doi: 10.1590/1980-57642016dn11-030016

Retraction

Culture as a variable in neuroscience and clinical neuropsychology

A comprehensive review

José Roberto Wajman, Paulo Henrique Ferreira Bertolucci, Letícia Lessa Mansur, Serge Gauthier

The editorial board of the journal Dementia & Neuropsychologia communicates the formal retraction of the article:

Culture as a variable in neuroscience and clinical neuropsychology: a comprehensive review. *José Roberto Wajman, Paulo Henrique Ferreira Bertolucci, Letícia Lessa Mansur, Serge Gauthier.* Dement Neuropsychol 2015; 9(3):203-218.

This article is being retracted due to the identification of passages without due citation and others reproduced verbatim without quotation marks. The authors recognize these issues but the first author attributes them to erroneous submission of the wrong electronic version.

Culture as a variable in neuroscience and clinical neuropsychology

A comprehensive review

José Roberto Wajman^{1,2,3}, Paulo Henrique Ferreira Bertolucci², Letícia Lessa Mansur^{3,4}. Serge Gauthier¹

ABST LCT. Culture a dynamic system of bidirectional influences among individuals and their environment, including psychological and biological processes, which facilitate adaptation and social interaction. One of the main challenges in clinical new psychology involves cognitive, behavioral and functional assessment of people with different sociocultural backgrounds. In this review ay, examining culture from a historical perspective to ethical issues in cross-cultural research, including the latest sign ficant and publications, the authors sought to explore the main features related to cultural variables in nurrops, hological practice and to debate the challenges found regarding the operational methods currently in use. Literatu. fin angrougges a more comprehensive approach in cognitive and behavioral neuroscience, including an interface between mementary isciplines and applied neuropsychology. Thus, as a basis for discussion on this issue, the authors analyzed key-top's related to the study of new trends in sociocultural neuroscience and the application of their concepts from a cameal perspect

Key words: neuroscience, neuropsychology, croc culture comparison, epigenetic processes.

CULTURA COMO VARIÁVEL NA NEUROCIÊNCIA E CLÍN A NEUP PS COLÓGICA: ENSAIO ABRANGENTE PARA REVISÃO

RESUMO. Cultura é um sistema dinâmico de influencia de l'reciones entre indivíduos e seus ambientes, incluindo processos biológicos e psicológicos que facilitam na acontação interação social. Um dos maiores desafíos da clínica neuropsicológica se refere à avaliação cognitiva, comport mental e fuzional de pessoas com diferentes contextos socioculturais. Neste ensaio para revisão, traçando uma trajetória poundo de pospectivas históricas até temas éticos em pesquisa transcultural, os autores procuraram explorar os principais achidos relicionados a variáveis culturais na prática neuropsicológica, além de debater os desafíos encontrados que sião nacionados com métodos operacionais atualmente utilizados até as mais importantes e atuais publicações sobre o a sunto. Achados da literatura sugerem uma abordagem mais apropriada da neurociência cognitiva e comportament , incl. ...uo / relação entre disciplinas elementares para seu entendimento com a clínica neuropsicológica. Assim, a fim de produma de sussão sobre este tema, os autores analisaram neste artigo tópicos-chave relacionados ao estudo de nova tendên as en neurociência sociocultural e a aplicação de seus conceitos através de uma perspectiva clínica.

Palavras-chave: neurociência, neuropsicologia, equiparação transcultural, processos epigenéticos

INTRODUCTION

Cociocultural neuroscience is an emerging Subdiscipline in the neurosciences and, although brain-behavior relations in a sociocultural context have been of long-standing

interest to neuropsychologists, there is still a lack of research in this complex at may, among other reasons, be due to the scar city of available knowledge on aspects such as concepts and definitions. The question of

This study was conducted at the McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada.

¹Translational Neuroimaging Laboratory, McGill Centre for Studies in Aging, Douglas Research Institute, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada. ²Behavioural Neurology Sector, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Federal University of São Paulo, São Paulo SP, Brazil. 3Behavioral and Cognitive Neurology Unit, Department of Neurology, Hospital das Clínicas, University of São Paulo, São Paulo SP, Brazil. 'Department of Physiotherapy, Speech Pathology and Occupational Therapy. Medical School, University of São Paulo, São Paulo SP, Brazil.

José Roberto Wajman. Rua Itapeva 518 / conj. 401-402 - 01332-010 São Paulo - Brazil. E-mail: jrwajman@gmail.com

Disclosure: The authors report no conflits of interest.

Received March 30, 2015. Accepted in final form May 15, 2015.

how mental processes can be shaped by sociocultural influences poses a tremendous challenge for cognitive researchers in the twenty-first century because how current models of brain-behavior interaction fit into different cultural contexts, and likewise into social situations in the same culture, remains unknown. Given this "Ebbinghausian paradox" of cross-cultural neuropsychology (state ten about psychology as a scientific discipline) it becomes the arthat, in fact, application in this area has a long parabut a short history.

Are by nany allocale same in terms of cerebral organization of perteption, longuage, memory and cognition, or does calture a lect patterns of higher cortical function? Is the way in which people perceive and solve problems determined by interaction of their genetic endowment and the culture in which they mature, or is the mind universal? Following this line of questioning we could wonder that, if a find the learn is one and therefore unitary in all humans, the meurops chological assessment founded on human universals will work equally well in Montreal, Guangzhou or he subsister to farming villages of South Africa and Brazil. If mind is many, however, then identical tests may make seniones of average people in one culture and imbeciles of equally average people in another.

Another important question is the contemporary neuropsychology subject *per se*. Indeed, is this measured by clinical neuropsychological instruments in which neuropsychologists believe? Does brain dysfunction affect performance on a given task or could it be a lack of patient familiarity with the cultural norms and attitudes that are being measured? What would be the ecological validity of the results without elementary cultural contextualization? Biology, culture, and individuals are three interacting complex organisms of the same system; hence, as perceptively noted, one of these should not be studied without considering the others.²

It has been argued that the development of new cross-cultural normative databases stratified by salient sociodemographic factors calls for an "anthropological neuropsychology" viewpoint.³ Race, ethnicity, and native language significantly influence neuropsychological test performance.⁴ Given the current situation in which neuropsychologists recognize the need to include issues of diversity in ongoing research and practice, the matter of how to best operationalize this objective is under discussion.

In an age highly influenced by postmodern thought,⁵⁻⁷ one might wonder if each culture is as particular and persuasive as to invalidate the possibility of meaningful cross-cultural assessment of neuropsy-

chological functioning. This line of reasoning has been supportive of calls for the development of indigenous neuropsychological and cognitive assessment instruments, particularly in Asian settings. Holie popular, this perspective suffers from a number of limitations. As researchers have pointed out, are must be taken to avoid the intrusion of political ideology into research concerning the validity of cross-cultural measurements.

In principle, the specific cultural milieu in which an assessment instrument was originally conceived does not preclude its valid use in different societies and ethnic groups. ¹² Likewise, if exclusionary emic canons guide cross-cultural assessment questions, it will be very difficult for communities of scholars to develop an integrative understanding of the comparative abilities of distinct populations (i.e., test and measurements will be unique for each cultural group). A balanced appreciation of the influence of individual differences, group specificity factors, and the uniformity of human functioning across cultures is, of course, the desired goal for anthropologically informed neuropsychology.

For this semi-systematic review, article quality index was determined considering aspects of methodology, selection of samples, comparability of populations, a agnosic criteria adopted, and clinical instruments used. Fon July 2014 through May 2015 a search of Med al Liter are Analysis and Retrieval System (MED-LINE), Execepta Medica Database (EMBASE), PubMed, Cochra & day bas / Lilacs, Scielo, and Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL) was conducted b. sed ath key terms "Sociocultural Neurosciences", "Transc ' ural P. chiatry", "Translational Psychiatry", "Bio psy nosocial Neuropsychology", "Cultural-historical Psycholo y" in addition to their derivations and combinations. Given the exploratory nature of this essay, it is worth mentioning the most of the studies analyzed were reviews ar 1 m ca-anal ses, with inclusion of some experiments in addition o literary works and book passages. Due to the wife ingue of the authors, references were sought mostly in the En lish language with a small proportion in Spanish, and Brazilian Portuguese. For this research, articlesten in other languages were not assessed in full text. Papers which met the eligibility criteria were descriptively analyzed as regards to their importance to the topic, main results, and final discussion.

IN SEARCH OF A DEFINITION FOR "CULTURE"

The concepts of culture and ethnicity are difficult to define. In cultural studies and cultural anthropology,

ethnicity is determined as the classification of humans into groups based on a large range of criteria: language spoken; cultural identity; physical traits, etc. Cultural anthropologists, considering ethnicity and the people within these ethnic groups, have only the capacity to define the specific social setting of these groups. For the purposes pursued here, culture is a symbolic form, a cate only if relations composed of reciprocal representatives at d social rules. ¹³ The development of attitudes towards confixtual and global knowledge is becoming increasingly in peralive and this produces the emergence of the ϵ ological pentality that situates every event, item of information of piece of knowledge in an inseparable relation hip with its environment, be it in cultural, social, economical political or natural terms.

Culture is a broad concept that is not easily defined. The study of culture as a unique phenomenon can be traced back to Greek historian and mile copy of Herodotus and currently several definition of culture are in use. Generally, culture refers to a hody of customary beliefs and social norms that are shared by a particular group of people.¹⁴

The first step in discussing cultural conceptres dending culture itself. Culture can be defined as the system of shared beliefs, values, customs, behaviours, and artifacts that members of a community use to cope with their world and with one another, and which are transmitted from generation to generation through learning. This definition highlights a critical point: culture is not merely the sum of cultural products. Beliefs, behaviours, and artifacts are created through the transmission and modification of these products within and between generations by means of cultural learning.

