# Posturography in the analysis of postural control in children with cerebral palsy: a literature review

Posturografia na análise do equilíbrio em crianças com paralisia cerebral: revisão de literatura

Posturografía en el análisis del equilibrio en niños con parálisis cerebral: revisión de literatura Guilherme Henrique Ramos Lopes¹, Ana Cristina de David²

ABSTRACT | Children with cerebral palsy (CP) have reduced postural control given that sensory and motor functions are compromised. There are several functional protocols for balance analysis, but few studies have used the force platform as an instrument of precision in this assessment. The objective of this review was to identify published articles that employed this evaluation of children with CP and to analyze the used protocols and parameters. To this effect, a search was carried out through the BVS in the following databases: LILACS, IBECS. MEDLINE, Cochrane Library, SciELO. A total of 165 articles were found, from which 16 were selected on the basis of the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Studies have shown that the parameters of medial-lateral and anterior-posterior linear displacement of the center of pressure and the sway ratio have been used more frequently, and that the evaluation time of the force platform, in most studies, does not exceed 20 seconds. This review demonstrates that children with typical development present better postural control, and children with CP can increase their balance via specific interventions, including the use of force platform.

Keywords | cerebral palsy; postural balance; evaluation.

**RESUMO I** Crianças com paralisia cerebral (PC) apresentam menor equilíbrio postural pelo comprometimento de funções motoras, sensoriais e centrais. Existem diversos protocolos funcionais para análise do equilíbrio, mas poucos estudos têm utilizado a plataforma de força como instrumento de precisão nessa avaliação. O objetivo desta revisão foi identificar artigos publicados que utilizaram essa avaliação em crianças com PC e analisar os protocolos e parâmetros utilizados. Para tanto, foi realizada uma busca nas seguintes bases de dados: LILACS, IBECS, MEDLINE, Biblioteca Cochrane e SciELO, por meio da Biblioteca Virtual em Saúde. Foram encontrados 165 artigos; a partir dos critérios de inclusão e exclusão, foram selecionados 16 artigos. Os estudos mostraram

que os parâmetros lineares de deslocamento médio-lateral e anteroposterior do centro de pressão e a razão desses deslocamentos têm sido utilizados com maior frequência, e que o tempo de avaliação na plataforma de força, na maioria dos estudos, não excede os 20 segundos. Foi demonstrado ainda que crianças com desenvolvimento típico apresentam melhor controle postural, e que crianças com PC podem incrementar seu equilíbrio a partir de intervenções específicas, inclusive em plataforma de força.

Descritores | paralisia cerebral; equilíbrio postural; avaliação.

**RESUMEN |** Niños con parálisis cerebral (PC) presentan menor equilibrio postural por el compromiso de sus funciones motoras, sensoriales y centrales. Existen diversos protocolos funcionales para el análisis del equilibrio, pero pocos estudios utilizan la plataforma de fuerza como instrumento de precisión en esta evaluación. El objetivo de esta revisión fue identificar artículos publicados que utilizaran esta evaluación en niños con PC y analizar los protocolos y parámetros utilizados. Por lo tanto, fue realizada una búsqueda en las siguientes bases de datos: LILACS, IBECS, MEDLINE, Biblioteca Cochrane y SciELO, por medio de la Biblioteca Virtual en Salud. Fueron encontrados 165 artículos y a partir de los criterios de inclusión y exclusión, fueron seleccionados 16 artículos. Los estudios han demostrado que los parámetros lineales de desplazamiento medio-lateral y antero-posterior del centro de presión y la proporción de estos desplazamientos, han sido utilizados con mayor frecuencia y que el tiempo de evaluación en la plataforma de fuerza, en la mayoría de los estudios, no excede los 20 segundos. Fue demostrado también que niños con desenvolvimiento típico presentan mejor control postural, y que niños con PC pueden incrementar su equilibrio a partir de intervenciones específicas, inclusive en plataforma de fuerza.

**Palabras clave |** parálisis cerebral; equilibrio postural; evaluación.

This study was conducted at the Physical Education School of *Universidade de Brasília* (UnB) - Brasília (DF), Brazil. 'Master's Degree Student of Physical Education (Infant Motor Performance) in the Postgraduate Department at the Physical Education School at UnB - Brasília (DF), Brazil.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>PhD in Physical Education (Biomechanics); Associate Professor at the Physical Education School at UnB - Brasília (DF), Brazil.

