Development and validation of an LC-MS/MS method for pharmacokinetic study of lobetyolin in rats

Haitao Tian¹, Pan Zhao¹, Jing Li¹, Zhipeng Deng^{1*}, Gaobin Pu^{1*}, Hong Wang²

¹School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Jinan 250355, China, ²Jiaozhou People's Hospital, Qingdao 266300, China

A simple and selective liquid chromatography tandem with mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) method for quantification of lobetyolin in rat plasma was developed and validated. Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Thermo ODS C₁₈ reversed-phase column using 0.1% aqueous formic acid-methanol (50:50, v/v) in an isocratic elution mode at a flow rate of 0.4 mL.min⁻¹. LC/MS performance was done in a positive ion ESI mode and the MS/MS transitions were monitored at *m/z* 419.3 [M+Na]⁺ \rightarrow *m/z* 203.1 for lobetyolin and *m/z* 394.9 [M+Na]⁺ \rightarrow *m/z* 231.9 for IS, respectively. The assay exhibited a linear dynamic range over 1.0–500 ng.mL⁻¹ for lobetyolin in plasma. Both the precision (%RSD) and accuracy (RE%) were within acceptable criteria (<15%). Recoveries ranged from 87.0% to 95.6%, and the matrix effects were from 91.0% to 101.3%. After oral administration, the peak plasma concentration of lobetyolin was obtained as 60.1 ng.mL⁻¹ at 1.0 h. The proposed LC-MS/MS method could be applied to a pharmacokinetic study employing 66 samples from 6 Wistar rats.

KeywordsLobetyolin. LC-MS/MS. Pharmacokinetic study.

INTRODUCTION

BJPS

Dang-shen, the dried roots of *Codonopsis pilosula*, is a well-known traditional Chinese medicine that is used to suppress blood pressure, enhance immune system, improve memory and attenuate gastrointestinal function (Lin, Tsai, Kuo, 2013; Ji *et al.*, 2019; Yang *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore it is commonly combined with other herbs into formulae to improve Qi deficiency that is the underlying cause of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) during remission stage (Shergis *et al.*, 2015). Besides *Codonopsis pilosula* (Franch.) Nannf., other Codonopsis species are recorded in Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2015), such as *C. pilosula* var. modesta (Nannf.) L. T. and *C. tangshen* Oliv.

Lobetyolin, a critical polyacetylene compound of *Codonopsis pilosula*, was reported to exert antiinflammatory, antitumor, antiviral, antioxidant, and xanthine oxidase inhibiting properties (He *et al.* 2020; Yoon, Cho, 2019). A recent study indicates that lobetyolin can promote angiogenesis and cause vascular malformations during the early embryonic development of transgenic zebrafish, and shows low toxicity with strong neuroprotective and nerve growth-promoting effects (Wang *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, lobetyolin pharmacokinetics must be investigated to explain efficacy and predict toxicity. Moreover, lobetyolin is used as a phytochemical marker for TLC identification of *Codonopsis pilosula* in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2015).

Several analytical methods, including near infrared spectroscopy, high-speed counter-current chromatography, high-performance liquid chromatography with ultra-violet or dyode-array detection, liquid chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry, and ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography-quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (Hou *et al.*, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2019; Zhang, Li, 2019; Meng, Liu, Hu, 2019; Qiao *et al.*, 2007; Chen *et al.*, 2018; Qi, Tian, Ran, 2019; Choi *et al.*, 2018), have been used for the assay of lobetyolin in raw materials

^{*}Correspondence: Z. Deng. School of Pharmaceutical Sciences. Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. No.4655 University Road, Changqing District, Jinan 250355, China. E-mail: depon2005@126.com. G. Pu. School of Pharmaceutical Sciences. Shandong University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. No.4655 University Road, Changqing District, Jinan 250355, China. Phone: 86 531 89628172. E-mail:gbpu@163.com. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5934-7071

and related traditional Chinese prescriptions. Recently, an UPLC-MS/MS assay is retrieved for comparative pharmacokinetic study of lobetyolin in rats after oral administration of lobetyolin and *Codonopsis pilosula* extract (Dong *et al.*, 2021). This reported method has a relative long run time (4 min) with gradient elution. In our study, an liquid chromatography tandem with mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is quantified for lobetyolin in rats within 2 min for each ananlysis.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemical and reagents

Lobetyolin (>98% purity) and syringin (>98% purity)–used as internal standard (IS, Figure 1) were obtained through Chengdu Ruifensi Biotechnology Co., Ltd (Chengdu, China). Methanol and formic acid were provided by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Ultrapure water was obtained from a Milli-Q water system (Milford, MA, USA).