An eminent researcher describes cultural learning as a form of social learning in which perspective-taking plays a critical role in both the transmission of information and the resulting cognitive product.¹⁶ During cultural learning, information, in addition to modeled behaviors such as the inferred intentions and emotional states of the model, are encoded and retained, along with the contextual meaning of behavior. The cited author proposed that cultural learning includes imitative learning, instructed learning, and collaborative learning, all of them successive stages of development.

More recently, advances in the study of human behavior have led to the emergence of cultural psychology, which examines human behavioral diversity, and human neuroscience, which has been largely influenced by conventional biological notions of natural selection. ¹⁷ According to this perspective, culture neurosciences were motivated by neuroscientific investigation of aging

and culture. Thus, culture serves an important role later in life as a compensatory mechanism for the decline in cognitive abilities due to neural changes in cellular and structural organization of the brain.

Imitation and social learning are also the propellant that drives cultural evolution; the basic process by which ideas, thoughts, knowledge and beliefs spread and change over time.¹⁸ In the mid-20th century, social sciences started to study how and why various ideas spread in a population. Research concerning the diffusion of innovations was pioneered by Everett Rogers, who examined attributes of innovations and adaptation, categories of adopters, diffusion networks and the consequences of innovations. 19 Further, a distinguished biologist, Richard Dawkins, coined the term "meme" to describe a cultural information unit (or cultural gene) that replicates and propagates from one mind to another.20 The concept of memes as evolutionary replicators was further promoted and the founding of memetics, a new scientific discipline that studies evolutionary models of information transmission.^{21,22}

In fact, cultures never stand still but evolve showing many similarities to biological evolutionary processes. as (or memes for that matter), percolate as units of cultu al information, which is analogous in many ways to the spreading of genes, the units of genetic information. Concerts of natural selection and mutation can also e applied to the study of cultural evolution to explain who one rea becomes extinct while other ideas survive spreadar change over time. 23,24 These similarities led to the ormation of various mathematical frameworks (most y gina ing from classical population biology theory) that tudy o tural transmission and its interaction with the evolutionary process. 25-27 These frameworks allow socia' scientisto o model cultural transmission and evolution sing a well-established, quantitative, and rigorous toolbo.

Given these findings, culture no croscie ce sustenance was introduced to explain low theorytical and empirical approaches across different following the social and natural sciences may further understanding on how ecological and genetic factors in luence the human mind, brain, and behavior not only during the life span but also within situations and across evolutionary timescales. Discovery of cultural influences on neural representations of self and identity led to the notion that culture is a dynamic system of bidirectional influences with the individual, including psychological and biological processes that facilitate social interaction. The goal of cultural neuroscience is to facilitate an understanding of both environmental influences and

biological constraints to cognitive functioning throughout development and in late adulthood.

CULTURAL-HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE IN PSYCHOLOGY

Among the first attempts to bring cultural issues into scientific psychology was the theory of cultural-historical province logy, which is associated with the Russian psychology school, with the prominent scholars Lev Vygotsl / and Alex and Romanovich Luria. Vygotsky's fundamer al prot esis was that the higher mental functions are locally ormed and culturally transmitted. His theory has the emajor postulates. First, evolution resulted is the capability of human beings to change their environment Second as a consequence, human beings have lea ned to opera e with their own consciousness. This led to level opn ent of voluntary forms of action, and in turn, the me cone of higher mental functions.

Additionally, these two processes are tool-nediated, that is, while mechanical tools are apply to operate with nature, psychological tools – symbols – are und to operate with one's behavior. The third part of Vy ots. v's concept is what he referred to as "interiorization" that is the reorganization of external psychological tools (g., symbols, words said out loud) into internal concept and images. Thus, higher psychological functions are based on the usage of inner, usually verbal, sources originally acquired in communication with others. Vygotsky pointed out that functional systems are characterized by a new integration and co-relation of their parts. The whole and its parts develop in parallel to each other and concomitantly. Thus, higher psychological (or neuropsychological) functions are voluntary, tool-mediated and social in their origin.

Cultural-historical psychologists hold that historical change in human thought arises in two interrelated ways. First, there is the shift from natural and unmediated to cultural and mediated thought. Second, there is development in the complexity and sophistication of mediational means that entails a corresponding development of thinking.31 This view was largely based on the ideas of late nineteenth (and early twentieth) century social anthropological research.

Another source of cross-cultural neuropsychological concepts can be traced back to the 1930s when the young soviet neuropsychologist A. R. Luria, conducted a set of studies in the former Soviet Republics of Uzbekistan and Kirgizia. The purpose of the research was to determine whether introduction of modern culture and public education, which accompanied collectivization occurring in the former Soviet Union at the time, affected performance on simple cognitive tasks in native Uzbek people, compared to those who had no formal education and were not exposed to "western" sociocultural norms. Luria implied that people on a different level of modernization would perform differently on given cognitive tasks. The results of the experiments showed that illiterate subjects were unable to form categories according to abstract characteristics.

Luria concluded that introduction of formal schooling and new models of socioeconomic life promoted a qualitative shift in the processes of perception, categorization, imagination, and self-analysis.³² Luria emphasized the importance of the environment in the development and maturation of functional systems and the importance of the roles different brain areas play in a given task. The more complex the behavior, the more variable its underlying functional system can be among different cultures; the more basic the behavior, the more likely the systems are universal. According to this Lurian scheme of neuropsychological investigation, functions are evaluated from a variety of perspectives to ensure that a deficit is consistently present regardless of the w v it is evaluated.

A "neuro-culture" interaction model was then develed to suggest a causal trajectory whereby cultural practice reinforce values and tasks that become "culturally "atterned neural activities" due to neuroplasticity or neural clange, which then facilitate social survival via biologic rade tatin and reproductive success.33

A FRAMEW RK C. C'LTURAL NEUROSCIENCE: NY KODUSTION TO THEORY, MODELS / ND M' Th PDS

Cultural neuroscience budges theories from distinct fields, including anthropology, cutural psychology, neuroscience/neurogenetics, and opular n genetics. ³⁴⁻³⁶ In the past four decades or s , regarcher in sociocultural science have developed ne theories o explain dimensions along which nations and other as ray vey, such as individualism-collectivism, power distance short-term-long-term orientation, uncerta ity avoi ance, and masculinity-femininity.³⁷ Cultural co. Lucts such as analytic-holistic cognition,³⁸ socioeconomic ${\rm status^{39}}$ and tightness-looseness 40 further describe how a person may think, feel, and behave differently across geographic and cultural circumstances.

To illustrate some of the models mentioned above, authors state that East Asians are more likely to engage in holistic cognition, specifically attending to the entire field of a scene and relying on dialectical reasoning; on

the other hand, Westerns are more likely to show analytic cognition, attending to objects rather than their context and using rules to reason.⁴¹ Tightness-looseness refers to the cultural spectrum that affects how sensitive people are to social norm compliance and violations. For instance, individuals who live in tight cultures are more likely to be socially sensitive to social rules, whereas people who live in loose cultures are more likely to be toleral to social deviances.⁴²

In the last two decades, approximately, the relationship between a pine precture and function as predictors of behavior habeen the main focus of neuroscience. Theories such as moderarity of mind indicate that the mind and brain comprise modeles that are information-encapsulated engrams, that from the outset, rapidly and automatically process different kinds of specialized information, and are found across different species. Major advances within human progressions research have led to the notion of modules within the human brain for processing specific kinds of information, such as faces, objects, scenes, and people. 44

Methods in cultural neuroscience vary across ivels of analysis that include behavioral surveys in order to explore cultural values, practices, and beliefs. However, most studies in the cultural neuroscience field rely on qualitative measurement (or a combination of quantitative and qualitative methods). Open-ended interviews and ethnography are another important kind of approach that cultural scientists use to study the behavior of individuals. Studies within cross-cultural neuropsychology have shown that racial/ethnic variation in performance on cognitive tasks are sometimes due to cultural factors, such as language abilities, acculturation (a term often used referring to Western culture or isolated villages), and level of formal education.

Also on the subject of cultural neuroscience techniques and procedures, behavioral genetics studies rely on integrating genetic information with behavioral data. This line of investigation examines gene-behavior and gene-neural-behavior associations with a given culture or population. Recent advances in population genetics indicate significant variation in allele frequencies across the globe as a function of population structure due to multiple evolutionary factors, including natural selection, genetic drift, mutation in gene expression, and gene flow.⁴⁸

The culture-gene coevolutionary construct asserts that adaptive behaviors are the product of at least two interacting yet complementary evolutionary processes, more specifically: cultural and genetic selection. ^{49,50} Cultural traits are adaptive and emerge due to environmen-

tal and ecological pressures that vary across geography under which genetic selection occurs. Therefore, a key goal in cultural neuroscience research is to understand how both cultural and genetic selection further shape neural and psychological architecture. A prominent example of culture-gene coevolution is lactose tolerance. Regions within northern Europe with a higher prevalence of people who can digest milk also had a higher number of milk cattle, indicating a culture-gene coevolution between cattle milk protein genes and human lactase genes. 2

One of the most important aspects of social interaction is the capacity to have knowledge and awareness of oneself and others. Cross-cultural and cultural psychology research has shown that two primary dimensions exist for how people define themselves and their relationship to others, a mechanism referred to as individualism and collectivism. Individualism refers to the self-defined as autonomous from others, whereas collectivism refers to the self as connected to or defined by others or the social situation. 53,54 According the aforementioned premise, people living in the United States and Japan who endorse individualistic cultural valare more likely to show increased neural response with ... Medial Prefrontal Cortex (MPFC) to general (e.g., am hunble") compared to contextual self-statements (e.g., "Vine talking to my mother, I am humble"); by contast, peor who endorse collectivistic cultural values are more like. To show greater MPFC response to context al coma d to general self-statements.55

These fine ngs indicate that cultural values, rather than nationality or race modulate neural response during self-processing. Furthern ore, cultural influences on neural bases of the self may reflect not only different kinds of stable knowledge but also transient and dynamic representations of subject and thers. Recognizing the feelings or phenomenological experior as of others serves an adaptive function, allowing the tok low about whether environmental or ecological pressures, such as danger or reward, are present as well as materials of behaviors may be adaptive in a given situation.