## INTRODUCTION

The term cerebral palsy (CP) refers to a group of postural and movement disorders resulting from a nonprogressive and permanent brain lesion that occurs during the development of the immature brain, causing limitations in the daily life of the subject<sup>1-3</sup>. Studies point spasticity, joint deformities and muscle imbalance as the main causes for changes in the musculoskeletal system, which are able to interfere with the postural control of children with CP, as well as sensory changes observed in these children, such as visual, auditory and vestibular deficit<sup>1,2,4,5</sup>.

Therefore, it becomes important to assess the balance in these subjects. There are many evaluation protocols, from precise instruments to observational evaluations, with qualitative and quantitative methods. However, the most precise way to assess postural control is posturography, measuring body oscillation during the semi static erect posture by means of force platforms<sup>6</sup>.

However, few studies have used this instrument in children with CP<sup>7</sup>. Thus, the objective of this study was to identify published articles that used the posturography to assess postural control in children with CP and analyze the used protocols and parameters.

## **METHODOLOGY AND RESULTS**

A systematic search of publications on postural balance in children with CP was conducted. The search included the databases LILACS, IBECS, MEDLINE, Cochrane library and SciELO. The following keywords were used: cerebral palsy AND postural control OR balance. In the initial search, by means of resources from the databases, studies performed with children aged less than two years old or with adults which have not been published in Portuguese or English and those published prior to 2002 (Stage I) were excluded. After this initial search, the assessment based on the title, abstract and keywords was performed, and the following inclusion criterion was added: the use of a force platform as an instrument to assess balance, and, as exclusion criteria, the studies of post-surgery evaluation and literature review (Stage II). The analysis of full texts (Stage III) was performed with the objective to find assessments of postural balance in children and adolescents with minimum conditions to be analyzed in the force platform, standing up or sitting down.

In Stage I, 165 articles were found. The evaluation of title, abstract and keywords reduced the sample to 47 studies. In Stage III, the full texts were analyzed, and at the end there were 16 articles that met the criteria (Table 1).

## **DISCUSSION**

The studies presented samples of subjects that ranged from 7 to 23 people, with mean of 12 subjects (±5.7), and mean age of 5 to 11 years old. Two articles that assessed postural balance in the sitting position and 14 that analyzed the standing position were found.

Even though we found only two studies analyzing children in the sitting position<sup>8,9</sup>, we recognize the importance to better understand body balance in this position. Due to balance changes and the global motor compromise, most subjects with CP remain sitting for a long time, and this is how they participate in the daily life activities. This situation happens because they cannot remain standing and, even if they can, they perform better when sitting down, or even because they spend too much energy by standing up. Liao et al.<sup>9</sup> emphasize as a priority in the rehabilitation of these subjects that they can get maximum function in the sitting position.

Both studies used groups with spastic CP in the sample, comparing them with children with typical development (TD), but with different methods to assess balance (Table 2).

Cherng et al.<sup>8</sup> assessed balance sitting down with the feet of the subjects against the force platform. The authors concluded that postural stability in the sitting down position goes through changes according to the seat inclination and in a comparable way between children with CP and TD. The anterior inclined seat provided better postural balance and functionality in the reaching task for both groups. However, with the posterior inclined seat, the displacement of the medial-lateral center of pressure (COP) was greater in the group with CP.

Liao et al. 9 used the platform as a supporting base for sitting down. It was observed that COP displacement in the medial-lateral and anterior posterior directions is greater in the group with CP, but there was statistical difference only in the lateral displacement of the dynamic balance. Such results suggest that children with CP acquired trunk stability and mechanisms of static balance similar to those of children with TD,

Table 1. Description of studies that used the force platform to assess the postural balance in children with cerebral palsy