FIGURE 1 - The structures and product ion mass spectra of lobetyolin and IS.

Instrumentation

Chromatographic separation was performed using a Thermo ODS C₁₈ reversed-phase column (50mm×2.1mm, i.d., 5µm) maintained at 35 °C. The LC-MS/MS system consisted of an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system coupled with a Thermo Scientific Quantum Access triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% aqueous formic acid and methanol (50:50, v/v) with a flow rate of 0.4 mL.min⁻¹. The MS conditions were optimized using positive ESI mode as follows: capillary voltage, 3.0 kV; sheath gas, 50 arbitrary units; auxiliary gas, 10 arbitrary units; collision gas, 1.5 mTorr; vaporizer temperature, 400 °C. The dwell time was 200 ms per transition. Selected reaction monitoring (SRM) transitions were selected for quantification as follows: m/z 419.3 [M+Na]⁺ $\rightarrow m/z$ 203.1 for lobetyolin and m/z 394.9

Page 2/8

 $[M+Na]^+ \rightarrow m/z$ 231.9 for IS (syringin). Collision energies were 25 and 27 eV for lobetyolin and IS, respectively.

Preparation of calibration and quality control (QC) standards

Stock standard solutions of lobetyolin and the IS were prepared in methanol at a concentration of 0.1 mg.mL⁻¹ and stored at 8 °C. The plasma calibration standards for lobetyolin were prepared at concentrations of 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 20.0, 50.0, 200 and 500 ng.mL⁻¹ by adding appropriate aliquots of working solutions to blank plasma. Low, medium and high concentration QC samples were prepared in blank rat plasma at concentrations of 2.5, 30.0 and 450 ng.mL⁻¹. The working solution of IS was prepared at 50.0 ng.mL⁻¹. All calibration standards were performed in triplicates and QC samples were prepared in six replicates.

Sample preparation

50 μ L plasma sample (or calibration standard or QC sample) was added with 25 μ L IS working solution and 200 μ L cold methanol. After vortexing for 3 min and centrifuging at 10000 rpm for 5 min, 100 μ L of supernatant was collected and transferred to Ultimate HPLC vials. A 3 μ L of the supernatant was injected into the LC-MS/MS system for the analysis. Blank sample (without analyte and IS) and zero sample (blank plus IS) were also prepared, and 3 μ L of the supernatant was injected for the LC-MS/MS detection.

Pharmacokinetic application

The developed assay was applied to a rat pharmacokinetic study following oral administration of lobetyolin at a dose of 10 mg.kg⁻¹. The rats were fasted for 12h but free access to water before dosing and further fasted for 2 h postdose. Blood was taken from retro-orbital puncture with a glass capillary at 0, 0.083, 0.17, 0.33, 0.67, 1, 2, 4, 6, 9 and 12 h after oral administration and collected into heparinized plastic tubes. The separated plasma after centrifugation (4000 rpm at 4 °C for 10 min) was stored frozen at -20 °C until analyzed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Selection of IS

An ideal IS should be a stable isotope-labeled compound (Deng *et al.*, 2020; Yang *et al.*, 2011) however isotope-labeled lobetyolin was unavailable commercially. Syringin was used as internal standard for lobetyolin analysis in this method considering its physicochemical properties, ionization and retention times. In addition, syringin was optimized in the positive ion mode as with lobetyolin, and it showed perfect peaks in the Thermo ODS C_{18} reversed-phase column under the described above chromatography condition.

Mass spectrometry condition optimization

For the optimization of mass transitions (m/z), the analyte and IS solutions at 200 ng/mL were infused into MS system by a syringe pump. The MS signal was acquired in full scan mode in the m/z range of 100–500 under positive ionization mode. The best signal of [M+Na]⁺ ions for lobetyolin at m/z 419.3 and [M+Na]⁺ ion for IS at m/z 394.9 were selected. After fragmentation, the analytical SRM at m/z 419.3 \rightarrow 203.1 for lobetyolin and m/z 394.9 \rightarrow 231.9 for IS were selected since they were the most abundant transitions.

Validation procedures

The validation experiment was performed according to the Guidance on Bioanalytical Method Validation (The Pharmacopoeia Committee of China, 2020).