Also concerning the line of research of Americ of and Japanese people, it has been assumed und culture affects not only the neural process associated with self-processing but also affective processing, such as perceiving emotional expressions in the environment. Researchers have proposed that people living in the United States and Japan show increased amygdala response to their own-culture compared to other-culture fear faces, even in the absence of behavioral differences in the ability to recognize emotion. ⁵⁶ Consistent

with a culture-gene coevolutionary theory of emotion, it is likely that both cultural selection of individualism-collectivism and genetic selection produce heightened amygdala reactivity to affective cues in response to environmental or ecological pressures.

Socioeconomic Status (SES) is often measured as a combination of education, income, and occupation. It is an only conceptualized as the social standing or class an individual or group. When viewed from a social class perso ctive, privilege, power, and control are emphaize. Fur hermore, an examination of SES as a gradient or continuou, variable reveals inequities in access to and sistribut on of resources. SES is relevant to all realms of behavioral ar a social science, including research, practice, and ed catic Human brain development occurs within a scioecononic context and childhood socioeconomic st. tus (SES) Influences neural development — particularly fth syr and underlying language and executive functions. P search ir humans and in animal models has implicated prenatal factors, parent-child interactions and cognitive amulation the home environment in the effects of SES on y ural development. These findings provide a unique poor nity for understanding how environmental factors an lead to individual differences in brain development and toward improving the programmes and policies that are designed to alleviate SES-related disparities in mental health and academic achievement.

COGNITIVE NEUROSCIENCE OF HUMAN SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR: PRESENTING THE SOCIAL COGNITION CONCEPT AND THEORY OF MIND

While some aspects of cognition (such as language, for example) contribute substantially to the regulation of social behavior, the intuition has been that emotion plays a prominent role. Social brain science has indeed ruled out a restricted domain of cognition, and the majority of studies emphasize emotional and motivational factors. This intuition has a functional explanation: emotions can be thought of as states that coordinate homeostasis in a complex and dynamic environment regulating social behaviours. In fact, a class of emotions called moral emotions serves specifically in this capacity and probably guides altruistic helping and punishment. 57,58

From a functional anatomy standpoint, certain structures have been shown to be important in processing emotions and therefore also imperative for social behavior. These structures include specific regions in higher-order sensory cortices, amygdala, the ventral striatum and orbitofrontal cortex, cortical regions such

as left prefrontal, right parietal, and also anterior/posterior cingulate cortices. It is possible to relate these groups of regions to different groups of processes. The amygdala, striatum, and orbitofrontal cortex mediate an association of this perceptual representation with emotional response, cognitive processing, and behavioral motivation. Thus, higher cortical areas are involved in the construction of an internal model of the social environment, involving representation of others, their social relationships with oneself, and contexts of social groups.

According to these investigations, social visual signals include information about the face (expression and gaze direction) and about body posture and movement. Although prototypical facial expressions reliably signal basic emotions such as fear or happiness, human viewers are also surprisingly adept at making reliable judgments about social information from impoverished stimuli, such as weak changes in facial expression or a few seconds of body interactions. ⁵⁹ Likewise, humans are probably exceedingly sensitive to the social signals themselves and also to the details of the context in which they occur. ⁶⁰

Social psychologists first showed our propensity to make social inferences from visual motion of abstract s, apes 1, the 1940s^{61,62} and recent studies indicate that specific no ement cues might generate attributions of anir cy, intentionality, and agency. 63,64 Briefly, visual motion stiguli earit attributions of intentionality and animac in in and robustly elicit intentional, emotional, and pe sonality attributions in adults, even when only static depictions of their trajectories are shown. Besides more anterior and do, al temporal lobe regions (such as the superior temp ral pyrus and sulcus), the fusiform gyrus, and oth cless well pecified regions of the occipitotemporal cortex and t' erefore be thought of as an interconnected system of rain and that construct a spatially distributed per ept at representation of different aspects of faces.

It is important to mention that fine for cauce on in the rigid assignment of cognitive process is to neur structures because it is possible that a given structure participates in several different processes, depending on the exact moment at which its activity is sampled and on the specifics of the given task and context. For instance, it is conceivable that the amygdala participates both in the initial, rapid evaluation of the emotional significance and in a latter assessment within a given context goal. Beyond this, the amygdala is involved in more complex social judgments. It shows differential habituation on activation to faces of people of other

ethnicities,65 and its activation to faces has been found to correlate with race stereotypes of which the viewer might be unaware. 66 However, other brain regions in the extrastriate visual cortex are also differentially activated as a function of race where amygdala lesions do not seem to impair race judgments.⁶⁷

Higher-level manipulation of social information involve on the rethan perception and primates, specifically humans, tand ut in their ability to take into account what of lers are thanking. This ability requires the representation of that hight be going on in other people's minds. Competances known as "theory of mind" allow us to attribute mental cates to other people. 69 Attributions of beliefs, specifically fase beliefs, to other subjects have been particular studing These abilities may be unique to some primate and hu nans, and might comprise a set of more basic skills by which animacy, actions, goals, and intentions a stinuline a signed.

Although there are indications to at theory of mind capacities emerge during development, so for there is only preliminary evidence showing it a neuroar tomical package. Rather than attempting to assign the whole set of theory of mind abilities to a ratio r neural structure or system, it might be more pron sing to explore the dependency of specific components. of this ability on specific neural structures. During the late 1990s, researchers have found that damage to orbitofrontal cortex impaired the ability to detect gaffe (or faux pas), perhaps indicating that this brain region contributes to our understanding of other people, in part by engaging the emotions and feelings that accompany social interaction.⁷⁰ Supporting this idea, investigators discovered that appreciation of humor, social-norm transgression resulting in embarrassment, viewing of erotic stimuli, and elicitation of other moral emotions, all activate the MPFC.71 Findings could be interpreted as the specialization of prefrontal cortices for aspects of social cognition or the reliance of social cognition on more general resources provided by this region of the

More concisely, it seems that humans can figure out how others are feeling, intending, or planning to act. In part, this is related to our ability to put ourselves in others' shoes (as a figure of speech). The fact is that this process could be entirely automatic and covert, but it seems likely that there are considerable differences in how skilled different people are at employing it. These differences would be expected to correlate with differences in empathy, emotional awareness, or their dysfunction (sociopathy and alexithymia, respectively).

In studies of relationships between specific brain

structures and moral behavior, authors use some dilemmas that produce choice options and thus possible conflicts. 72 These conflicts can arise from short-term versus long-term goals or from those advantageous for others or for society as a whole. It is therefore closely related to altruistic behaviour, social cooperativity and cognitive processes that guide behavior in fields as diverse as politics and economics. In the "prisoner's dilemma", players can choose to give or keep money, which determines how much they are paid in turn. If only you keep the money and the other player give it away, you make the most money and the other player loses the most. If both of you give it away, you both make a moderate amount of money. Thus, there is a conflict between the selfish strategy of keeping money and the cooperative strategy. When playing multiple times, various kinds of patterns in social behavior can emerge.

An evident progress in understanding of the neural basis of social behaviour has occurred. Further progress crucially depends on advances in the development of new experimental methods of the current theories. As mentioned, although the future technology shows more clearly how neural events co-vary with stimuli and behaviour, how to interpret such data will remain a major theoretical challenge. 73 This raises the question o, whether social cognition is reducible to emotional or motivation. I processing. For instance, when we find a face ctractiver trustworthy, do we engage the same mechanism as when our behaviour is reinforced by food? Codoe the way in which social stimuli are processed differ undamentally from reward and punishment for nor, oci stim di? There are strong indications that the orbitofrontal ortex p ight be more specialized for social and moral adgm ats, thereas the amygdala might play a broader rol in emotional processing that includes basic emotions. 74,75

WHEN SOCIOCULTURAL NEUP JSC ANCE TINDS ITS ROOTS: THE EPIGENETIC CONCEPTION AND ITS CONTRIBUTION TO NEUROPS 1940 2007

From a historical perspective, the word epigenetic wa first used by William Harvey, known for the expression "ovo omnia" (all animals come from eggs), who accepted the complexity of how form gradually emerges during embryogenesis.71 In this context, the English physician used the following words to explain his idea about this process: "the addition of parts budding out from one another." About 300 years later, the developmental biologist Conrad Waddington applied the epigenetic term to specify how genes interact with their surroundings to produce a phenotype (the effects a gene has on the outside world that may influence its chances of being replicated). He illustrated the concept explaining how external events, some random, combine with inherited information coded in the genes to produce members of a species that, although recognizably related, have individual characteristics.⁷⁷

Briefly, in a broad sense, epigenetics works as a link between g notype and phenotype (a phenomenon that changes he first outcome of a locus or chromosome withou' charging the underlying DNA - Deoxyribonucleic A.d, sque ce), as once proposed. Thus, epigenetics may be defined as the study of any potentially stable and, ide lly, her able thange in gene expression or cellular phenotyr that occurs without changes in the Watson-Crick base-pairing of DNA By the end of the 20th century, epigenetics har grown to become a widely recognized subdiscipline of biology and an interface with numerous other disciplines. I piggient today has taken on a very different meaning to Wadding on's epigenetics, but the same can be said for many of ler terms in biological sciences. A valuable aspect the term's that it has commonly been associated with the ateractions of genes, their products, and the internal and external environment.

