

The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES



Original Article

Evaluation of the Panbio[™] COVID-19 ag rapid test at an emergency room in a hospital in São Paulo, Brazil



Klinger Soares Faíco-Filho^a, Francisco Estivallet Finamor Júnior^b, Luiz Vinícius Leão Moreira^a, Paulo Ricardo Gessolo Lins [©]^c, Alberto Fernando Oliveira Justo [©]^a, Nancy Bellei [©]^{a,*}

^a Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), Escola Paulista de Medicina (EPM), Laboratório de Virologia, Departamento de Medicina, Divisão de Doenças Infecciosas, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^b Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), Departamento de Medicina, Disciplina de Medicina de Emergência, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^c Universidade Federal de São Paulo (UNIFESP), Departamento de Medicina, Disciplina de Nefrologia, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 November 2021 Accepted 2 March 2022 Available online 21 March 2022

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2 Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test Hospital

ABSTRACT

Background: The performance characteristics of the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test was evaluated at an emergency room setting against RT-PCR, considered the gold-standard for the detection of SARS-CoV-2, in São Paulo, Brazil. The study aimed to determine the sensitivity, specificity, Positive Percent Agreement (PPA), and Negative Percent Agreement (NPA) as compared to RT-PCR.

Methods: Specimens from 127 suspected patients were tested by both the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test and by RT-PCR.

Results: In relation to RT-PCR using Ct values \leq 40 as the upper limit for positivity, the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test showed an overall sensitivity of 84.3% (95% CI 75–93.8%) and 98.2% (95% CI 96–98.8%) overall specificity. For Ct values \leq 25 (n = 37), the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test showed 97% sensitivity.

Discussion: The concordance between the Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test and RT-PCR was 97% at Ct values below 25 but decreased at higher Ct values. For disease control, it is very important to identify infected individuals who present COVID-19 symptoms and also those who are suspected of infection due to contact with infected individuals.

Conclusion: The Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test is suitable for use as a diagnostic test for rapid screening of patients presenting COVID-19 symptoms, or those suspected of being infected, prior to being admitted to hospital.

© 2022 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the GC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Background

In late 2019, an outbreak of respiratory illness of unknown etiology was reported in Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China. The

E-mail address: nbellei@uol.com.br (N. Bellei).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2022.102349

1413-8670/© 2022 Sociedade Brasileira de Infectologia. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

^{*} Corresponding author at: Laboratório de Virologia, Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Escola Paulista de Medicina (EPM), Rua Pedro de Toledo 781 -15 andar CEP- 04039 032, São Paulo, Brazil.

International Committee for Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) named the virus SARS-CoV-2 and is the cause of the global COVID-19 pandemic which, as of 18th February 2022, has claimed more than 5.8 million lives (https://covid19.who.int/). The preferred diagnostic test for COVID-19 is Nucleic Acid Amplification Test (NAAT) using Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) performed in fluid from the nasal or nasopharyngeal cavities. The turn-around time can vary from same one day to one week.¹⁻³ Alternatively, a COVID-19 antigen test using nasal or nasopharyngeal samples can produce results in minutes.⁴ A positive antigen test result is considered accurate, but low viral load in the sample can produce false-negative results.^{5,6}

The Abbott Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag Rapid Test device is an in vitro rapid diagnostic test intended to aid in the diagnosis of COVID-19. The Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag Rapid Test device is a lateral flow immunochromatographic test used for the qualitative detection of SARS-CoV-2 antigens in human tissue fluids obtained from nasal or nasopharyngeal swabs. The Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag platform uses a cassette containing a lateral flow test strip and is intended for use by trained healthcare professionals in point-of-care and hospital settings. The product may be used in both laboratory and nonlaboratory environments that meet the requirements specified in the product's Instructions For Use (IFU).

The Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test can be used for rapid screening in patients presenting COVID-19 symptoms, or in those who had contact with infected persons, prior to being admitted to hospital. In this study, the diagnostic performance, namely sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, of the Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag Rapid test device using fluids obtained from nasopharyngeal swabs was assessed having RT-PCR test as gold-standard.

