# Association between the c.910A>G genetic variant of the *XRCC1* gene and susceptibility to esophageal cancer in the Chinese Han population

X.Q. Chen<sup>1</sup>, F. Wang<sup>1</sup>, Y.L. Zheng<sup>1,2</sup>, Q.X. Fan<sup>1</sup>, D.L. Yue<sup>1</sup> and Z.J. Ma<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine-Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan Province, China <sup>2</sup>Henan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhengzhou, Henan Province, China

#### Abstract

Esophageal cancer (EC) is a common malignancy worldwide. The X-ray repair cross-complementing 1 gene (*XRCC1*) is one of the most important candidate genes for influencing susceptibility to EC. This study aimed to investigate the effect of *XRCC1* genetic variants on susceptibility to EC. A total of 383 EC patients (males: 239, females: 144, mean age: 56.62) and 387 cancer-free controls (males: 251, females: 136, mean age: 58.23) were enrolled in this study. The c.910A>G genetic variant of the *XRCC1* gene was determined by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism and DNA sequencing methods. The allele and genotype frequencies indicated statistical differences between EC patients and cancer-free controls. The c.910A>G genetic variant was statistically associated with increased susceptibility to EC [GG *vs* AA: odds ratio (OR) = 1.79, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 1.12-2.86, P = 0.014; GG *vs* AG/AA: OR = 1.76, 95%CI = 1.13-2.75, P = 0.013; G *vs* A: OR = 1.25, 95%CI = 1.01-1.55, P = 0.041]. The allele G and genotype GG could contribute to the increased susceptibility to EC. Our findings suggest that the c.910A>G genetic variant is associated with susceptibility to EC in the Chinese Han population, and might be used as a molecular marker for detecting susceptibility to EC.

Key words: Esophageal cancer; XRCC1 gene; Genetic variant; Molecular marker; Susceptibility

#### Introduction

Esophageal cancer (EC) is the eighth most common cancer globally and the sixth most common cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide, with an estimated 3.8% of all new cancer cases, and 5.4% of cancer-related deaths each year (1-5). The majority of EC cases occur in developing countries. In China, EC still remains one of the leading causes of cancer death, with approximately 250,000 cases diagnosed yearly, and it contributes to about one-half of the world's EC cases (4-9). Previous studies demonstrated that the risk of EC has been associated with various factors such as nitrosamine carcinogens, alcohol intake, cigarette smoking, malnutrition, and genetic polymorphisms (5,8,10-13). It is generally accepted that genetic susceptibility may play a key role in the pathogenesis of EC (8,14,15). Recently, several reports indicated that the X-ray repair crosscomplementing 1 gene (XRCC1) is one of the most

important candidate genes for influencing EC susceptibility (4,5,7-9,12-24). The XRCC1 gene is located on chromosome 19q13.2 ~q13.3, and has 17 exons. The XRCC1 protein plays a critical role in the repair of singlestrand DNA breaks and in the DNA base excision repair pathway (4,5,7,9,16,17,19). Single genetic polymorphisms of the XRCC1 gene could impact the expression and function of the XRCC1 protein, which would influence susceptibility to EC. Evidence from published studies indicated that several single genetic polymorphisms in the XRCC1 gene, such as arginine (Arg)194 tryptophan (Trp), Arg280 histidine (His), and Arg399 glutanine (Gln), have been potentially associated with susceptibility to EC (4.5.7.8.12-19.23). However, to date, no similar studies have reported the potential association between the c.910A>G genetic variant and susceptibility to EC. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to

Correspondence: Q.X. Fan and Y.L. Zheng, Department of Internal Medicine-Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhengzhou University, No. 1 Jianshe Road, Zhengzhou 450000, Henan Province, China. Fax: +86-0371-6686-2241. E-mail: qingxia fan@sina.com

Received July 24, 2013. Accepted August 21, 2013. First published online December 2, 2013.

investigate the distribution of the c.910A>G genetic variant and to evaluate its effect on susceptibility to EC.