Related to the neurosciences, the term epigen sis (also called epigenetics) refers to the selection and stabilization of synaptic connections in the Central Nervous System (CNS) by activity, through which the animal learns to adapt to its environment. Social and cultural evolution is associated with variable synaptic efficacy and the establishment of "outside brain" memories in the form of spoken, written, and pictorial material. Spoken language and, perhaps even more significantly, writing, are seminal innovations that distinguish humans from other primates; they drove the development of modern civilization and have probably also been central to the expansion of human mental capacities.

The aforementioned researcher first introduced the theory of the epigenesis of neuronal networks by "selective stabilization" of synapses to account for the interactions that take place between the brain and its physical, social, and cultural environment in the course of development. This theory, therefore, accounts for the variability in the brain's connectivity associated with the variability of the environment. Such an epigenetic variability of brain anatomy would be superimposed on that created by the variability of the genome. According to his idea, the same learning input may not establish the same connective patterns in different individuals, but will result in the same behavior.

The maintenance of gene expression behind the

epigenetics mechanism allows genotypically identical cells to be phenotypically distinct (for instance, brain and liver cells). Taking the liver as an example, once an embryonic cell is triggered to differentiate into some singular cell type (i. e., a liver cell), that cell and its subsequent daughter cells might be required to undergo thousands of cell divisions over the lifetime of the organism. Heritable epigenetic mechanisms that allow the cell's identity to manifest as the subset of genomic DNA that it expresses, also allows any cell to "remember" that it is (once again), for instance a liver cell, over the course of cell division.

Epigenetic mechanisms such as DNA methylation and histone acetylation acquired as part of the differentiation process but self-perpetuating during DNA replication and cell division, mark the genome.80 Moreover, changes in histone acetylation (developmentallyinduced) are stably propagated from mother to daughter cells in mammals,81 so some cell may perpetuate its specific pattern through these heritable epigenetic marks as an example of lasting memory at the cellular level. The formation of epigenetic memories is not limited to animal or mammalian cells. Plants are induced to flower by a process known as vernalization.82 In this way, lant cells "remember" their exposure experience to the winter cold (between its first and second years of existen) and are then prepared for the plant to flower during the not spring. This process results from the activation techa ism that involves DNA methylation and ace platic of listones (basic proteins).

Neurons express a complement of proteins that are important for the trunction but would adversely affect physiological function on othe cell types, including proteins involved in excitability, transmitter release, and the maintenance of transmembrane potential. Genes that are to be expressed in neurons, but not in any other cell types, carry the "Neuron-Regricity Gilencer Element" known as NRSE. This regulate yielement, which is approximately 21-24 base pairs ong, can completely silence a gene in non-neuronal cells.

Concerning memory formation and taking intaccount the psychological point of view memory describes the processes that are used for long-term storage of information (also referred to as knowledge). Studies have shown that the formation of long-term memories is a complex process that involves, among others, signaling pathways and the regulation of different genes. S5,86 An interesting study has shown that the same process leading to the formation of long-term behavioural memories also leads to epigenetic marking of the genome. This data was the first to indicate that

epigenetic tagging of the genome occurs during consolidation of long-term memories, and also suggests that there might be a histone code for memory formation, whereby specific types of memory are associated with specific patterns of histone modification.

In addition, the activity-dependent changes in synaptic strength (called synaptic plasticity) is widely believ a to underlie the formation of long-term memones. Nec anisms responsible for the induction, expression, ar a ma atenance of synaptic plasticity are similar to those involved it memory formation. Thus, induction of synap c plastic v might involve epigenetic mechanisms li e those involved in long-term memory. Several disorders of Juman of Anition can be attributed to dysfunction in the mechanism that underlie epigenetic marking of the genom. When onsidering these attributions, it is imperative to distinguish between a developmental need for epige. otic neclambes to allow formation of a normal nervous syste n, versus in ongoing need for these mechanisms as part of cognitive processing per se in the adult.88

There are different instances in which epig etic mechanisms meet clinical neuroscience as a care al basis for cognitive disorders. Notable among these are: Rul hstein-Taybi Syndrome (RTS), an autosomal domir int disease;89 Rett Syndrome (RS), an X-linked disease; fragile X syndrome, one of the most common forms of mental retardation;⁹¹ schizophrenia, in which evidence indicates deficiencies in the extracellular matrix protein reelin,92 while finally and probably the most widespread form of dementia, Alzheimer's disease (AD). AD seems to be, at least in part, due to an increase in soluble β-amyloid peptides in the brain, suggesting that some of the pathology of AD is due to misregulation of histone acetylation.93

In conclusion, epigenetics is emerging as a new frontier to be transposed in the scientific area. One of the biggest challenges is elucidating the mechanisms involved in the silencing and activation of genes that predict some of the most common neuropsychiatric diseases. Upon review, the epigenetic field presents a large body of evidence on the relationship between biological markers and their modification by exposure to environmental factors and should be one of the main lines of research adopted in comparative research across different cultures in modern neuroscience.

CULTURALLY SENSITIVE NEUROPSYCHOLOGY **ACROSS THE CONTINENTS AND REQUIREMENTS** FOR VALIDATION

Levels of education have proven to have an important

role in the cerebral development and organization of cognitive skills and consequently on performance on neuropsychological tests.94-104 According to the cited authors and maintaining a Lurian perspective, it has been suggested that illiterate individuals solve cognitive problems functionally and specifically, and respond better to the perceptual and functional attributes of stimuli, whereas educated participants respond to abstract concepts and to logic relations between stimuli.

A challenge that presents itself entails dissociation between concepts. Although educational level has a significant influence on the nature of performance on traditional neuropsychological measures of verbal and nonverbal skills, it is often difficult to distinguish between education and culture. A prominent psychologist hasemphasized that the differences found in performance on tests between Anglo-Americans and Anglo-Hispanics are frequently attributed to cultural variables, without taking into account that a large proportion of these differences are simply the result of different social inclusion and educational levels. 105 The fact is that, culture includes not only the knowledge of skills to survive physically or socially, but also how to express en otions, appreciate music, or to experience pain. 106 Although it is recognized that culture is an important variable avolved in the development and use of specific cognitive and behavioral skills, to date very few studies have analyzed ow culture influences neuropsychological test per ormal re. 107

Among the few examples of cross-cultural studies in South America is an evaluation of Auca Indians from the Ecuadorian Lisin and ted by Anneliese Alma Pontius. Pontius administered four-of-ored Kohs Block Design test and found def its ir sloc, design, particularly related to representations and congruction of certain spatial relations and graphic preentational skills. 108 The author also conducted another new psychological evaluation in members of a nur er-gath rer society from Indonesia. In this case, it was four that due to hunter-gatherer's survival depend or proport assessment of the salient shape of prey an attacker their basic cognitive process (i.e., visual-s atial p tern matching, representation, and construction, differed from those of Western urban societies. Similarly, because time restrictions are meaningless in the Arauco culture, performance on the tests was extremely slow according to Western standards. 109

In Colombia, a group of Arauco Indians was evaluated using a neuropsychological test battery. 110 Twenty participants were selected: 12 men and 8 women. The age range was between 8 and 30 and education level between 0 and 6 years. The adults were monolingual (indigenous language) and illiterate; the minors were bilingual and educated. The battery with which they were assessed included copying a cube, copying and recalling the Rey-Osterrieth figure, the Spanish version of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Revised block design, identification of overlapped figures, identification of multiple choice figures, ideomotor praxis, drawn 7 map spatial memory, verbal fluency, modified Williams a Carl Sorting Test, and a laterality questionnaire the with rs reported that on some of the tests, the perf rmance f the indigenous group was almost perfect identifation of overlapped figures and ideomotor praxis s'alls), whereas on other tests was almost impossible (cubes a mc Rey's figure, spatial memory and Wiscons. 1). They concluded that three variables affected the perfermance of participants: (a) educational level, for which a significant orrelation was found with test performance; (b) cultural i levance, in which some tests were significant and inportant whereas others made no sense and we.possible understand, and finally; (c) age, for which a significant association was found with test performance.

Additional cross-cultural research was conducted founding that Chinese students outperformed their Canadian peers on given tasks. Results showed that direction is performance are not related to formal education but are dependent on extracurricular, culture-specific factors. They suggested that the wide-spread extensive use of calculators in early education in the Western world might restrict the level of expertise achieved in working memory skills for arithmetic. 111

Development of instruments appropriate for different cultural contexts represents a challenge for neuropsychologists and cognitive researchers. When reviewing records, researchers should be aware of the fact that some variables which seem equivalent at first sight hold different meanings across cultures. 112 For example, 10 years of formal education in Russia results in a high school diploma, whereas in the United States it takes 12 years to complete the program, and in Germany high school programs are based on 13 years of attendance and yet diplomas may be comparable. College degrees from some European countries are equivalent to a Master's degree in the United States. Likewise, during interviews, the researcher should consider the native culture of the individual, the value and significance of specific cultural concepts, model of knowledge, and model of communication.¹¹³ Prior testing background, level of education, and acculturation also need to be taken into account.

When selecting assessment methods, researchers should address the variable that needs to be measured and then select the test that measures those variables; select measures that have been accurately translated according to cognitive rather than linguistic equivalence; whenever possible, use tests that have appropriate norms accompanied by specific instructions and protocols; select tests that reflect the language ability and culture of the patient, and if available, use ecologically validated tests of functionality.

In the same vein, authors recommended that when translating the tests to apply to different cultures, researchers should choose the items that are relatively simple and include words with roughly the same frequency as the original. Each test item must be reviewed for appropriate cultural content with regard to the intentions of the item. ¹¹⁴ They emphasized that while arithmetic and memory scales translate reasonably well, intelligence scales pose the greatest challenge in cross-cultural adaptation. Thus, the following criteria for test selection were suggested: short and easy to administer; adapted to the living conditions of the cultural group being tested, and sampling a wide range of comitive abilities (i.e., language, memory, spatial, constructive, perceptual, praxis, and conceptual abilities).