Study	Publication (IF)	Participants	Type of study	Tasks	Position
	Eletromyogr Clin	10 CP (7.9±2.07 years)		Bipodal support	
Nobre et al. <sup>7</sup>	Neurophysiol	9 TD	Comparative	OE and CE	Standing
	(NF)	(7.5±1.58 years)		OE unid CE	
Cherng	Rev Dev Disabil	(7.8±1.48 years)	Comparative	Balance and reach	Sitting
et al. <sup>8</sup>	(4.04)	16 TD (8.8±1.89 years)	Comparative	building and reach	Jittirig
	Ama I Dhua Mad	20 CP		Bipodal support	
Liao et al.º	Am J Phys Med Rehabil	(3 to 8 years)	Comparative	stable base	Sitting
Liao et ai.	(1.58)	20 TD	Comparative	Recovering balance:	Jittirig
		(3 to 8 years)		Oscillatory base	
Shumway-	Dev Med Child	6 CP		Bipodal support	CI II
Cook et al. <sup>10</sup>	Neurol (2.92)	(9.1±2 years)	Quasi-experimental	Balancing and recovering balance: anterior and posterior disturbance	Standing
Woollacott	Dev Med Child	6 CP	O	Bipodal support	Charadina
et al. <sup>11</sup>	Neurol (2.92)	(9.3 years)	Quasi-experimental	Balancing and recovering balance: anterior and posterior disturbance	Standing
	D	8 CP			
Burtner	Dev Neurorehabil	(5.37±2.06 years)	Comparative	Bipodal support	Standing
et al. <sup>12</sup>	(1.58)	36 TD	Comparative	Recovering balance: posterior disturbance	Stariumig
	, <b>,</b>	(2 to 10 years)			
		7 CP			
Chen e	J Mot Behav	(11.1±1.7 years)		Dipodal cupport	
Woollacott <sup>13</sup>	(3.10)	8 TD	Comparative	Bipodal support  Recovering balance: posterior disturbance	Standing
VVOOIIdCOLL	(5.10)	(8.64±3.32		necovering balance, posterior disturbance	
		years)			
Faudiallala	Clin Dinana ala	11 CP		Directed a consent	
Ferdjallah et al. <sup>14</sup>	Clin Biomech (2.07)	(9.9±3.5 years) 8 TD	Comparative	Bipodal support OE and CE	Standing
et al.	(2.07)	(2.7±2.7 years)		OE di lu CE	
		23 CP			
D 1 115	Dev Med Child	(11.2±4.7 years)		Bipodal support	61 1:
Rose et al. <sup>15</sup>	Neurol (2.92)	92 TD	Comparative	OE and CE	Standing
	(2.92)	(10.9±3.7 years)			
	Eletromyogr	10 CP		Bipodal support	
Correa	Clin	(7.3±1.8 years)	Comparative	Stable base	Standing
et al. <sup>16</sup>	Neurophysiol	10 TD	,	Control group:	3
	(NI) Acta Bioenge	(7.6±2.1 years)		Unstable base (foam)	
Druzbicki	Biomech	18 CP	Experimental	Bipodal support	Standing
et al. <sup>17</sup>	(0.45)	6 to 14 years	Experimental	OE and CE	Starialing
				Bipodal support	
	Percept Mot			Balancing and recovering balance:	
Liao e	Skills	15 CP	Correlational	Visual feedback. OE and CE, oscillatory visual information, OE	Standing
Hwang <sup>18</sup>	(0.49)	(8.5±1.91 years)	00110101101101	with oscillatory base, CE with oscillatory base, oscillatory visual	o carrain ig
				information with oscillatory base, lateral rhythm, unipodal support and tandem support	
		10 CP		ана танаетт ѕаррогт	
Donker	Exp Brain Res	(7 years)		Bipodal support	G. 1.
et al. <sup>19</sup>	(2.39)	9 TD	Comparative	OE, CE and visual feedback	Standing
		(8 years)			
				Bipodal support	
Ledebt	Motor Control	10 CP	Quasi-experimental	Balance with visual feedback	Standing
et al. <sup>20</sup>	(1.53)	5 a 11 years	Quasi oxperimentar	Static (keeping the focus on the target)	o can rain ig
		21.00		Dynamic (moving focus to the target in circle. lateral or randomized)	
		21 CP (6.1±1.09 years)			
Rha, Kim e	Yonsei Med J	22 TD	Comparative	Bipodal support	Standing
Park <sup>21</sup>	(0.22)	(5.64±0.49	Comparative	Without and with orthosis	Starialing
		years)			
	Arch Dr Mari	8 CP			
Reilly et al. <sup>22</sup>	Arch Phys Med Rehabil	(4 to 14 years)	Comparative	Bipodal support	Standing
remy et al.	(2.282)	11 TD	Comparative	Open base and closed base + Attention and memory cognitive tests	Stariumy
	(2.202)	(4 to 14 years)			

 $IF: impact factor; CP: cerebral \ palsy; TD: typical \ development; OE: open \ eyes; CE: closed \ eyes; NI: not \ informed$ 

and demonstrated that the tasks concerning static balance may not be sufficient to detect the difficulties of balance in this population.