Methods

Study population

This cross-sectional study was conducted at São Paulo Hospital, São Paulo, Brazil. Patients (\geq 18 years), treated at the emergency room and admitted for at least 24 hours were included if they met one of the following criteria: (1) symptoms suspected to be related to SARS-CoV-2 and/or contact with COVID-19 infected persons, (2) decompensation of underlying disease, or (3) suggestive tomographic alteration (ground glass opacities).

Testing scheme

Nasopharyngeal swab samples were simultaneously tested using the Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test and by RT-PCR. The Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test was performed according to the product Instructions For Use (IFU).⁷ Results were obtained and interpreted at 15 minutes after test initiation according to the IFU. The RT-PCR testing was performed with GeneFinder[™] COVID-19 Plus RealAmp Kit (OSANG Healthcare Co., Ltd.) according to manufacturer's instructions targeting the *RdRp* (RNA-dependent RNA Polymerase), *E* (Envelope), and N (Nucleocapsid) SARS-COV-2 genes.⁸ Samples with inconclusive GeneFinderTM test results were tested using a second RT-PCR test – Mobius XGEN MASTER COVID-19 test – targeting the ORF1*ab* and N SARS-CoV-2 genes, per the IFU.⁹ Test results for both RT-PCR methods were available within 6–24 hours.

Reference testing

For this study, the performance of the Panbio^TM COVID-19 Ag test was evaluated against the results of the gold standard test (RT-PCR). For RT-PCR, RNA was isolated from the subject's nasopharyngeal swab using the Quick-RNA Viral Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), according to the manufacturer's protocol.¹⁰ After extraction, the RNA was used immediately, and the remaining RNA was stored at -80°C. The Master Mixture was prepared by mixing 10 μ L of COVID-19 Plus Reaction Mixture and 5 μ L of COVID-19 Plus Probe Mixture per sample; a sufficient amount of Master Mixture was prepared for all the samples and controls that were tested. Then, 15 $\mu \rm L$ of the Master Mixture was transferred to a 96-well plate to which either (1) 5 μ L RNA sample, (2) 5 μ L negative control (DEPCtreated water), or (3) 5 μ L positive control (DNA plasmids encoding the SARS-CoV-2 RdRp, E, and N genes, and human RNase P gene). The plate was sealed and centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 seconds. The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: (1) 1 cycle at 50°C for 20 min, (2) 1 cycle at 95° C for 5 min, and (3) 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec and 58°C for 60 sec.

In contrast to the GeneFinderTM kit, the Master Mixture in the MobiusTM kit was used directly without further preparation. The 96-well plate was prepared by adding 15 μ L of the Master Mixture to the sample wells, followed by either (1) 5 μ L of the subject's RNA, (2) 5 μ L negative control (DEPC-treated water), or (3) 5 μ L positive control (a synthetic cDNA of the ORF1*ab* and N SRS-CoV-2 genes). In addition, 1 μ L of an internal control was added to all the subjects' RNA samples. It is important to highlight that the content of the internal control is not described by the manufacturer. The plate was sealed and centrifuged, as described above. The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: (1) 1 cycle at 45°C for 15 min, (2) 1 cycle at 95°C for 2 min, and (3) 45 cycles at 95°C for 10 sec and 60°C for 50 sec.

Statistical analysis

To assess differences between patient subgroups, continuous variables are expressed by mean (and standard deviation) or median (and interquartile range) values and compared using the Student t-test, ANOVA, Kruskal-Wallis test and/or the Mann-Whitney *U* test, as indicated. Categorical variables are presented as proportions and the respective 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CI) were compared using the Chi-Square test and the Fisher exact test.

The impact on sensitivity and specificity of the Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test according to the Ct value used to define a positive RT-PCR test was assessed by a Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve. The Area Under the Curve (AUC) and 95% Confidence Interval (95% CI) were calculated for Ct cutoffs of 25, 30, 35 and 40. All analyses were performed using

Table 1. – RT-PCR results and clinical characteristics of study population ^a .							
	PCR negative (n = 57)	PCR positive (n = 70)	p-value	Total (n = 127)			
Age (mean ± SD) Male sex (%) Time of symptoms (days, mean) Ct value (mean, range)	54.74 ± 19.25 29 (50.9%) 5 (3; 7) 40 (40; 40)	64.31 ± 14.83 40 (57.1%) 6 (4; 7) 25 (21.75; 28)	0.002 0.481 0.06 < 0.001	60 ± 17.5 69 (54.3%) 5 (4; 7) 32 (25; 40)			
^a Cutoff for PCR positivity Ct values \leq 40.							