With regard to testing, preferably, native and well-trained he abers of other cultures should be consulted where carrying out cross-cultural analysis. The Furthermore, for language scales, including writing and reading, it is not a way enough to translate accurately when applying the cales to another cultural group. It is more important to main aim the original intent (i.e., cognitive equivalence) of the main, than to word it exactly. Where the repetition of basic phone hear necessary, items must be modified to include for quent sort daying an agiven language. One of the most important considerations in an assessment is to place the client in last or haven bio psychological context and not the psychologist's pontext. The

When developing tests to be used across cultures, the researcher has to know what is a low at its being measured in a particular neurops chologic domain, for example, while spelling is a sign ficant to k in English, it is not as relevant in Spanish, and monexistent in Chinese. Overall, some types of equivalence ought to be considered in test development to control for cultural bias: (1) Functional Equivalence, in which the test scores have the same meaning in different cultural groups and measure the same psychological construct; (2) Conceptual Equivalence, in which groups have the same level of familiarity with the test items; (3) Linguistic Equivalence, in which the language used in

the tests has equivalent meaning across cultural groups; (4) Psychometric Equivalence, the extent to which tests measure the same thing at the same level across cultural groups; (5) Testing Condition Equivalence, the idea that testing and the procedures are equally familiar and accessible across groups; (6) Contextual Equivalence, evidence that the cognitive ability being assessed is corpar ble across environments and; (7) Sampling Equivaler ce, in which subjects representing cultural groups re compareble.117

ETHICAL ISSUES IN CLOSS-CULTURAL NEUROPSYC! OLOGY

Race is a classification system sed to categorize humans into large and distinct poprationary groups by anatomical, cultural, ethnic, g neti, geogra hical, historical, linguistic, religious, or social affiliation. First used to denote national affiliations, to te in be used for physical traits in the 17th centur . In the ϵ rly 20th century the term was often used, in taxono lic sense, to denote genetically differentiated human populations defined by phenotype. Since the second half of the 40th century, the associations of race with the ideologies and theories that grew out of the work of 19th-cent ry anthropologists and physiologists have led to the lise of the word race itself becoming problematic. Although still used in general contexts, it is now often replaced by other words which are less ambiguous and emotionally charged, such as populations, ethnic groups, or communities, depending on context.

Despite the difficulty in adequately conceptualizing the term, what we have gleaned from a global overview, whether in first world countries or developing countries is the fact that the population is fast becoming more heterogeneous and referrals for neuropsychological evaluation among ethnic minorities are growing commensurately, particularly among older adults. 118 Thus, this raises the following central question; should culture or race be considered in neuropsychological testing? In the ensuing paragraphs, an attempt will be made to justify why the answer to this question is yes, however cautiously.

Because of this increased diversity, recent efforts have focused on the examination of cross-cultural differences in neuropsychological test performance among both clinical and neurologically healthy adults. Studies, in general, have reliably demonstrated poorer performance on tasks of visual confrontation naming among ethnic minorities compared to "Whites". 119-121 Continuing this trend, other researchers have reported significantly lower performance in ethnic minorities compared to Whites on tasks of nonverbal abilities. 122-125 Regarding discrepancies, differences often persist despite statistically controlling or matching for highest level of educational attainment. 126,127

Although not absolutely conclusive, the number of studies that have reported differences among ethnic groups leads to the obvious question of what factors account for the discrepancies in cognitive scores. If the overarching null hypothesis of these studies is that no true differences in neuropsychological functioning exist among ethnic groups, and assuming that neuropsychological evaluation assesses underlying brain functioning, these replicated findings would initially suggest that the null hypothesis should be rejected and there are true neurobiological differences between ethnic minorities and White Americans. Regardless of these differences, however, and central to the question of whether race or ethnicity should be considered in clinical evaluation, is the definition of race or ethnicity.

Despite all the neurobiological findings, researchers now agree that racial characterization itself is socially or politically determined and has insufficient basis in genetic or true biology. 128,129 Scientists, as a result, ne re begun to identify relevant factors that may help account for these discrepancies among ethnic groups in the in d of neuropsychological assessment. What is beginning emerge from this literature is that difference among roups do in fact exist, but they can be explained ' (a number of variables. These variables or factors incluing a long others, quality of education, 130 acculturation 31-133 literacy, 134 test-wiseness, 135,136 and racial socializațio

Therefore, tollowing, the line adopted here, another rhetorical question valich as ses a what ethical learning can be drawn from studes of new psychological test performance among ethnic of aps? Despite findings, it would inaccurate to attribute sco. to recor ethnicity. Ethnicity and race do not cause aris ality in cognitive test performance but rather are markers for a number of contributory factors that do imp. the erformance. For clinical neuropsychologists, a primary psponsibility ity is to consider the potential influence of these factors on test performance when conducting simple valuation or a broader neuropsychological investigation. The clinical team therefore must have some familiarity with a patient's specific cultural, educational, and linguistic background so that they can assess how these factors might be uniquely operative within the group and contribute to the individual presentation.

Adequate normative data maximize the diagnostic utility of neuropsychological assessment. Any neuropsychologist who compares a patient's test performance to a normative data set should question whether the norms used are appropriate for the patient interests. Criteria for this determination might include consideration of the time in history the norms were created and developed; whether an adequate number of subjects was included; and, most importantly, that the normative data is eappropriately stratified in ways that best capture appropriately stratified in ways that best capture are went easure. In recent years, race-specific normanial data sets have been created. 139-144 The fact is that, in a relatively obverse but not always respected manner, the cicuracy of deagnosis is best when the patient is demogratically situalar to those individuals included in the normative cata

Systematic examination of the ince term in neuropsychology is considered to sensitive topic that requires a careful approach to socil ar a political motivations. Race-based normative studies, in some circumstances, have been used to base irresponsible piological and genetic conclusions. 145-146 Propone. of the utility zation of race-based norms need to explicitly high that those norms are not created as a claim of piolo ical differences among groups; instead, race, like of er demographic variables such as age or gender, is a strong correlate of other factors that impact performance but not an inherent cause of cognitive performance. Until race and ethnicity can be deconstructed into the component parts that account for between-group differences and then reconstructed, utilization of race-based norms nonetheless may represent a step toward fair assessment of ethnic minorities. 147

Sociocultural expertise at the individual level is essential for the clinician who is working with cross-cultural populations. One central ethical issue involves the method by which tasks are developed in new languages from existing English-language measures, and another involves the evaluation of patients whose first language is different from that of the clinician. Guidelines have been established to aid development and translation of tests into different languages. ¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁵¹ In terms of development and evaluation of cognitive tools in cross-cultural populations, researchers with competence in psychometric construction, as well as a deep appreciation and understanding of ethnicity-related variables that impact performance, are best poised to develop tests for specific cultural groups.

Educating future clinical neuropsychologists in cross-cultural issues involves incorporating multicultural theory and philosophy into existing courses as well as providing specific coursework on the topic. Indeed,

since programs have begun emphasizing cultural diversity, there is a general consensus that this has improved clinical abilities specifically and produced more competent psychologists in general. Studies also collected survey data about cross-cultural education on all accredited clinical training programs in the United States at the time, and compared the data to those obtained from a survey conducted a decade earlier. Results from these surveys suggest that clinicians in general were inadequately educated on cross-cultural psychology, although it is clear that cross-cultural issues are gradually being integrated into the education of future clinicians. ¹⁵³

Due to the importance of this formal education in cross-cultural psychology, neuropsychology and neuroscience have only become appreciated in recent years, and problems of inadequate cross-cultural training stem from the fact that clinical supervisors have not themselves been formally trained in this area.

CHALLENGES, PROMISES, AND ASPIRATIONS FOR THE NEAR FUTURE

One of the most important contributions of cultural neuroscience to science as a whole, and to public policy, is he capacity to enhance understanding of the etiology of population disparities in health. Population health a sparit, s (a social reality clearly observed in underdeveloped to tries) arise from cultural and genetic variation in psychological and neural processes that emerge due to environme tal and ecological pressures. 154-156 For instanc, Ash and Jews are more likely to develop Tay-Sachs disease whereas people from Northern Europe are more like y to evel p cystic fibrosis. 157 In addition, prevalence of substance abuse such as nicotine addiction, varies across relial are ethnic groups, due to, at least in part, to allelic f quency of the CYP2A6 gene. Protective forms of the CYPC of goile are very rare in Europeans and Africans but more reval in Japanese and Koreans.

Future cross-cultural, behaveral, an genetic research may be able to further demonstrate the extent to which the interaction of genetic and environment factors in the production of intergroup relations varies as a function of cultural values, practices, and cenefs. Cultural selection may occur not only for traits, such as religiosity, but also for social attitudes, such as feelings toward people of different groups, which are typically acquired or transmitted through social interactions with others or with the environment. Another curiosity is that social scientists recently discovered that the psychological ability to acquire negative or positive feelings toward other people varies as a function of genes,

specifically the serotonin transporter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR).159

Studies in cultural neuroscience show that key environmental features, including cultural, geographic and socioeconomic factors, modulate genetic, neural, and behavioral mechanisms underlying mental health. 160,161 One of the main challenges is improving research capacity ard of nership of theory and methods in cultural neuro, i ice. Due to the limitations in access to and mainter ance of new ssary laboratory settings and equipment within L. w-to Middle Income Countries (LMIC), researchers see ing to en cidate cultural and biological factors that contribute to he. Ith in the developing world remain constrained or instance, the appropriateness of the kinds of theories or er pirical aradigms developed in LMIC compared to H. n-Ir .come Co. ntry (HIC) countries may vary; however without dequate resources to develop novel scientific know odge the continue to exist a significant need for resea th that e fectively addresses health problems within the developing world.

