



Nerve-sparing robotic-assisted radical prostatectomy: how I do it after 15.000 cases

Marcio Covas Moschovas 1, Vipul Patel 1

¹ AdventHealth Global Robotics Institute, Celebration, FL, USA

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Over the years, since Binder and Kramer described the first Robotic-assisted Radical Prostatectomy (RARP) in 2000, different Nerve-sparing (NS) techniques have been proposed by several authors (1). However, even with the robotic surgery advantages, functional outcomes following RARP, especially erection recovery, still challenge surgeons and patients (2, 3). In this scenario, we have described different ways and grades of neurovascular bundle preservation (NVB) using the prostatic artery as a landmark until our most recent technique with lateral prostatic fascia preservation and modified apical dissection (4-6). In this video compilation, we have illustrated the anatomical and technical details of different grades of NVB preservation.

Surgical technique: After the anterior and posterior bladder neck dissection, we lift the prostate by the seminal vesicles to access the posterior aspect of the prostate. Then, we incise the Denonvilliers layers and work between an avascular plane to release the posterior NVB from 5 to 1 and 7 to 11 o'clock positions on the right and left sides, respectively6. In sequence, we access the prostate anteriorly by incising the endopelvic fascia bilaterally (close to the prostate) until communicating the anterior and posterior planes. Finally, we control the prostatic pedicles with Hem-o-lok clips and then proceed for the apical dissection preserving the maximum amount of urethra length and periurethral tissues.

Considerations: Potency recovery following radical prostatectomy remains a challenge due to its multifactorial etiology. However, basic concepts for nerve-sparing are crucial to achieving optimal outcomes, such as minimizing the amount of traction used on dissection, avoiding excessive cautery, and neural preservation based on anatomical landmarks (arteries and planes of dissection).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- Martini A, Falagario UG, Villers A, Dell'Oglio P, Mazzone E, Autorino R, et al. Contemporary Techniques of Prostate Dissection for Robot-assisted Prostatectomy. Eur Urol. 2020;78:583-91.
- Kumar A, Patel VR, Panaiyadiyan S, Seetharam Bhat KR, Moschovas MC, et al. Nerve-sparing robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: Current perspectives. Asian J Urol. 2021;8:2-13.
- Bhat KRS, Covas Moschovas M, Sandri M, Reddy S, Onol FF, Noel J, et al. Stratification of Potency Outcomes Following Robot-Assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy Based on Age, Preoperative Potency, and Nerve Sparing. J Endourol. 2021;27. Epub ahead of print.
- Schatloff O, Chauhan S, Sivaraman A, Kameh D, Palmer KJ, Patel VR. Anatomic grading of nerve sparing during robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol. 2012;61:796-802.

- Patel VR, Schatloff O, Chauhan S, Sivaraman A, Valero R, Coelho RF, et al. The role of the prostatic vasculature as a landmark for nerve sparing during robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol. 2012;61:571-6.
- Covas Moschovas M, Bhat S, Onol FF, Rogers T, Roof S, Mazzone E, et al. Modified Apical Dissection and Lateral Prostatic Fascia Preservation Improves Early Postoperative Functional Recovery in Robotic-assisted Laparoscopic Radical Prostatectomy: Results from a Propensity Score-matched Analysis. Eur Urol. 2020;78:875-84.

Correspondence address: Marcio Covas Moschovas, MD AdventHealth Global Robotics Institute 380 Celebration Place, 4th floor, 34747 Celebration, FL, USA E-mail: marcio.doc@hotmail.com

> Submitted for publication: October 30, 2021

ARTICLE INFO

D Marcio Covas Moschovas https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3290-7323

Available at: http://www.intbrazjurol.com.br/video-section/20229903_Patel_et_al Int Braz J Urol. 2022; 48 (Video #7): 369-70

Accepted after revision: November 04, 2021

Published as Ahead of Print: November 10, 2021