Table 2. – Panbio [™] COVID-19 ag test results and clinical characteristics of study population.							
	Antigen negative (n = 67)	Antigen positive (n = 60)	p-value	Total (n = 127)			
Age (mean \pm SD)	55.94 ± 18.60	64.57 ± 15.19	0.005	60 ± 17.5			
Male sex (%)	35 (52.2%)	34 (56.7%)	0.617	69 (54.3%)			
Time of symptoms (days, mean)	5 (3; 7)	6 (4; 7)	0.178	5 (4; 7)			
Ct Value (mean, range)	40 (40; 40)	24.5 (21; 28)	< 0.001	32 (25; 40)			

Table 3. – Details of discordant samples.					
Sample	Ct value	Days post onset of symptoms			
1	21	8			
2	26	2			
3		4			
4		7			
5	28	10			
6	30	7			
7	31	11			
8	32	4			
9 and 10	34	5			
		6			

Table 4. – Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test as a function of Ct

Panbio TM COVID-19 Ag test	≤ 25	≤ 30	≤ 35	≤ 40
Positive	36	52	57	59
Negative	1	7	11	11
Sensitivity (%)	97.3	88.1	83.8	84.3
PPV (%)	60.0	86.7	95.0	98.3
NPV (%)	98.5	89.5	83.6	83.6
Accuracy (%)	80.3	81.2	89.0	90.6
Карра	0.78	0.76	0.59	0.27

PPV, Positive Predictive Value; NPV, Negative Predictive Value.

the SPSS 26 program. Differences were considered statistically significant at p-values lower than 0.05.

The agreement beyond chance between results of RT-PCR, defining positivity according to the above Ct cutoffs, and the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test was assessed by the kappa statistic.

Results

A total of 127 subjects were included. The median age was 63 years (22–69) and there were more males (54.3%) than females (vs. 45.7%). The characteristics of the study population are given in Table 1.

The PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag testing summary is shown in Table 2.

Table 3 provides details of the 10 samples that were negative by the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test but were positive by RT-PCR using the GeneFinderTM COVID-19 Plus RealAmp Kit. The Ct values of the samples ranged from 21–34 and were collected from subjects 2–11 days post onset of symptoms.

Table 4 shows the diagnostic parameters sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values as a function of Ct. The results indicate that the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test has high sensitivity (97.3%) when positivity was defined as a



Fig. 1–ROC curve of Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test results according to the Ct value used to define a positive RT-PCR test result.



Fig. 2 - Distribution of Ct values according to time elapsed from symptoms onset among RT-PCR-positive samples.

value \leq 25, which falls to 84% when RT-PCR was considered positive for Ct values \leq 40.

The specificity of the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test was 98.2% when all samples that were negative by RT-PCR were included (Ct values > 40). The accuracy of the test was 90.6%.

Fig. 1 shows the ROC curve with the impact on sensitivity and specificity of the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test generated by varying the defition of a real positive RT-PCR result taking into account the Ct value. The curve shows that the Ct cutoff of 25 gives the highest sensitivity (97.3%), NPV (98.5%) and AUC (0.949) of the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test.

The distribution of Ct values according to time elapsed from symptoms onset among RT-PCR-positive samples (n = 70) is shown in Fig. 2.

The number of data points is less than 70 because some samples produced the same Ct values and had the same number of days since symptom onset. No PCR-negative samples are presented here. The red squares represent PCR-positive samples that were tested as negative by the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test. The highest viral loads were observed soon after symptom onset, which then gradually decreased but viral loads were very heterogeneous.

