

# Diversity and distribution of the free-living freshwater Cyclopoida (Copepoda: Crustacea) in the Neotropics

Silva, WM.\*

Departamento Ciências do Ambiente, Campus Pantanal, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul – UFMS,  
Av. Rio Branco, 1270, CEP 79304-020, Corumbá, MS, Brazil

\*e-mail: wmsilvax@ig.com.br

Received March 26, 2008 – Accepted March 26, 2008 – Distributed November 30, 2008

(With 1 figure)

## Abstract

Cyclopoida species from the Neotropics are listed and their distributions are commented. The results showed 148 species in the Neotropics, where 83 species were recorded in the northern region (above upon Equator) and 110 species in the southern region (below the Equator). Species richness and endemism are related more to the number of specialists than to environmental complexity. New researcher should be made on to the Copepod taxonomy and the and new skills utilized to solve the main questions on the true distributions and Cyclopoida diversity patterns in the Neotropics.

**Keywords:** Cyclopoida diversity, Copepoda, Neotropics, Americas, latitudinal distribution.

## Diversidade e distribuição dos Cyclopoida (Copepoda:Crustacea) de vida livre de água doce nos Neotrópicos

## Resumo

Foram listadas as espécies de Cyclopoida dos Neotrópicos e sua distribuição comentada. Os resultados mostram um número de 148 espécies, sendo que 83 espécies registradas na Região Norte (acima da linha do Equador) e 110 na Região Sul (abaixo da linha do Equador). A riqueza de espécies e o endemismo estiveram relacionados mais com o número de especialistas do que com a complexidade ambiental. Novos especialistas devem ser formados em taxonomia de Copepoda e utilizar novas ferramentas para resolver as questões sobre a real distribuição e os padrões de diversidade dos Copepoda Cyclopoida nos Neotrópicos.

**Palavras-chave:** diversidade dos Cyclopoida, Copepoda, neotrópicos, amérias, distribuição latitudinal.

## 1. Introduction

Cyclopoids are the most abundant and successful Copepoda in freshwater and they inhabit all kinds of freshwater environments such as rivers, streams, pools, lakes, reservoirs, wetlands and temporary pools. The Cyclopoida group commonly represents the main component of the zooplanktonic biomass in tropical water bodies (Rocha, et al., 1995; Santos-Wisniewski and Rocha, 2007).

The freshwater cyclopoids are distributed in two sub-families, Eucyclopinae and Cyclopinae. There are some genera with high diversity, some are distributed mainly in temperate zones such as *Cyclops* and *Diacyclops* and others in tropical zones represented principally *Mesocyclops* and *Thermocyclops*. In the Neotropical region, the tropical genera prevail, with some species different from those found in Africa and Australia, and there are also cosmopolitan while introduced species (Reid and Pinto-Coelho, 1994; Suárez-Morales et al., 1999).

Cyclopoida studies in the neotropical region are scarce and in all revisions genera new species have been added to the region lists (Gutierrez-Aguirre and Suárez-Morales, 2001; Suárez-Morales, et al., 2004; Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi, 2005). Revisions on the distribu-

tion of the Cyclopoida group in the neotropics have considered few genera, such as *Thermocyclops* (Reid, 1989) and *Mesocyclops* (Suárez-Morales and Gutierrez-Aguirre, 2001; Gutierrez-Aguirre and Suárez-Morales, 2001), and have been some local efforts such as Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi (2005) for *Themocyclops* in São Paulo State in Brazil and Suárez-Morales (2004) for *Eucyclops* in Mexico.

The aim of this work is to show the distribution of the free-living freshwater Cyclopoida group and its diversity in the neotropics, including South America, Central America and the Caribbean.

## 2. Material and Methods

The records of the Cyclopoida were obtained from a literature review on species in the world, in the neotropics and in some American countries and smaller localities, and from the Brazilian collections at UFSCar (Federal University of São Carlos, São Paulo State), IIE (International Institute of Ecology, São Carlos, São Paulo State), UFMS (Federal University of Mato Grosso

do Sul, Mato Grosso do Sul State) and the Biota data bank ([www.biota.org.br](http://www.biota.org.br)).