Collaborating together with student and resear ers from both the developed and developing word in large multi and interdisciplinary research terms now provide an effective solution to expanding the breath and sophistication of theory and evidence of cult ral neuroscience research in the future.

CONCLUSION

This essay aimed to demonstrate that cultural and ethnical experiences are essential influences on behavior, yet detailed research explaining the role of these variables in neuropsychological testing as well as cognitive and functional aspects, is incipient. Continued empirical research in this area will provide clearer guidance to trainees, test publishers, researchers, and clinicians about neuropsychological evaluation of ethnically and linguistically different people. Promoting the scientific study of sociocultural neuroscience, including the development of interdisciplinary educational infrastructure and research capacity for studying human diversity across multiple levels of analysis, is key to closing gaps in population health disparities in the near and far future.162

Paradoxically, findings confirm that scientific approaches to culture are clearly shaped by culture at large, and have in the past depended on ideological and practical motivations. A critical, meaning reflexive, cultural neuroscience must acknowledge and examine the links between the cultural context in which neuroscience is practiced and the object of neuroscientific inquiry,.163

Science philosophers discuss objectifying an identity, stage of life, culture or behaviour in terms of the brain interacting with the experience (and likely, the neural correlates) of that which is classified. This statement largely corroborates this kind of interaction between classification of people and their ways of being the "looping effect of human kinds". 164 Here, this idea of looping by no means aims to pit a constructivist argument against a realist science. Rather, it holds that while neuroscience reveals real phenomena about behaviour and its instantiation in the brain, the cultural context of neuroscience interacts with scientific knowledge claims and influences the experience of the people to which they pertain. 165

In conclusion, the following question may be raised: how can cultural neuroscience work within a framework that does not give primacy to either the brain or culture? One possible way is to blur the common contrast between "nature and culture" or the "brain and culture" and integrate an understanding of the neurocognitive mechanism with the social and cultural practices in which they are embedded. In other words, this essay ultimat yought to argue that if the brain is in constant interaction with its context, then such dichotomies are untenable term of future directions in neurosciences and clir cal n vroz sychology. 166

Author contril. tion Jos Roberto Wajman designed the study, adapted and whiled to proposed methods for database searching, halyze the rticles and wrote the first draft. Paulo Henric e Ferreire Bertolucci, Letícia Lessa Mansur and Serge Gauther have given substantial support with formatting suggest. As ar an all editing, together with the article analysic and paper a view. All authors have reviewed the paper at (agreed) be listed as authors. This is an original paper, it has not been previously published in full, and is not currently unde consideration elsewhere.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank their colleagues and multidisciplinary teams involved in population research that contribute daily to the knowledge on this vast and new subject of Sociocultural Neuroscience.

REFERENCES

- Nell V. Cross-cultural neuropsychological assessment: theory and practice. Mahwah, NJ: Elbaum Associates; 2000.
- Massimini F, Delle Fave A. Individual development in a bio-cultural perspective. Am Psychol 2000;55:24-33.
- 3. Ferraro FR, McDonald LR. More culturally sensitive neuropsychological tests (and normative data) needed. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 2005;19:53-54
- O'Brance, O'Jile JR, McCaffrey RJ. Reporting of demographic varies in neuropsychological research: trends in the current literature.
- Cli. No ropsych 12004;18:229-233.
 D'An ea M Pos nodernism, constructivism, and multiculturalism: Three forces eshapin and expanding our thoughts about counseling. J Ment realth puns 000:22:1-16.

 Matthews WJ. ets get real the fallacy of post-modernism. J Theo-
- retical Philosophical Psychology 8;18:16-32.
- Rosenau PM. Post-mo rnism and the social sciences: Insights, 7.
- inroads and intrusions princeton University Press; 1992.
 Chan, AS, Shum D, Cheung P. V. Recent development of cognitive and neuropsychological assemble from countries. Psychol Assess 2003;15:257-267.
- Hedden T, Part DC, Nisbett R, (al. Cultural) riation in verbal versus spatial neuropsychological function across the life span. Neuropsychology 2002:16:65-73.
- Sue S, Chang J. The state of psychological as essment in sia. Psychol Assess 2003:15:306-310.
- Jones EE, Thorne A. Rediscovery of the subject Interculty approaches to clinical assessment. J Consult Clin Psychol . 27.5 . 488-495.
- 12. Shiao-Ling JH, Christopher DT, Normative data on cross-cultural psychological test obtained from Mandarin-speaking adults as as the life span. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2007;22:283-296.
- Morin E. I sette saperi necessari all'educazione del future. Raff Cortina, Milano; 2001.
- Wong TM, Strickland TL, Fletcher-Janzen E, et al. Theoretical and ac tical issues in the neuropsychological assessment and treatment culturally dissimilar patients. In E. Fletcher-Janzen, T.L. Strickland & C.R. Reynolds (Eds.), Handbook of cross-cultural neuropsychology. New York: Kluwer/Plenum; 2000:3-18.
- Bates DG, Plog F. Cultural anthropology. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 1990
- Tomasello M, Kruger AC, Ratner HH. Cultural learning. Behav Brain Sci 16. 1993:16:495-511
- 17. Park DC, Gutchess AH. Aging, cognition, and culture: a neuroscientific perspective. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2002;26:859-867.
- Boyd R, Richerson, PJ. The Origin and Evolution of Cultures. Oxford University Press, USA; 2005.
- Rogers EM. Diffusion of Innovations. Free Press, New York, NY; 1962. 19
- Dawkins R. The Selfish Gene. Oxford University Press, New York, NY; 1976
- Blackmore SJ. The meme machine. Oxford University Press, New York, NY: 2000.
- 22. Moritz E. Memetic science: I - General introduction. J Ideas 1990:1:
- 23 Lynch A. Thought Contagion: How Belief Spreads Through Society. basic Books, New York, NY; 1999.
- 24. Brodie R. Virus of the Mind: the New Science of the Meme. Integral Press. Seattle, WA: 1995.
- Cavalli-Sforza L, Feldman M, Chen K, et al. Theory and observation in cultural transmission. Science 1982:4567:19-27.
- 26. Laland K. A theoretical investigation of the role of social transmission in evolution. Ethol Sociobiol 1992;13:87-113.
- Feldman M, Laland K. Gene-culture coevolutionary theory. Trends Ecol Evol 1996:11:453-457.
- Borenstein E, Kendal J, Feldman M. Cultural niche construction in a metapopulation. Theor Popul Biol 2006;70:92-104.
- Chiao JY, Ambady N. Cultural neuroscience: Parsing universality and diversity across levels of analysis. In S. Kitayama & D. Cohen (Eds.), Handbook of cultural psychology. New York, NY: Guilford; 2007: 237-254
- Vogeley K, Roepstorff A. Contextualizing culture and social cognition. Trends Cogn Sci 2009;13:511-516.

- 31. Cole M. Cultural psychology: a once and future discipline. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press; 1997.
- Khomskaya ED. Vygotsky's role in Luria's work. In E.D. Khomskaya & T.V. Akhutina (Eds.), Handbook of neuropsychology. Moscow: Russian Psychological Society; 1999:32-37.
- 33. Kitayama S, Uskul AK. Culture, mind, and the brain: current evidence and future directions. Annu Rev Psychol 2001;62:419-449.
- 34. Chiao JY. Cultural neuroscience: A once and future discipline. Prog Brain Res 1999;178:287-304.
- Chiao JY. Cultural neuroscience: Visualizing culture-gene influences on brain function. In J. Decety & J. Cacioppo (Eds.), Handbook of social neuroscience. London, UK: Oxford University Press; 2011:742-761.
- Chiao JY, Cheon BK. The weirdest brains in the world. Behav Brain Sci 2010;33:28-30.
- 37. Hofstede G. Culture's consequences: comparing values, behaviors, institutions and organizations across nations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage: 2001.
- Nisbett RE, Peng K, Choi I, et al. Culture and systems of thought: holistic versus analytic cognition. Psychol Rev 2001;108:291-310.
- Savani K, Markus HR, Conner AL. Let your preference be your guide? Preferences and choices are more tightly linked for North Americans than for Indians. J Pers Soc Psychol 2008;95:861-876.
- Gelfand MJ, Raver JL, Nishii L, et al. Differences between tight and loose cultures: a 33-nation study. Science 2011;332:1100-1104.
- 41. Stephens NM, Markus HR, Fryberg SA. Social class disparities in health and education: reducing inequality by applying a sociocultural selfmodel of behavior. Psychol Rev 2012;119:723-744.
- Gelfand MJ, Shteynberg G, Lee T, et al. The cultural contagion of conflict: philosophical transactions of the Royal Society. Biol Sci 2012; 367:692-703.
 - Fodor JA. Modularity of mind: an essay on faculty psychology. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 1983.
- nwisher N. Inaugural article: Functional specificity in the human brain: a wallow into the functional architecture of the mind. Proc Natl Acad Sci U \ \ \ 2010;107:11163-11170.
- Chia JY. At the frontier of cultural neuroscience: Introduction to the
- stacial issue. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 2010;5:109-110.
 46. Aniao JY. Mara basis of social status hierarchy across species. Curr Opin Ne biol 20 :20;803-809.
- 47. Manh J, L d D, buradji P, et al. Acculturation, reading level, and neuropsychological test performance among African American elders. Appl Neuror ychol 2004 37-46.
- Tishkoff SA kidd man populations of biogeography of human populations for 'race and in clip' e. Nat. enet 2004;36:S21-S27. Cavalli-Sforza L. Feldin i M. Cult L transmission and evolution: A
- Quantitative Approach rincetor J: P. ceton University Press; 1981.
- Lumsden CJ, Wilson ED. Ger s, mind and culture: the coevolutionary process. Cambridge, MA: Larvard University ress; 1981.
- 51. Boyd R, Richerson PJ. Culture and e evo tionary process. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press; 19, 5
- al. Ge s-cui, re coevolution Beja-Pereira A, Luikart G, England PR, between cattle milk protein genes and honar scrase geres. Nat Genet 2003:35:311-313
- 53. Deschepper R, Grigoryan L, Lundborg CS, et al. Are sultural dimensions relevant for explaining cross-national diffeubiotic in Europe? BMC Health Serv Res. 2008;6;8:123.
- 54. Markus HR, Kitayama S. Culture and the self: implications for cognitive emotion and motivation. Psychol Rev 1991:98:224-253
- Triandis HC, Gelfand MJ. Converging measurement of horizonta individualism and collectivism. J Pers Soc Psychol 1998;74:118-128.
- Chiao JY, lidaka T, Gordon HL, et al. Cultural specificity in amygdala response to fear faces. J Cogn Neurosci 2008;20:2167-2174.
- 57 Trivers R. The evolution of reciprocal altruism. Q Rev Biol 1971;46:35-57.
- Fehr E, Gaechter S. Altruistic punishment in humans. Nature 2002;415: 137-140.
- 59. Edwards K. The face of time: temporal cues in facial expressions of emotion. Psychol Sci 1998;9:270-277.
- 60. Ambady N, Rosenthal R. Thin slices of expressive behavior as predictors of interpersonal consequences: a meta-analysis. Psychol Bull 1992:111:256-274.