Discussion

This study was designed to evaluate the performance of the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test in comparison to RT-PCR, considered the gold-standard diagnostic test for COVID-19. The sensitivity was 84.3% (95% CI 75%–93.8%) and the specificity was 98.2% (95% CI 96%–98.8%), when the Ct cutoff used to define positivity was ≤40, similar to results seen in other recent studies.¹¹⁻¹⁵

In our study, the agreement beyond chance between the PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag test and RT-PCR decreases as Ct levels

increase. In particular, the agreement was 78% for samples with Ct values of \leq 25 but decreases at higher Cts. Additionally, sensitivity is not significantly different between subjects tested 0 to 11 days after symptom onset due to the high viral load observed in the patients even after 7 days. Following SARS-CoV-2 infection, the virus undergoes a period of incubation during with viral titers usually too low to detect, after which the virus undergoes exponential growth, leading to a peak in viral load and infectivity, followed by declining viral levels and clearance when infectivity is low. For disease control, it is very important to identify both infected individuals who present COVID-19 symptoms and also those who are suspected of infection due to contact with infected individuals.

Conclusion

The Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag test has sufficient sensitivity and specificity to be used as a tool for the primary screening of such individuals. This is especially true in point-of-care and in patient settings where more elaborate laboratory facilities for RT-PCR are not available.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

^{1.} World Health Organization. WHO COVID-19 Preparedness and Response Progress Report - 1 February to 30 June 2020. WHO; 2020.

- 2. Cheng MP, Papenburg J, Desjardins M, et al. Diagnostic testing for severe acute respiratory syndrome–related coronavirus-2. Annals of Internal Medicine. 2020: M20–1301. [Online] Available from: https://doi:10.7326/M20-1301.
- 3. Coronavirus Disease 2019 Testing Basics. https://www.fda. gov/consumers/consumer-updates/coronavirus-disease-2019-testing-basics.
- Grassly NC, Pons-Salort M, Parker EPK, White PJ, Ferguson NM. Imperial College COVID-19 Response Team. Comparison of molecular testing strategies for COVID-19 control: a mathematical modelling study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20:1381–9.
- Caruana G, Croxatto A, Coste AT, et al. Diagnostic strategies for SARS-CoV-2 infection and interpretation of microbiological results. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2020;26:1178–82.
- 6. World Health Organization. COVID-19 Target product profiles for priority diagnostics to support response to the COVID-19 pandemic v.0.1. WHO; 2020.
- 7. Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag Instructions For Use. 41FK10-07-AO. August 2020.
- GeneFinder[™] COVID-19 Plus RealAmp Kit Instructions For Use. IFMR-45. April 2020.
- 9. Mobius XGEN MASTER COVID-19 Test Instructions For Use. CRBio-07 34.360/07-D.

- **10**. Zymo Quick-RNATM Viral Kit Instruction Manual. Ver 2.0.1. December 2020.
- Gremmels H, Beatrice MFW, Schuurman R, et al. Real-life validation of the PanbioTM COVID-19 antigen rapid test (Abbott) in community-dwelling subjects with symptoms of potential SARS-CoV-2 infection. EClinicalMedicine. 2021;31:100677. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100677. Available from:.
- Albert E, Torres I, Bueno F, et al. Field evaluation of a rapid antigen test (Panbio[™] COVID-19 Ag Rapid Test Device) for COVID-19 diagnosis in primary healthcare centres. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021;27. 472.e7–472.e10.
- **13.** Rabaan AA, Tirupathi R, Sule AA, et al. Viral dynamics and real-time RT-PCR Ct values correlation with disease severity in COVID-19. Diagnostics. 2021;11:1091.
- 14. Albert E, Torres I, Bueno F, et al. Field evaluation of a rapid antigen test (PanbioTM COVID-19 Ag Rapid Test Device) for COVID-19 diagnosis in primary healthcare centres. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021;27. 472-e7.
- 15. Gremmels H, Winkel BM, Schuurman R, et al. Real-life validation of the PanbioTM COVID-19 antigen rapid test (Abbott) in community-dwelling subjects with symptoms of potential SARS-CoV-2 infection. EClinicalMedicine. 2021;31:100677.