# **Subjects and Methods**

#### **Subjects**

The study consisted of 383 EC patients (males: 239, females: 144, mean age: 56.62) with a pathology-confirmed diagnosis and 387 healthy controls (males: 251, females: 136, mean age: 58.23) from The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhengzhou University, China. All subjects were of Chinese Han ethnicity. The controls were free from cancer and were frequency matched to the patients by gender and age. The general characteristics of the EC patients and cancer-free controls are summarized in Table 1, including gender, age, tobacco smoking, alcohol intake, green tea consumption, body mass index (BMI), and family history of cancer. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhengzhou University. Written informed consent forms were signed by all participants.

### Genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral venous blood collected from each participant using an Axygen

DNA isolation kit (Axygen, USA). One pair of specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers (F: 5'-GC CTGGACTGCTGGGTCTGAG-3'; R: 5'-TCAGCACCA CTACCACACCTG-3') was designed by the Primer Premier 5.0 software (Premier Biosoft International, USA). PCR was performed in a total volume of 20 uL containing 50 ng template DNA, 1 x buffer (100 mM Tris-HCI, pH 8.3, 500 mM KCI), 0.25 μM primers, 2.0 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.25 mM dNTPs, and 0.5 U Tag DNA polymerase (Promega, USA). The PCR protocol was performed at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 32 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 64.2°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 8 min. The genotyping of the c.910A>G genetic variant of the XRCC1 gene was analyzed by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP). Following the supplier's instructions, aliquots of 5-uL amplified PCR products were digested with 2 U Hhal restriction enzyme (MBI Fermentas, Germany) at 37°C for 10 h. The digested products were separated by 2.5% agarose gel electrophoresis containing ethidium bromide and visualized under ultraviolet light. To confirm the accuracy of the PCR-RFLP genotyping results, 10% random samples were re-analyzed by DNA sequencing methods (ABI3730xl DNA Analyzer, Applied Biosystems, USA).

**Table 1.** General characteristics of patients with esophageal cancer and cancer-free controls.

Characteristics	Patients	Controls	$\chi^2$	Р
Number	383 (49.7%)	387 (50.3%)		
Gender			0.5017	0.4788
Male	239 (62.4%)	251 (64.9%)		
Female	144 (37.6%)	136 (35.1%)		
Age (years)			1.6647	0.1970
Means ± SD	$56.62 \pm 10.89$	$58.23 \pm 11.36$		
<60	172 (44.9%)	156 (40.3%)		
≥60	211 (55.1%)	231 (59.7%)		
Tobacco smoking			3.0090	0.0828
Yes	209 (54.6%)	187 (48.3%)		
No	174 (45.4%)	200 (51.7%)		
Alcohol intake			0.4000	0.5271
Yes	152 (39.7%)	145 (37.5%)		
No	231 (60.3%)	242 (62.5%)		
Green tea consumption			0.5481	0.4591
Yes	166 (43.3%)	178 (46%)		
No	217 (56.7%)	209 (54%)		
Body mass index			0.4599	0.4977
<23	158 (41.3%)	169 (43.7%)		
≥23	225 (58.7%)	218 (56.3%)		
Family history of cancer				
Yes	83 (21.7%)	_		
No	300 (78.3%)	_		

Data are reported as number with percent in parentheses. There were no significant differences between patients and cancer-free controls (P>0.05,  $\chi^2$  test).

1030 X.Q. Chen et al.

#### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using the SPSS software (Windows version 15.0; SPSS Inc., USA). The chi-square test was used to evaluate the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in genotypic distributions and to compare differences in general characteristics between EC patients and cancer-free controls. For the association between allele/genotype and EC risk, we estimated the odds ratios (ORs) and their 95% confidence intervals (Cls) by unconditional logistic regression. P values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

#### Results

#### Subjects and general characteristics

There were no significant differences between EC patients and cancer-free controls in gender, age, tobacco smoking, alcohol intake, green tea consumption, and BMI (Table 1: P>0.05).

#### Identification of the XRCC1 genetic variant

Genotyping of the c.910A>G genetic variant of the *XRCC1* gene was identified in this study using PCR-RFLP and DNA sequencing methods. Our sequence analyses suggested that the c.910A>G genetic variant is caused by an A to G mutation, and this is a nonsynonymous mutation corresponding to a threonine (Thr) to alanine (Ala) amino acid replacement in exon 9 of the *XRCC1* gene (p.Thr304Ala, reference sequences: GenBank IDs: NC\_000019.9, NM\_006297.2, and NP\_006288.2). The *Hha*l restriction enzyme was selected to digest PCR-amplified products of the c.910A>G genetic variant. Three possible genotypes were defined by three distinct banding patterns: AA (243 bp), AG (243, 171, and 72 bp), and GG (171 and 72 bp).