- Heider F, Simmel M. An experimental study of apparent behavior. Am J Psychol 1944:57;243-259.
- Michotte A. La perception de la causalite. Institut Superieur de Philosophie, Louvain, France; 1946.
- Scholl BJ, Tremoulet PD. Perceptual causality and animacy. Trends Cogn Sci 2000;4:299-308.
- 64. Dittrich WH, Lea SEG. Visual perception of intentional motion. Perception 1994;23:253-268.
- Hart AJ, Whalen PJ, Shin LM, et al. Differential response in the human amygdala to racial outgroup vs ingroup face stimuli. Neuroreport 351-2355.
- A, O'Connor KJ, Cunningham WA, et al. Performance on indielps/ rec. asures ace evaluation predicts amygdala activation. J Cogn Neur ci 20° (12:) 9-738
- Golf A. Chiao JY, et al. Differential responses in the fusifor region ne race and other-race faces. Nat Neurosci 2001;4:845-85
- o a chimpanzee have a theory of mind? 5-526. Premack D, W odruff G. Dr Behav Brain Sc 1978:1
- Siegal M, Varley R. Noral systems evolved in theory of mind. Nat Rev Neurosci 2002;3:463-471.
- 70. Stone VE, Baron-Cohen S .nigh+ tal lobe contributions to theory of mind. J Cogn Necrosci 98;10:640 356.
- Goel V, Dolan RJ. The functional natomy of h nor segregating cogni-Neuro ni 2001:4:237-238. tive and effective components. N
- Greene JD, Haidt J. How (and w. Trends Coan Sci 2002;6:517-523.
- Adolphs R. Investigating the cognitive neuroncience of somal behavior. Neuropsychologia 2003;41:119-126.
- 74. Moll J. de Oliveira-Souza R. Bramati IE. et al. nal network emotional moral and nonmoral social judgments. Neuroimage 16:696-703
- 75. Amaral DG, Capitanio JP, Jourdain M, et al. The amyget a: is essential component of the neural network for social cognition? Ne psychologia 2003:41:235-240.
- 76. Harvey W. Exercitationes de generatione animalium (On the generation of animals). Arnold Leers, The Hague; 1651.
- 77. Waddington CH. Canalization of development and the inheritance of acquired characters. Nature 1942;150:563-565.
- 78. Changeux JP, Courrège P, Danchin A. A theory of the epigenesis of neuronal networks by selective stabilization of synapses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S. A. 1973:70:2974-2978
- 79. Changeux JP, Danchin A. Selective stabilization of developing synapses as a mechanism for the specification of neuronal networks. Nature 1976:264:705-712.
- 80. Hatzis P, Talianidis I. Regulatory mechanisms controlling human hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha gene expression. Mol Cell Biol. 2001; 21:7320-7330.
- 81. Ehrenhofer-Murray AE. Chromatin dynamics at DNA replication, transcription and repair. Eur J Biochem. 2004:271:2335-2349.
- 82. Mulkey DK, Henderson RA, Ritucci NA. Oxidative stress decreases pHi and Na(+)/H(+) exchange and increases excitability of solitary complex neurons from rat brain slices. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 2004;286: C940-C951.
- 83. Maue RA, Kraner SD, Goodman RH, et al. Neuron-specific expression of the rat brain type II sodium channel gene is directed by upstream regulatory elements. Neuron 1990:4:223-231.
- 84. Mori N, Schoenherr C, Vandenbergh DJ, et al. A common silencer element in the SCG10 and type II Na+ channel genes binds a factor present in nonneuronal cells but not in neuronal cells. Neuron 1992:9:45-54.
- 85. Selcher J, Weeber EJ, Varga AW, et al. Protein kinase signal transduction cascades in mammalian associative conditioning. Neuroscientist 2002:8:122-131.
- 86. Levenson JM, Choi S, Lee SY, et al. A bioinformatics analysis of memory consolidation reveals involvement of the transcription factor c-Rel. J Neurosci 2004:24:3933-3943.
- 87. Levenson JM, O'Riordan KJ, Brown K, et al. Regulation of histone acetylation during memory formation in the hippocampus. J Biol Chem 2004;279:40545-40559.
- Levenson JM, Sweatt JD. Epigenetic mechanisms in memory formation, Nat Rev Neurosci 2005:6:108-118.
- 89. Blough RI, Petrij F, Dauwerse JG, et al. Variation in microdeletions of the cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein gene at chromosome

- band 16p13.3 in the Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome. Am J Med Genet 2000;90:29-34.
- 90. Ellaway C, Christodoulou J. Rett syndrome: clinical characteristics and recent genetic advances. Disabil Rehabil 2001;23:98-106.
- 91. Ashley CT, Sutcliffe JS, Kunst CB, et al. Human and murine FMR-1: alternative splicing and translational initiation downstream of the CGGrepeat. Nat Genet 1993;4:244-251.
- Costa E, Chen Y, Davis J, et al. REELIN and schizophrenia: a disease at the interface of the genome and the epigenome. Mol Interv 2002;2: 47-57.
- 93. Von Rotz RC, Kohli BM, Bosset J, et al. The APP intracellular domain forms nuclear multiprotein complexes and regulates the transcription of its own precursor. J Cell Sci 2004;117:4435-4448.
- Ardila A, Ostrosky-Solís F, Rosselli M, et al. Age related cognitive decline during normal aging: the complex effect of education. Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2000;15:495-514.
- Ardila A, Rosselli M, Rosas P. Neuropsychological assessment in illiterates: visuospatial and memory abilities. Brain Cogn 1989;11:147-166.
- Castro-Caldas A, Petersson KM, Reis A, et al. The illiterate brain: learning to read and write during childhood influences the functional organization of the adult brain. Brain 1998;121:1053-1063.
- Castro-Caldas A, Reis A. Neurobiological substrates of illiteracy. Neuroscientist 2000;6:475-482.
- Nitrini R, Caramelli P, Herrera Júnior E, et al. Performance of illiterate and literate nondemented elderly subjects in two tests of long-term memory. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2004;10:634-638.
- Matute E, Leal L, Zarobozo D, et al. Does literacy have an effect on stick constructions tasks? JINS 2000;6:668-672.
- 100. Marques da Silva E, Apolinario D, Miksian Magaldi R, et al. Learning to read in older age improves cognitive performance: findings from a prospective observational study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2014;62:2218-2219.
- 101. Ostrosky F. Canseco E. Quintanar L. et al. Sociocultural effects in neuropsychological assessment. Int J Neurosci 1985;27:53-66.
- Brucki SMD, Rocha MSG. Category fluency test: effects of age, nender and education on total scores, clustering and switching in Bazilian Portuguese-speaking subjects. Braz J Med Biol Res 2004;37: 177 1777.
- Ostros Solís F. Education effects on cognitive function: Cognitive reserve, compensation or testing bias? JINS 2002;8:90-291.
- man JR 104 Aliveira FF, Schultz RR, et al. Educational bias in the assessmer of steere dementia: Brazilian cutoffs for severe Mini-Mental State E ination. rq Neuropsiquiatr 2014;72:273-277.
- 105. Ardill A Tov rd a ross-cultural neuropsychology. J Soc Evol Syst 1996;19:237
- sociedad introducción a la sociología. México: Ed. 106. Chinov E. Li Fondo de C. tura nóm a, 1992
- Fletcher-Janzen E, S. ck and TL, Peynolds CR. (Eds.). Handbook of cross-cultural neuropsy ology. Ne ork: Plenum; 2000.
- 108. Pontius AA. Color and patial er in block design in Stone Age Auca Indians: ecological underuse of occipital-parietal system in men and frontal lobes in women. Breat Cogn 1900, 100 4-75.
- 109. Pontius AA. Spatial represent mo ified by ecology: From hunter-gatherers to city dwellers in Insia. J ss Cult Psychol 1993;24:399-413.
- 110. Ardila A, Moreno S. Neuropsychologic tee performar e in Arauco Indians: an exploratory study. JINS 2001;7 10-515.
- 111. Campbell JID, Xue Q. Cognitive arithmetic across Psychol Gen 2001;130:299-315.
- 112. Puente AE, Perez-Garcia M. Neuropsychological asses ment of ethr minorities: Clinical issues. In Cuellar, S. & Paniagua, (Eds.), Han book of Multicultural Mental Health. New York: Academic Press: 419-435
- 113. Greenfield PM. You can't take it with you. Why ability assessment don't cross cultures. Am Psychol 1997;52:1115-1124.
- 114. Golden CJ, Thomas RB. Cross-cultural application of the Luria-Nebraska neuropsychological test battery and Lurian principles of syndrome analysis. In E. Fletcher-Janzen, T.L. Strickland, & C.R Revnolds (Eds.), Handbook of cross-cultural neuropsychology. New York: Kluwer/Plenum; 2000:305-315.
- 115. Ardila A. Directions of research in cross-cultural neuropsychology. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1995;17:143-150.
- 116. Puente AE, Perez-Garcia M. Psychological assessment of ethnic minorities. In G. Goldstein & M. Hersen (Eds.), Handbook of psychological assessment, 3rd ed. New York Press; 2000:527-552.