The other studies assessed the balance in children with CP in the standing position (Table 3). The mobile platform was used to for the analyses and intervention in a study (divided into two publications)<sup>10,11</sup>, and only as an evaluation instrument in other two studies<sup>12,13</sup>.

The therapeutic use of the mobile platform seems to cause positive effects with practice, because the results showed that the postural control in children with CP can be changed after intense training (5 days with 50 anterior disturbances and 50 posterior disturbances/day), also suggesting that children with spastic diplegia CP need more prolonged training than hemiplegic patients due to the different forms of motor or sensory and motor compromise found between these two groups<sup>10</sup>.

Table 2. Procedures of studies that assessed postural balance in the sitting position

Study	Attempts	Time of evaluation (seconds)	Position of hands	Position of feet	Assessment parameters
Cherng et al.8	3	7	Under the thighs	Feet supported agains the force platform	<ol> <li>AP and ML displacement of COP</li> <li>Sway ratio</li> <li>Ground reaction force</li> </ol>
Liao et al. <sup>9</sup>	1	10	Along the body	Supported feet and knees at 90°	AP and ML displacement of COP     Sway index     Sway ratio

AP: anterior posterior; ML: medial-lateral; COP: center of pressure

Table 3. Procedures of studies that assessed postural balance in the orthostatic position

Study	Attempts	Time of evaluation (Seconds)	Position of hands	Positio of feet	Assessment parameters
Woollacott et al. <sup>5</sup>	5 for each condition	NA	NI	One foot per platform	EMG
Nobre et al. <sup>7</sup>	1	30	Along the body	Self-selected	AP and ML displacement
Shumway-Cook et al. <sup>10</sup>	5 for each condition	10	NI	One foot per platform	<ol> <li>AP and ML displacement</li> <li>Time of stabilization</li> </ol>
Burtner et al. <sup>12</sup>	NI	NA	NI	Shoulder width	AP and ML displacement
Chen e Woollacott <sup>13</sup>	NA	NA	Arms crossed over the chest	Self-selected	<ol> <li>Rotation</li> <li>Difference between COP and COG<sup>§</sup></li> </ol>
Ferdjallah et al. <sup>14</sup>	2	20	Along the body	Self-selected	<ol> <li>AP and ML displacement</li> <li>NRMS</li> </ol>
Rose et al. <sup>15</sup>	5 for children with CP or aged until 6 years old; 10 for the others	30	Along the body	Self-selected	Displacement speed     Mean radial displacement     Mean frequency
Côrrea et al. <sup>16</sup>	1	60	NI	Shoulder width	AP and ML displacement
Druzbicki et al. <sup>17</sup>	NI	NI	NI	NI	<ol> <li>Length of COP displacement</li> <li>Ellipsis area</li> <li>Vertical and horizontal displacement of COG</li> </ol>
Liao e Hwang <sup>18</sup>	3	7 to 10	NI	NI	<ol> <li>AP and ML displacement</li> <li>AP/ML ratio</li> </ol>
Donker et al. <sup>19</sup>	1	60	Along the body	Pelvic width Shoe and use of orthosis	<ol> <li>Mean displacement amplitude</li> <li>Normalized displacement</li> <li>Entropy</li> </ol>
Ledebt et al. <sup>20</sup>	NI	NI	Along the body	Pelvic width Shoe and use of orthosis	AP and ML displacement     Time of COP on the target
Rha, Kim e Park <sup>21</sup>	3	20	Along the body	One foot per platform	AP and ML displacement     Ground reaction force
Reilly et al. <sup>22</sup>	NA	NA	NI	Open base (one foot per platform) Closed base (feet together)	Displacement speed     RMS

AP: anterior posterior; ML: medial·lateral; NRMS: Normalized root mean square; EMG: electromiography; COP: center of pressure; COG: center of gravity; NI: not informed; CP: cerebral palsy; RMS: root mean square; NA: Non-applicable.