#### Allelic and genotypic frequencies

Table 2 reports the allelic and genotypic frequencies in the study subjects. Both allele A and genotype AA had maximum frequencies in EC patients and cancer-free controls. The allele frequencies in EC patients (A, 65.14%; G, 34.86%) were significantly different from

those in cancer-free controls (A, 70.03%; G, 29.97%;  $\chi^2=4.1896$ , P=0.0407, Table 2). The genotype frequencies in EC patients (AA, 45.17%; AG, 39.95%; GG, 14.88%) were not consistent with cancer-free controls (AA, 49.10%; AG, 41.86%; GG, 9.04%), the differences being statistically significant ( $\chi^2=6.2935$ , P=0.0430, Table 2). The  $\chi^2$  test for genotype distributions in the study populations indicated that this genetic variant did not significantly deviate from the HWE (all P values >0.05).

# Association between the XRCC1 genetic variant and risk of EC

The potential association of the *XRCC1* c.910A>G genetic variant with EC risk is shown in Table 3. Significantly increased risks of EC were detected in homozygote comparisons, recessive models, and contrasting alleles.

#### **Discussion**

EC is a common and polygenic malignant cancer caused by complex interactions between genetic and environmental factors. It is a global health problem. A large number of studies have been conducted on genetic variants of candidate genes that play key roles in the pathogenesis of EC (8,14,15). In the present study, the influence of the c.910A>G genetic variant of the XRCC1 gene on EC risk was evaluated by association analysis in 383 EC patients and 387 cancer-free Chinese subjects with Han ethnicity. Our data indicated that the distributions of allele and genotype frequencies in EC patients were significantly different from those of cancer-free controls (all P values <0.05; Table 2). The genotype GG was statistically associated with increased EC risk compared with genotype AA and AG/AA carriers (all P values <0.05; Table 3). Compared with allele A, allele G might be an increased genetic risk factor for EC. Results from this study provided more evidence of the role of the XRCC1 gene in the development of EC and suggested that the c.910A>G genetic variant of the XRCC1 gene was statistically associated with EC risk in the Chinese Han population; therefore, this variant could be used as a

**Table 2.** Genotypic and allelic frequencies of the c.910A>G genetic variant of the *XRCC1* gene in patients with esophageal cancer and cancer-free controls.

Group	Genotypic frequencies (%)		Allelic frequencies (%)		$\chi^2$	Р	
	AA	AG	GG	Α	G		
Patients (n = 383)	173 (45.2%)	153 (39.9%)	57 (14.9%)	499 (65.1%)	267 (34.9%)	5.5477	0.0624
Controls (n = 387)	190 (49.1%)	162 (41.9%)	35 (9.0%)	542 (70.0%)	232 (30.0%)	0.0031	0.9985
Total (n = 770)	363 (47.1%) χ <sup>2</sup>	315 (40.9%) 2=6.2935, P=0.0	92 (11.9%) 430	1041 (67.6%) $\chi^2 = 4.1896$	499 (32.4%) s, P=0.0407	3.3688	0.1856

Genotypic and allelic data are reported as number with percent in parentheses. The  $\chi^2$  test was used for statistical analyses.

Table 3. Association between esophageal cancer risk and the c.910A>G genetic variant of the XRCC1 gene.

Comparisons	Test of association				
	OR (95%CI)	$\chi^2$	Р		
Homozygote comparison (GG vs AA)	1.79 (1.12-2.86)	5.99	0.014		
Heterozygote comparison (AG vs AA)	1.04 (0.77-1.40)	0.06	0.813		
Dominant model (GG/AG vs AA)	1.17 (0.88-1.55)	1.19	0.276		
Recessive model (GG vs AG/AA)	1.76 (1.13-2.75)	6.23	0.013		
Allele contrast (G vs A)	1.25 (1.01-1.55)	4.19	0.041		

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. The  $\chi^2$  test was used for statistical analyses.