### 3. Diversity and Distribution

Table 1 shows the species and their distributions based on Figure 1 of the South and North neotropical regions. These results showed 148 species in the neotropics, where 83 species were recorded in the North region and 110 species in the South, these species being distributed in six genera of the sub-family Eucyclopinae and 14 genera of the sub-family Cyclopinae.

#### 3.1. Genus recorded in the Neotropics

##### Sub-Family Eucyclopinae Kiefer, 1927

###### *Macrocylops* Claus, 1903

Genus with three species in the neotropics, two cosmopolitan *M. albidus* and *M. fuscus*, and one neotropical *M. albidus principalis*. *M. albidus* is the most common and widely distributed species, from Argentina to Mexico (Dussart and Dafaye, 1985). It inhabits littoral zones of lakes and reservoir.



**Figure 1.** The Neotropic: Political division, hydrography and latitudinal division. Countries: South America: Ar: Argentina; Ur: Uruguay, Pa: Paraguay, Ch: Chile; Br: Brazil; Bo: Bolivia; Pe: Peru; Co: Colombia; Ve: Venezuela; Gu: Guyana; Su: Suriname; FG: French Guyana; Central America: Pa: Panama; CR: Costa Rica; Ni: Nicaragua; Ho: Honduras; ES: El Salvador; Ga: Guatemala; Be: Belize; North America: Me: Mexico; Caribbean America: Cu: Cuba; Ha: Haiti; RD: Dominican Republic; Ja: Jamaica; PR: Puerto Rico; and US: United States.

**Table 1.** Species list of the Neotropical Cyclopoida and their distribution in the North (N) and South (S), in the latitudinal ranges 1: 0° to 9°; 2: 10° to 19°; 3: 20° to 29° and 4: up to 30° (illustrated in Figure 1) and comments where “\*\*” indicates endemic notes, “\*\*\*” indicates taxonomical notes and “\*\*\*\*” indicates general comments.

<b>Eucyclopinae species</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<i>Ectocyclops bromelicula</i> Kiefer, 1935	1	1	-
<i>Ectocyclops herbsti</i> Dussart, 1984	1	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Ectocyclops pharellatus</i> (Koch, 1838)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Ectocyclops rubescens</i> Brady, 1904	1	1,2,3	-
<i>Ectocyclops strenkzei</i> Herbst, 1959	-	3	*Recorded in São Paulo State in Brazil
<i>Eucyclops breviramatus</i> Loffer, 1963	-	1	*Recorded in Ecuador
<i>Eucyclops ariguabensis</i> Brehm, 1948	2	-	*Recorded in Cuba
<i>Eucyclops delachauxi</i> Kiefer, 1925	-	2	*Recorded in Peru.
<i>Eucyclops festivus</i> Lindberg, 1955	3	-	*Recorded in Mexico
<i>Eucyclops pseudoensifer</i> Dussart, 1934	-	2,3	-
