture was incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min in dark. The decrease in absorbance was measured at 734 nm . Results were expressed as percentage (\%) of the control.

## Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP)

The FRAP of compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 k}$ was measured according to the method described by Stratil et al. ${ }^{29}$ with slight modifications. The compounds $\mathbf{3 a}$ or $\mathbf{3 k}$ (10-500 $\mu \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}{ }^{-1}$ ) and the FRAP reagent were added to each sample and the mixture was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min in dark. This assay determines the ability of compounds in reducing the ferric 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}-(\mathrm{TPTZ})_{2}\right]^{3+}$ to an intensely blue colored ferrous complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}-(\mathrm{TPTZ})_{2}\right]^{2+}$ in acidic medium. ${ }^{30}$ The absorbance of the resulting solution was measured spectrophotometrically at 593 nm . Results were expressed as absorbance.

## Statistical analysis

Data were statistically analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by the Newman-Keuls test when appropriate. Data are expressed as means $\pm$ standard error of mean (S.E.M.).

## Supplementary Information

Supplementary Information (Experimental procedures, biological assays details, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra) is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br.
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