molecular marker for evaluating susceptibility to EC. Our findings are consistent with several similar studies that demonstrated the potential association between other XRCC1 genetic variants and the risk of EC (for example, Arg194Trp, Arg280His, and Arg399Gln). Cai et al. (16) indicated that the Arg194Trp genetic polymorphism may be associated with an increased risk of developing esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). Xing et al. (7) found that individuals with the Trp/Trp genotype at the XRCC1 Arg194Trp site had a 2-fold increased risk of ESCC compared with the Arg/Arg genotype (adjusted OR = 1.98, 95%CI = 1.26-3.12). Zhai et al. (18) showed that, regarding the XRCC1 codon 280 His allele, there was no significant difference between ESCC patients and normal controls (P>0.05). Sobti et al. (17) suggested that the XRCC1 codon 399 Gln/Gln genotype was significantly associated with reduced risk of ESCC (OR = 0.31, 95%CI=0.12-0.78, P<0.01). Yu et al. (8) reported that the XRCC1 399 Gln/Gln genotype was associated with an increased risk of ESCC (OR = 5.15, 95%CI = 2.42-0.93), and the risk for smokers increased 4.2-fold compared with non-smokers in the 399 Gln/Gln genotype (OR = 4.20, 95%Cl = 2.37-7.44). Yin et al. (15) suggested that the XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism may be a potential biomarker for EC susceptibility in Chinese populations, particularly for squamous cell carcinoma. Cai et al. (16) demonstrated that XRCC1 Gln variant alleles were associated with an increased risk of ESCC with adjusted ORs of 1.67 (95%Cl = 1.08-2.59). Yu et al. (4) found that both lifestyle-related factors - such as drinking river water, consuming long-term stored rice, and alcohol intake - and the XRCC1 Arg399Gln polymorphism were possible risk factors for ESCC among Chinese people. These observations demonstrated that genetic variants of the XRCC1 gene may contribute to genetic effects on the development of EC.

In conclusion, the current study indicates that the c.910A>G genetic variant of the *XRCC1* gene may influence the risk of EC. It would be necessary to confirm our findings in large functional studies with different ethnic populations and to elucidate the underlying molecular mechanisms for the etiology of EC.

## References

- Parkin DM, Bray FI, Devesa SS. Cancer burden in the year 2000. The global picture. Eur J Cancer 2001; 37 (Suppl 8): S4-S66, doi: 10.1016/S0959-8049(01)00267-2.
- Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int J Cancer* 2010; 127: 2893-2917, doi: 10.1002/jijc.25516.
- Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin 2005; 55: 74-108, doi: 10.3322/canjclin.55.2.74.
- Yu H, Fu C, Wang J, Xue H, Xu B. Interaction between XRCC1 polymorphisms and intake of long-term stored rice in the risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a casecontrol study. *Biomed Environ Sci* 2011; 24: 268-274.
- Dai L, Wang K, Zhang J, Lv Q, Wu X, Wang Y. XRCC1 gene polymorphisms and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma risk in Chinese population: A meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Int J Cancer* 2009; 125: 1102-1109, doi: 10.1002/ ijc.24446.
- 6. Yang L, Parkin DM, Li L, Chen Y. Time trends in cancer

- mortality in China: 1987-1999. *Int J Cancer* 2003; 106: 771-783, doi: 10.1002/ijc.11300.
- Xing D, Qi J, Miao X, Lu W, Tan W, Lin D. Polymorphisms of DNA repair genes XRCC1 and XPD and their associations with risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a Chinese population. *Int J Cancer* 2002; 100: 600-605, doi: 10.1002/ijc.10528.
- Yu HP, Zhang XY, Wang XL, Shi LY, Li YY, Li F, et al. DNA repair gene XRCC1 polymorphisms, smoking, and esophageal cancer risk. Cancer Detect Prev 2004; 28: 194-199, doi: 10.1016/j.cdp.2004.01.004.
- Hao B, Wang H, Zhou K, Li Y, Chen X, Zhou G, et al. Identification of genetic variants in base excision repair pathway and their associations with risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2004; 64: 4378-4384, doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0372.
- Bosetti C, La Vecchia C, Talamini R, Simonato L, Zambon P, Negri E, et al. Food groups and risk of squamous cell esophageal cancer in northern Italy. *Int J Cancer* 2000; 87: 289-294, doi: 10.1002/1097-0215(20000715)87:2<289::</li>