Selectivity

Selectivity was investigated by comparing the chromatograms of blank plasma with those spiked with lobetyolin and IS, as well as real plasma samples from treated rats. Figure 2 represents the MRM chromatograms of blank plasma, plasma spiked with lobetyolin at the LLOQ, and a rat plasma sample collected at 1.0 h after oral administration. No significant interference was detected at the retention times of both compounds.



FIGURE 2 - Representative MRM chromatograms (A) blank rat plasma; (B) blank rat plasma spiked with lobetyolin and IS; (C) real plasma sample 1 h after oral dose of 10 mg/kg.

Assay performance and reproducibility

The assay performance was tested by conducting analysis of variance calculations using the QC samples of all the analytical runs (Fontana *et al.*, 2019). Intra- and inter-day precision (defined as pecent relative standard deviation, %RSD) and accuracy (defined as percent relative error, %RE) were calculated by determining lobetyolin QC concentrations in plasma in six replicates on three consecutive days. The resulting data showed that intra- and inter-day precision and accuracy were within $\pm 15\%$ (Table I).

Mean observed conc.	LLOQ (1.0 ng.mL-1)	LQC (2.5 ng.mL-1)	MQC (30.0 ng.mL-1)	HQC (450 ng.mL-1)
	$1.02{\pm}0.05$	2.17±0.14	34.4±3.4	452.4±5.8
Accuracy (%RE)	1.9	-13.3	14.5	0.5
Intra-day precision (%RSD)	2.2	8.4	6.0	2.1
Inter-day precision (%RSD)	5.1	6.4	9.8	1.3
n	18	18	18	18
Numbers of runs	3	3	3	3

TABLE I - Assay performance of lobetyolin QC samples in rat plasma

Linearity and sensitivity

Linear calibration curves were plotted at lobetyolin concentration range of 1.0–500 ng.mL⁻¹ in rat plasma, with a good correlation coefficient (r^2) of >0.99 in the three analytical runs. The LLOQ was determined at 1.0 ng.mL⁻¹ of lobetyolin in plasma, at which the S/N ratio was higher than 10. The accuracy of $\leq \pm 15\%$ was considered tot be acceptable, excluding for the LLOQ where accuracy of $\pm 20\%$ was acceptable.

Matrix effect and recovery

Matrix effect was evaluated by comparing the peak area of post-extraction spiked QC samples with that of the corresponding standard solutions (Meyer, Shah, 2019; Veerman *et al.*, 2019). The extraction recovery was investigated by comparing the peak area of extracted spiked samples with that of the post-extraction spiked samples (Wu *et al.*, 2021). The matrix effect for lobetyolin at concentrations of 2.5, 30.0 and 450 ng.mL⁻¹ in rat plasma was determined to be 101.3 ± 2.7 , 91.3 ± 4.7 , and $91.0\pm6.9\%$ (n=5), respectively. The absolute recoveries for lobetyolin of

TABLE II - Stability of lobetyolin QC samples in rat plasma (n=5)

plasma samples at three QC levels were determined to be in the range of 87.0-95.6% (data not shown). Results demonstrate that the analyte loss was negligible during sample preparation (n=5).

Carryover

Carryover study was checked by measuring the peak areas for the analyte by injecting blank plasma samples sequentially after an ULOQ injection. Carryover was performed to ensure whether it affects the accuracy and precision of the present LC-MS/MS assay. No carryover effect from analyte and IS come across injecting blank plasma sample given subsequent to ULOQ sample.

Stability

The stability was evaluted by determining three concentration levels of QC samples at 22 °C for 6 h, -20 °C storage for 23 days, 10 °C for 24 h in autosampler trials, and three freeze-thaw cycles in matrix. The stability data under different storage conditions are summarized in Table II. Results met well within the acceptable limit during the entire process.

Storage condition	Conc. added (ng.mL ⁻¹)	Conc. measured (ng.mL ⁻¹)	Accuracy (%RE)	Precision (%RSD)
Short-term (6 h at 22 °C	2.5	2.40±0.21	-3.9	9.0
	30.0	26.3±1.6	-12.4	6.2
	450	431.9±48.7	-4.0	11.3
Long-term (23 days at -20 °C)	2.5	2.77±0.06	10.9	2.2
	30.0	26.8±0.4	-10.7	1.5
	450	486.4±59.3	8.0	12.2
Three freeze-thaw cycle	2.5	2.37±0.05	-5.4	2.1
	30.0	27.1±2.5	-9.6	9.0
	450	431.9±36.8	-4.0	8.5
Autosampler trials (24 h at 10 °C)	2.5	2.46±0.33	-1.7	13.4
	30.0	29.0±1.9	-3.2	6.7
	450	418.1±9.2	-7.1	2.2