- 117. Helms JE. The triple quandary of race, culture, and social class in standardized cognitive ability testing. In D.P. Flanagan, J.L. Genshaft, & P.L. Harrison (Eds.), Contemporary intellectual assessment. New York: Guilford: 1997:517-532.
- Echemendia RJ, Harris JG. Neuropsychological test use with Hispanic/ Latino populations in the United States: Part II of a national survey. Appl Neuropsychol 2004;11:4-12.
- Carlson MC, Brandt J, Carson KA, et al. Lack of relation between race and cognitive test performance in Alzheimer's disease. Neurology 1998:50:1499-1501.
- 120. Ross Chlehenber PA, Christenesn BK. Normative data on the Boston eminor lest for elderly adults in a demographically diverse medical san all Clin Normapsychol 1995:9:321-325.
- 121. Wels KA, Frenbach G, Wilkinson W, et al. Neuropsychological test per marke in Africa American and white patients with Alzheimer's diseas. Neuro cv. 1 55:25:2207-2211.
- 122. Bernard LC. I Istead-Reit. Neuropsychological Test performance of black, Hispanic, and what young adult males from poor academic backgrounds. Irch Clin Jeuropsychol 1989:4:267-274.
- 123. Campbell A, Rorie K, ennis G, et L. Neuropsychological assessment of African Americans: Concept, and methodological considerations. In R. Jones (Ed.), Handbook tests and methodological considerations. In R. Jones (Ed.), Handbook tests and methodological surgement for Black populations Berkeley, CA: Cobb and F. 179; 1996;2 5-84.
- 124. Heverly LL, Isaac W, Hynd GW. leurodevelor nental and racial differences in tactile-visual (cross-model) discrepination in normal black and white children. Arch Clin Neuropsys 110 (c):11 (c):11
- 125. Miller EN, Bing EG, Selnes OA, et al. The eff ts of socio emographic factors on reaction time and speed of info nation processing. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1993;15:66.
- 126. Artiola i Fortuny L, Heaton RK, Hermosill, Luropsychological comparisons of Spanish-speaking participants from the U.S.-Muxico border region versus Spain. JINS 1998;4:363-379.
- 127. Jacobs DM, Sano M, Albert S, et al. Cross-cultural neuropy cholo sal assessment: A comparison of randomly selected, demographi ally matched cohorts of English- and Spanish-speaking older adults. Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1997;19:331-339.
- Helms JE, Jernigan M, Mascher J. The meaning of race in psychologand how to change it: A methodological perspective. Am Psychol 2005;60:27-36.
- Manly JJ, Byrd DA, Touradji P, et al. Acculturation, reading level, and neuropsychological test performance among African American elders. Appl Neuropsychol 2004;11:37-46.
- Kaufman JS, Cooper RS, McGee DL. Socioeconomic status and health in blacks and whites: the problem of residual confounding and the resiliency of race. Epidemiol 1997;8:621-628.
- 131. Lucas JA. Acculturation and neuropsychological test performance in elderly African Americans. JINS 1998;4;77.
- Manly JJ, Jacobs DM, Sano M, et al. African American acculturation and neuropsychological test performance among nondemented community elders. JINS 1998;4:7.
- Manly JJ, Jacobs DM, Sano M, et al. Cognitive test performance among nondemented elderly African Americans and whites. Neurology 1998;50:1238-1245.
- 134. Manly JJ, Touradji P, Tang MX, et al. Literacy and memory decline among ethnically diverse elders. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2003;25:680-690.
- Manly JJ, Jacobs DM, Touradji P, et al. Reading level attenuates differences in neuropsychological test performance between African American and White elders. JINS 2002;8:41-348.
- 136. Scruggs TE, Lifson SA. Current conceptions of test-wiseness: myths and realities. Sch Psychol Rev 1985:14:339-350.
- 137. Steele CM. A threat in the air. How stereotypes shape intellectual identity and performance. Am Psychol 1997;52:613-629.
- Steele CM, Aronson J. Stereotype threat and the intellectual test performance of African Americans. J Pers Soc Psychol 1995;69:797-811.
- 139. Ferman TJ, Lucas JA, Ivnik RJ, et al. Mayo's Older African American Normative Studies: Auditory Verbal Learning Test norms for African American elders. Clin Neuropsychol 2005;9:214-228.
- 140. Heaton RK, Miller SW, Taylor MJ, et al. Norms for an expanded Halstead-Reitan battery: Demographically adjusted neuropsychological norms for African American and Caucasian adults. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources; 2004.

- 141. Lucas JA, Ivnik RJ, Smith GE, et al. Mayo's Older African Americans Normative Studies: Norms for Boston Naming Test, Controlled Oral Word Association, Category Fluency, Animal Naming, Token Test, Wrat-3 Reading, Trail Making Test, Stroop Test, and Judgment of Line Orientation. Clin Neuropsychol 2005;19:243-269.
- Lucas JA, Ivnik RJ, Smith GE, et al. Mayo's Older African Americans Normative Studies: WMS-R norms for African American elders. Clin Neuropsychol 2005;19:189-213.
- Lucas JA, Ivnik RJ, Willis FB, et al. Mayo's Older African Americans Normative Studies: Normative data for commonly used clinical neuropsychological measures. Clin Neuropsychol 2005;19:162-183.
- Pedraza O, Lucas JA, Smith GE, et al. Mayo's older African American normative studies: Confirmatory factor analysis of a core battery. JINS 2005;11:184-191.
- Rilling LM, Lucas JA, Ivnik RJ, et al. Mayo's Older African American Normative Studies: Norms for the Mattis Dementia Rating Scale. Clin Neuropsychol 2005;19:229-242.
- Herrnstein RJ, Murray C. The bell curve: Intelligence and class structure in American life. New York: Free Press; 1994.
- Jensen AR, Johnson FW. Race and sex differences in head size and IQ. Intelligence 1994:18:309-333.
- American Psychological Association. Ethical principles of psychologists and code of conduct. Am Psychol 2002;7:1060-1073.
- 149. Artiola i Fortuny L, Mullaney H. Neuropsychology with Spanish-speakers: language use and proficiency issues for test development. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1997;19:615-622.
- Artiola i Fortuny L, Mullaney H. Assessing patients whose language you do not know: can the absurd be ethical? Clin Neuropsychol 1998;12:113-126.
- Loewenstein DA, Arguelles T, Arguelles S, et al. Potential cultural bias in the neuropsychological assessment of the older adult. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 1994:16:623-629.
- 152. van de Vijver F, Hambleton RK. Translating tests: some practical guidelines. Europ Psychol 1996;1:89-99.
- 155 Constantine MG, Ladany N, Inman AG, et al. Students' perceptions comulticultural training in counseling psychology programs. J Multicult Coun Devel 1996;24:155-164.
- 154. Bernard E, Castro FG. Are clinical psychologists prepared for service and usea h with ethnic minorities? Report of a decade of progress. Psychol 194:49:797-805.
- 155 Collins PY ate. / Joestl SS, et al. Grand challenges in global mental health. A size 201, 475/7354):27-30.
- health. Moure 201, 475(7354):27-30.

 156. Shie's AE, ortun , Hammonds E, et al. The use of race variables in genetic studies or complex traits and the goal of reducing health disparities: a transposiciplinary proceeding. Am Psychol 2005;6:77-103.
- 157. Wang VA, C e come of a of the storm: race and the genomics in research and practice. An Psychologopes 2005;60:37-45.
- 158. Exner DV, Dries DK, Drianski Montal. Lesser response of angiotensin-converting-enzy e inhibor the apy in black as compared with white patients with left centricular dysfunction. N Engl J Med 2001;344:1351-1377.
- 159. Olsson A, Ebert JP, Banaji MB . The ple of social groups in the persistence of learned fear. Science 20 5 09:785 7.
- 160. Cheon BK, Livingston RW, Hong YY, et al. Gene environment interaction on intergroup bias: the role of 5-Hi LPF and perce ed outgroup threat. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 2014;9: 68-1275.
- 161. Chiao JY, Hariri AR, Harada T, et al. Theory and mo ods in ultural neuroscience. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 2010;5.505-36
- Chiao JY, Blizinsky KD. Population disparities in mental lealth: insight from cultural neuroscience. Am J Public Health 201 103(Suppl S122-S132.
- Kennepohl S. Toward a cultural neuropsychology: an alternative view and a preliminary model. Brain Cogn 1999;41;365-380.
- Choudhury S, Nagel SK, Slaby J. Critical Neuroscience: linking neuroscience and society through critical practice. BioSoc 2009;4:61-77.
- Hacking I. The looping effect of human kinds. In: Sperber, D, Prsemack, D, Premack AJ, editors. Causal Cognition: An Interdisciplinary Approach. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 1995:351-383.
- 166. McGowan PO, Sasaki A, D'Alessio AC, et al. Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. Nat Neurosci 2009;12:342-348.

DOI: 10.1590/1980-57642015DN93000002