Burtner et al.<sup>12</sup> and Chen and Woollacott<sup>13</sup> used the mobile force platform only to analyze balance and verified lower postural control in children with CP in comparison to children of the same age and level of motor development, but without CP. Therefore, the hypotheses of the authors that the balance variables can be considered in the differentiation between children with or without CP were corroborated.

From the other analyzed studies, five of them used the force platform aiming to compare the balance variables between groups of children with CP and with TD<sup>7,14-17</sup>. These articles also point to the lower postural control in groups with CP.

Nobre found lower COP mean oscillation in the anterior posterior direction among children with CP in the conditions of eyes open and closed. His results suggest that children with TD use more ankle strategies to promote balance, causing more oscillation than children with no ability to use this strategy, besides mentioning that the group with CP was under constant intervention with focus on the balance training<sup>7</sup>.

The position of the body, arms and the support base, as well as the time in the force platform and the number of assessment attempts can influence the balance evaluation, be it for biomechanical reasons or for the subject's fatigue — that is why it is important to establish standardization criteria for these elements. In the analyzed studies, we observed there are different protocols of evaluation (Table 3).

In relation to the position of the support base, most studies use the feet distance self-selected by the research subjects<sup>7,13-15</sup>. As to the position of the arms, we also observed convergence between most protocols, which follow the evaluation with relaxed arms along the body<sup>7,14,15,18-22</sup>. Such preference for a more comfortable feet and arm position can be explained by the specific characteristics of the children population, with changes in movement and comprehension, thus facilitating the application of the protocol.

The time of evaluation in the force platform does not exceed 20 seconds in 50% of the studies<sup>9,11,14,21</sup>. In the others, the analyses are conducted with the limit of 60 seconds<sup>16,19</sup>, and at least 7 seconds<sup>18</sup>. In the articles, we did not find the theoretical base for the performance of short evaluations, but we believe that the reduced time to measure balance enables the participation of these subjects who, due to the characteristics of CP, demonstrate lower tolerance to long balance assessments.

Likewise, we believe that the specificities of CP, together with the aspects of childhood, in the sense

that it is more difficult to apply extensive and detailed methods and protocols, justify the reduced number of task attempts. Despite the differences between protocols, we observed there are usually two to Five attempts in most studies<sup>8,14,15,18,21</sup>, but some make only one attemp<sup>7,9,16,19</sup>.

The balance parameters that are most used in the studies are the maximum medial-lateral and anterior posterior COP displacements. Other measures are also used, such as the displacement ratio (sway ratio), and speed of COP and RMS (root mean square) displacement.

Only one study with experimental design was found, with the randomization of groups and the assessment of balance in a force platform using the blind-condition strategy during evaluation<sup>17</sup>. The authors found that the experimental group of children with CP using a specific ankle-foot orthosis and practicing physical therapy exercises presented improvement in relation to the control group, which only practiced exercises. However, as shown in Table 3, this study did not report several aspects of the evaluation protocol, like time of evaluation, number of attempts, position of arms and legs at the time of evaluation, which makes it difficult to replicate the study and better understand it.

## **CONCLUSION**

According to the research, there are still few studies that use the force platform to understand the behavior of balance in children with CP, especially the assessment of balance in the sitting position. Most articles perform comparative studies between children with CP and TD. Only one study with experimental design was found, which used the force platform to assess a specific intervention.

The sample size seems to be a limiting factor of the studies due to the reduced number of participants in the found articles.

The difficulties of movement and postural control of CP seem to be relevant aspects in the creation of assessment protocols for these children in the force platform, becoming less strict as to the position of the body, the number of attempts and the time of evaluation.

The analyzed articles showed that the linear parameters of medial-lateral and anterior posterior displacement in the COP and the ratio of these displacements have been more frequently used as parameters to assess balance from the force platform.

The evaluations of balance in the force platform demonstrated differences between the groups of children with TD and children with CP, and they were able to detect the improvements caused by interventions in the force platform or specific therapeutic practices.

Therefore, we believe that new studies using this assessment instrument and with larger samples can collaborate with the better understanding of aspects concerning postural balance of children with CP, leading to more efficient interventions and treatments.