1032 X.Q. Chen et al.

- AID-IJC22>3.0.CO;2-9.
- Talamini G, Capelli P, Zamboni G, Mastromauro M, Pasetto M, Castagnini A, et al. Alcohol, smoking and papillomavirus infection as risk factors for esophageal squamous-cell papilloma and esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma in Italy. *Int J Cancer* 2000; 86: 874-878, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(20000615)86:6<874::AID-IJC18>3.0.CO; 2-V.
- Casson AG, Zheng Z, Evans SC, Veugelers PJ, Porter GA, Guernsey DL. Polymorphisms in DNA repair genes in the molecular pathogenesis of esophageal (Barrett) adenocarcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 2005; 26: 1536-1541, doi: 10.1093/ carcin/bgi115.
- Liu R, Yin LH, Pu YP. Reduced expression of human DNA repair genes in esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma in China. J Toxicol Environ Health A 2007; 70: 956-963, doi: 10.1080/15287390701290725.
- Tse D, Zhai R, Zhou W, Heist RS, Asomaning K, Su L, et al. Polymorphisms of the NER pathway genes, ERCC1 and XPD are associated with esophageal adenocarcinoma risk. Cancer Causes Control 2008; 19: 1077-1083, doi: 10.1007/ s10552-008-9171-4.
- 15. Yin M, Tan D, Wei Q. Genetic variants of the XRCC1 gene and susceptibility to esophageal cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2009; 2: 26-35.
- Cai L, You NC, Lu H, Mu LN, Lu QY, Yu SZ, et al. Dietary selenium intake, aldehyde dehydrogenase-2 and X-ray repair cross-complementing 1 genetic polymorphisms, and the risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer* 2006; 106: 2345-2354, doi: 10.1002/cncr.21881.
- Sobti RC, Singh J, Kaur P, Pachouri SS, Siddiqui EA, Bindra HS. XRCC1 codon 399 and ERCC2 codon 751 polymorphism, smoking, and drinking and risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a North Indian population. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2007; 175: 91-97, doi: 10.1016/

- j.cancergencyto.2007.01.001.
- Zhai XD, Mo YN, Xue XQ, Zhao GS, Gao LB, Ai HW, et al. XRCC1 codon 280 and ERCC2 codon 751 polymorphisms and risk of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a Chinese population. *Bull Cancer* 2009; 96: E61-E65.
- Liu G, Zhou W, Yeap BY, Su L, Wain JC, Poneros JM, et al. XRCC1 and XPD polymorphisms and esophageal adenocarcinoma risk. *Carcinogenesis* 2007; 28: 1254-1258, doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgm020.
- Ferguson HR, Wild CP, Anderson LA, Murphy SJ, Johnston BT, Murray LJ, et al. No association between hOGG1, XRCC1, and XPD polymorphisms and risk of reflux esophagitis, Barrett's esophagus, or esophageal adenocarcinoma: results from the factors influencing the Barrett's adenocarcinoma relationship case-control study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2008; 17: 736-739, doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-07-2832.
- Doecke J, Zhao ZZ, Pandeya N, Sadeghi S, Stark M, Green AC, et al. Polymorphisms in MGMT and DNA repair genes and the risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 2008; 123: 174-180, doi: 10.1002/ijc.23410.
- Ratnasinghe LD, Abnet C, Qiao YL, Modali R, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Dong ZW, et al. Polymorphisms of XRCC1 and risk of esophageal and gastric cardia cancer. *Cancer Lett* 2004; 216: 157-164, doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2004.03.012.
- Lee JM, Lee YC, Yang SY, Yang PW, Luh SP, Lee CJ, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of XRCC1 and risk of the esophageal cancer. *Int J Cancer* 2001; 95: 240-246, doi: 10.1002/1097-0215(20010720)95:4<240::AID-IJC1041>3.0.CO;2-1
- Ye W, Kumar R, Bacova G, Lagergren J, Hemminki K, Nyren O. The XPD 751Gln allele is associated with an increased risk for esophageal adenocarcinoma: a population-based case-control study in Sweden. *Carcinogenesis* 2006; 27: 1835-1841, doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgl017.