Pharmacokinetic application

In order to acquire the main pharmacokinetic parameters of lobetyolin, the concentration-time curves were analyzed by DAS 2.0 Software. Data were expressed as mean±SD. The mean plasma concentration-time profile is shown in Figure 3 and the corresponding parameters of lobetyolin are listed in Table III. The present assay allowed for the quantification of lobetyolin up to 12 h (last sampling time). As shown in Figure 3, the plasma

concentrations of lobetyolin reached its maximum plasma concentration (C_{max} , 60.1±33.1 ng.mL⁻¹) at the peak time (T_{max} , 1.0±0.6 h), followed by a relative long time (12 h) decreasing to the LLOQ, with the elimination time ($t_{1/2}$) of 2.2±1.1 h. The MRT_{0-t} AUC_{0-t} and AUC_{0-∞} values in rats were 2.8 ± 1.0 h, 212.4 ± 172.9 ng h.mL⁻¹, and 253.8 ± 192.6 ng h.mL⁻¹ for lobetyolin. The pharmacokinetic properties of lobetyolin (C_{max} , T_{max} , AUC and $t_{1/2}$) were comparable with those of the previously reported data (Dong *et al.*, 2021).



FIGURE 3 - Mean plasma concentration-time profile of lobetyolin in rats (n=6).

Pharmacokinetic parameter	Lobetyolin
$C_{\rm max}$ (ng.mL ⁻¹)	60.1 ± 33.1
T_{\max} (h)	1.0 ± 0.6
<i>T</i> _{1/2} (h)	2.2 ± 1.1
AUC _{0-t} (ng h.mL ⁻¹)	212.4 ± 172.9
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng h.mL ⁻¹)	253.8 ± 192.6
MRT _{0-t} (h)	2.8 ± 1.0
$MRT_{0-\infty}(h)$	4.1 ± 1.9

TABLE III - Pharmacokinetic parameters of lobetyolin after an oral administration of lobetyolin (10 mg.kg^{-1}) to rats (n = 6)

CONCLUSIONS

A rapid and sensitive LC-MS/MS assay was established to quantify lobetyolin concentrations in rat plasma. It allowed to achieve good accuracy and precision and to perform the pharmacokinetics of lobetyolin administered to Wistar rats. The present *in vivo* pharmacokinetic study can give helpful information for the rational usage of *Codonopsis pilosula*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2019MH051) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81903780).

REFERENCES

Chen B, Liu Z, Zhang Y, Li W, Sun Y, Wang Y, et al. Application of high-speed counter-current chromatography and HPLC to separate and purify of three polyacetylenes from *Platycodon grandiflorum*. J Sep Sci. 2018;41(3):789-796.

Choi JY, Hong JH, Dang YM, Jamila N, Khan N, Jo CH, et al. Identification markers of adulteration in korean red ginseng (panax ginseng) products using high-performance liquid chromatography (hplc) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Anal Lett. 2018;51(16):2588-2601.

Deng Z, Liu Q, He J, Zhang S, Zhou W. Validation of an UHPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of glaucocalyxin a, a novel potent negative akt regulator in rat plasma, lung and brain tissues: application to a pharmacokinetic study. J Chromatogr Sci. 2020;58(3):234-240.

Dong J, Cheng M, Xue R, Deng C, Liu H, Zhang T, et al. Comparative pharmacokinetic and bioavailability study of lobetyolin in rats after administration of lobetyolin and Codonopsis pilosula extract by ultra-performance LC-tandem mass spectrometry. Biomed Chromatogr. 2021;35(8):e5125.

Fontana MC, Laureano JV, Forgearini B, Chaves PDS, Beck RCR. LC-UV method to assay raloxifene hydrochloride in rat plasma and its application to a pharmacokinetic study. Braz J Pharm Sci. 2019;55:e18052.

He W, Tao W, Zhang F, Jie Q, He Y, Zhu W, et al. Lobetyolin induces apoptosis of colon cancer cells by inhibiting glutamine metabolism. J Cell Mol Med. 2020;24(6):3359-3369.