<i>Eucyclops silvestrii</i> (Brian, 1927)	-	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Eucyclops alticola</i> Kiefer, 1957	-	2	*Recorded in Titicaca Lake (Peru, Bolivia)
<i>Eucyclops bondi</i> Kiefer, 1934	2,3	-	-
<i>Eucyclops demacedoi</i> Lindberg, 1957	-	2	*Recorded in Peru.
<i>Eucyclops elegans</i> (Herrick, 1884)	4	2	***Those recorded in South America need revision.
<i>Eucyclops ensifer</i> Kiefer, 1936	1,2,3	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Eucyclops leptacanthus</i> Kiefer, 1956	1,2	2	-
<i>Eucyclops neotropicus</i> Kiefer, 1936	-	1	*Recorded only in Pernambuco State in Brazil
<i>Eucyclops neumani neumani</i> (Pesta, 1927)	1	1, 3,4	-
<i>Eucyclops neumani titicae</i> Kiefer, 1957	-	2	*Recorded only in Titicaca Lake (Peru, Bolivia)
<i>Eucyclops serrulatus</i> (Fisher, 1851)	1,2,3	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Eucyclops siolii</i> Herbst, 1962	-	1	*Recorded only in Pará State in Brazil (Amazonian region)
<i>Eucyclops solitarius</i> Herbst, 1959	-	2,3	-
<i>Eucyclops</i> sp. Herbst, 1959	-	2	**This species has no denomination
<i>Eucyclops spertatus</i> (Lilljeborg, 1901)	3,4	-	-
<i>Eucyclops subciliatus</i> Dussart, 1984	-	2,3	-
<i>Eucyclops torresphilipi</i> Suárez-Morales 2004	2	-	*Recorded only in Chiapas State in Mexico (Pacific coast)
<i>Homocyclops ater</i> (Herrick, 1882)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	**Some authors consider as <i>Macrocylops</i>
<i>Macrocylops albidus</i> (Jurine, 1820)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Macrocylops albidus principalis</i> Herbst, 1962	1	1	-
<i>Macrocylops fuscus</i> (Jurine, 1820)	4	2	**In the southern region is species <i>incertae</i>
<i>Paracyclops andinus</i> Kiefer, 1957	-	2	*Recorded in Andes Mountain
<i>Paracyclops hardingi</i> Karaytug and Boxshall, 1998	-	2	*Recorded in Colombia
<i>Paracyclops novenarius</i> Reid, 1987	-	1	-
<i>Paracyclops punctatus</i> Karaytug and Boxshall, 1998	-	1	*Recorded in Northeast Brazil
<i>Paracyclops reidae</i> Karaytug and Boxshall, 1998	1	-	-
<i>Paracyclops rochae</i> Karaytug and Boxshall, 1998	-	1	*Recorded in Northeast Brazil
<i>Paracyclops uenoii</i> Itô, 1962	-	3,4	-
<i>Paracyclops carectum</i> Reid, 1987	-	2	-
<i>Paracyclops chiltoni</i> (Thomson, 1882)	-	2,3	**Most of the species identified as <i>P. fimbriatus</i> in South America are <i>P. chiltoni</i> .
<i>Paracyclops pilosus</i> Dussart, 1983	1	-	*Recorded in French Guyana (Amazonian)
<i>Paracyclops poppei</i> (Rehberg, 1880)	1,2,3,4	-	-
<i>Tropocyclops extensus</i> Kiefer, 1931	3,4	-	-
<i>Tropocyclops extensus longispina</i> Kiefer, 1931	3,4	-	-