#### REFERENCES

- Bleck EE. Locomotor prognosis in cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 1975;17(1):18-25.
- Rosenbaum P, Paneth N, Leviton A, Goldstein M, Bax M, Damiano D, et al. A report: the definition and classification of cerebral palsy April 2006. Dev Med Child Neurol Suppl. 2007;109:8-14.
- Bax M, Goldstein M, Rosenbaum P, Leviton A, Paneth N, Dan B, et al. Proposed definition and classification of cerebral palsy, April 2005. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2005;47(8):571-6.
- van der Heide JC, Hadders-Algra M. Postural muscle dyscoordination in children with cerebral palsy. Neural Plast. 2005;12(2-3):197-203; discussion 263-72.
- Woollacott MH, Burtner P, Jensen J, Jasiewicz J, Roncesvalles N, Sveistrup H. Development of postural responses during standing in healthy children and children with spastic diplegia. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 1998;22(4):583-9.
- Duarte M, Freitas SM. Revision of posturography based on force plate for balance evaluation. Rev Bras Fisioter. 2010;14(3):183-92.
- Nobre A, Monteiro FF, Golin MO, Biasotto-Gonzalez D, Corrêa JC, Oliveira CS. Analysis of postural oscillation in children with cerebral palsy. Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol. 2010;50(5):239-44.
- Cherng RJ, Lin HC, Ju YH, Ho CS. Effect of seat surface inclination on postural stability and forward reaching efficiency in children with spastic cerebral palsy, Res Dev Disabil. 2009;30(6):1420-7.

- Liao SF, Yang TF, Hsu TC, Chan RC, Wei TS. Differences in seated postural control in children with spastic cerebral palsy and children who are typically developing. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2003;82(8):622-6.
- Shumway-Cook A, Hutchinson S, Kartin D, Price R, Woollacott M. Effect of balance training on recovery of stability in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2003;45(9):591-602.
- Woollacott M, Shumway-Cook A, Hutchinson S, Ciol M, Price R, Kartin D. Effect of balance training on muscle activity used in recovery of stability in children with cerebral palsy: a pilot study. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2005;47(7):455-61.
- Burtner PA, Woollacott MH, Craft GL, Roncesvalles MN. The capacity to adapt to changing balance threats: a comparison of children with cerebral palsy and typically developing children. Dev Neurorehabil. 2007;10(3):249-60.
- 13. Chen J, Woollacott MH. Lower extremity kinetics for balance control in children with cerebral palsy. J Mot Behav. 2007;39(4):306-16.
- Ferdjallah M, Harris GF, Smith P, Wertsch JJ. Analysis of postural control synergies during quiet standing in healthy children and children with cerebral palsy. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2002;17(3):203-10.
- Rose J, Wolff DR, Jones VK, Bloch DA, Oehlert JW, Gamble JG. Postural balance in children with cerebral palsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2002;44(1):58-63.
- Corrêa JC, Corrêa FI, Franco RC, Bigongiari A. Corporal oscillation during static biped posture in children with cerebral palsy. Electromyogr Clin Neurophysiol. 2007;47(3):131-6.
- Druzbicki M, Rusek W, Szczepanik M, Dudek J, Snela S. Assessment of the impact of orthotic gait training on balance in children with cerebral palsy. Acta Bioeng Biomech. 2010;12(3):53-8.
- Liao HF, Hwang AW. Relations of balance function and gross motor ability for children with cerebral palsy. Percept Mot Skills. 2003;96(3 Pt 2):1173-84.
- Donker SF, Ledebt A, Roerdink M, Savelsbergh GJ, Beek PJ. Children with cerebral palsy exhibit greater and more regular postural sway than typically developing children. Exp Brain Res. 2008;184(3):363-70.
- Ledebt A, Becher J, Kapper J, Rozendaalr RM, Bakker R, Leenders IC, et al. Balance training with visual feedback in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy: effect on stance and gait. Motor Control. 2005;9(4):459-68.
- Rha DW, Kim DJ, Park ES. Effect of hinged ankle-foot orthoses on standing balance control in children with bilateral spastic cerebral palsy. Yonsei Med J. 2010;51(5):746-52.
- Reilly DS, Woollacott MH, van Donkelaar P, Saavedra S. The interaction between executive attention and postural control in dual-task conditions: children with cerebral palsy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2008;89(5):834-42.