Hou J, Guo H, Wang M, Yang F. Comparative study on quality of codonipsis radix under different storage conditions based on index components and near infrared spectroscopy. Chin J Inf TCM. 2020;27:69-72. http://med. wanfangdata.com.cn/Paper/Detail?id=PeriodicalPaper_ zgzyyxxzz202010015&dbid=WF_QK

Ji JJ, Feng Q, Sun HF, Zhang XJ, Li XX, Li JK. Response of bioactive metabolite and biosynthesis related genes to methyl jasmonate elicitation in *Codonopsis pilosula*. Molecules. 2019;24(3):533.

Lin LC, Tsai TH, Kuo CL. Chemical constituents comparison of Codonopsis tangshen, Codonopsis pilosula var. modesta and Codonopsis pilosula. Nat Prod Res. 2013;27(19):1812-1815.

Meng X, Liu Y, Hu J. Simultaneous determination of nine constituents in Compound Dangshen Tablets by HPLC. Chin Traditional Patent Med. 2019;41(7):1502-1505. DOI:10.3969/j.issn.1001-1528.2019.07.005. http://med. wanfangdata.com.cn/Paper/Detail?id=PeriodicalPaper_zhongcy201907005&dbid=WF_QK

Meyer LF, Shah DK. Development and validation of an LC-MS/MS method for tyrphostin A9. J Pharm Anal. 2019;9(3):163-169.

Qiao C, He Z, Han Q, Xu H, Jiang R, Li S, et al. The use of lobetyolin and HPLC-UV fingerprints for quality assessment of Radix Codonopsis. J Food Drug Anal. 2007;15(3):258-264.

Qi Y, Tian R, Ran H. Simultaneous determination of protocatechuic acid, lobetyolin, atractylodes lactone I, II and III in Xiangsha Liujun pills by HPLC-MS. China Pharm. 2019;22:1954-1957. http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/ CJFDTotal-ZYSG201910051.htm

Haitao Tian, Pan Zhao, Jing Li, Zhipeng Deng, Gaobin Pu, Hong Wang

Shergis JL, Liu S, Chen X, Zhang AL, Guo X, Lu C, et al. Dang Shen [Codonopsis pilosula (Franch.) Nannf] Herbal Formulae for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Phytother Res. 2015;29(2):167-186.

The Pharmacopoeia Committee of China, Chinese Pharmacopoeia (Part I), Chemical Industry Press, Beijing, 2015. 281-282.

The Pharmacopoeia Committee of China, Chinese Pharmacopoeia (Part IV), Chemical Industry Press, Beijing, 2020. 466-471.

Veerman GDM, Lam MH, Mathijssen RHJ, Koolen SLW, de Bruijn P. Quantification of afatinib, alectinib, crizotinib and osimertinib in human plasma by liquid chromatography/ triple-quadrupole mass spectrometry; focusing on the stability of osimertinib. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2019;1113:37-44.

Wang J, Xu X, Ning J, Jia S, Wang H, Zhang D. Analysis of lobetyolin content in Radix Codonopsis from different producing areas in Gansu Province. Chin J Health Lab Tec. 2019;29:2821-2824. http://med. wanfangdata.com.cn/Paper/Detail?id=PeriodicalPaper_ zgwsjyzz201923002&dbid=WF_QK

Wang C, Hui J, Zhu X, Cui S, Cui Z, Xu D. Lobetyolin efficiently promotes angiogenesis and neuronal development in transgenic Zebrafish. Nat Prod Commun. 2020;15(8):1-7.

Wu W, Cheng R, Jiang Z, Zhang L, Huang X. UPLC-MS/MS method for the simultaneous quantification of pravastatin, fexofenadine, rosuvastatin, and methotrexate in a hepatic uptake model and its application to the possible drug-drug interaction study of triptolide. Biomed Chromatogr. 2021;35(7):e5093.

Yang D, Chen Y, Guo F, Huang B, Okyere SA, Wang H, et al. Comparative analysis of chemical composition, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of leaves, leaf tea and root from *Codonopsis pilosula*. Ind Crops Prod. 2019;142:111844.

Yang X, Li G, Chen L, Zhang C, Wan X, Xu J. Quantitative determination of hederagenin in rat plasma and cerebrospinal fluid by ultra-fast liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2011;879(21):1973-1979.

Yoon IS, Cho SS. Effects of lobetyolin on xanthine oxidase activity in vitro and in vivo: weak and mixed inhibition. Nat Prod Res. 2021;35(10):1667-1670.

Zhang X, Li Y. Content determination of lobetyolin in ganjing buxuesu oral liquid by HPLC. Chin Pharm. 2019;28(12):32-34.

Received for publication on 11th December 2020 Accepted for publication on 09th November 2021