**Table 1.** Continued...

<b>Eucyclopinae species</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<i>Tropocyclops federensis</i> Reid, 1991	-	2	-
<i>Tropocyclops nananae</i> Reid, 1991	-	2	-
<i>Tropocyclops piscinalis</i> Dussart, 1984	-	2	-
<i>Tropocyclops prasinus aztequei</i> Lindberg, 1955	3	-	-
<i>Tropocyclops prasinus meridionalis</i> (Kiefer, 1931)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Tropocyclops prasinus mexicanus</i> Kiefer, 1938	1,2,3,4	1	-
<i>Tropocyclops prasinus peruviana</i> Lindberg, 1955		2	-
<i>Tropocyclops prasinus prasinus</i> (Fischer, 1860)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Tropocyclops rarus</i> Dussart, 1983	-	1	*Recorded in French Guyana (Amazonian)
<i>Tropocyclops schubarti dispar</i> Herbst, 1952	-	1	*Recorded in Pará state in Brazil (Amazonian)
<i>Tropocyclops schubarti</i> Kiefer, 1935	-	2,3,4	-
<b>Cyclopinae species</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>S</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<i>Acanthocyclops robustus</i> (G.O. Sars, 1863)	1,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Acanthocyclops vernalis</i> (Fisher, 1853)	1,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Acanthocyclops michaelseni</i> (Mrázek, 1901)	-	4	*Recorded in southern Argentina
<i>Acanthocyclops scottsbergi</i> Lindberg, 1949	-	4	*Recorded in Patagonia
<i>Allocyclops botosaneanui</i> Plesa, 1981	3	-	*Recorded only in Cuba
<i>Allocyclops silvaticus</i> Rocha and Bjornberg, 1988	-	1	*Recorded in Northeast Brazil
<i>Apocyclops panamensis</i> (Marsh, 1913)	1,2,3,4	-	-
<i>Apocyclops procerus</i> (Herbst, 1955)	1	1,2,3	-
<i>Apocyclops distans</i> (Kiefer, 1956)	1	1	-
<i>Apocyclops viduus</i> (Kiefer, 1933)	2	-	*Recorded in Aruba
<i>Bryocyclops campaneri</i> Rocha and Bjornberg, 1987	-	3	-
<i>Bryocyclops campaneri</i> Bjornberg, 1985	-	3	-
<i>Hesperocyclops herbsti</i> Rocha and Bjornberg, 1987	-	3	-
<i>Hesperocyclops improvisus</i> Herbst, 1984	2	-	*Recorded in Antilles
<i>Mesocyclops aspericornis</i> Daday, 1906	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Mesocyclops longisetus longisetus</i> (Thiébaud, 1912)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Mesocyclops longisetus curvatus</i> Dussart, 1987	1,2,3	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Mesocyclops longisetus araucanus</i> Loeffler, 1961	-	3	*Recorded only in Chile
<i>Mesocyclops ogunnus</i> Onabamiro, 1957	2	2,3	***Introduced species from Africa
<i>Mesocyclops thermocyclopoides</i> Harada, 1931	1	1	***Some recorded are doubtful
<i>Mesocyclops venezuelanus</i> Dussart, 1987	1,2,3	-	-
<i>Mesocyclops reidae</i> Petkovski, 1986	3,4	-	-
<i>Mesocyclops meridianus</i> Kiefer, 1926	-	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Mesocyclops pseudomeridianus</i> Defaye and Dussart, 1984	1	-	*Recorded in French Guyana
<i>Mesocyclops meridionalis</i> Dussart and Frutos, 1986	-	4	*Recorded in Argentina
<i>Mesocyclops brasiliensis</i> Kiefer, 1933	-	1	**Only the original record was plotted because the others recorded do not concur with original drawings, and are doubtful.
<i>Mesocyclops chaci</i> Fiers, 1996	2,3	-	*Recorded only in Yucatan (Mexico)
<i>Mesocyclops yutsil</i> Reid, 1996	2,3	-	*Recorded only in Yucatan (Mexico)
<i>Mesocyclops intermedius</i> Pesce, 1985	2,3	-	*Recorded in Caribbean
<i>Mesocyclops pescei</i> Petkovski, 1986	2	-	*Recorded in Bahamas
<i>Mesocyclops annulatus diversus</i> Herbst, 1962	-	1	-
<i>Mesocyclops annulatus annulatus</i> Wierzejski, 1892	-	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Mesocyclops paranaensis</i> Dussart and Frutos, 1986	-	4	*Recorded in Argentina
<i>Mesocyclops ellipticus</i> Kiefer, 1936	1	1,2,3	-
<i>Mesocyclops varius</i> Dussart, 1987	2	-	*Recorded in Guatemala
<i>Mesocyclops edax</i> (S.A. Forber, 1891)	2,3,4	-	-
<i>Metacyclops subequalis</i> Dussart, 1984	1	-	-
<i>Metacyclops curtipinosus</i> Dussart, 1984	1	-	-
<i>Metacyclops hartmanni</i> Herbst, 1960	3	-	-
<i>Metacyclops paludolicola</i> (Herbst, 1959)	-	3	-
<i>Metacyclops paludolicola dentatus</i> Plesa, 1981	3	-	*Recorded in Cuba
<i>Metacyclops oreomaris</i> Rocha, 1994	-	3	-
<i>Metacyclops grandis</i> Kiefer, 1935	-	4	-
<i>Metacyclops leptopus mucubajensis</i> Kiefer, 1956	1	-	-

**Table 1.** Continued...

Cyclopinae species	N	S	Comments
<i>Metacyclops brauni</i> Herbst, 1962	-	1	*Recorded in Amazonia
<i>Metacyclops campestris</i> Reid, 1987	-	2	-
<i>Metacyclops cushae</i> Reid, 1991	-	1	*Recorded in Northeast Brazil
<i>Metacyclops hirsutus</i> Rocha, 1994	-	3	-
<i>Metacyclops leptopus</i> (Kiefer, 1927)	1	1,2	-
<i>Metacyclops mendocinus</i> (Wierjski, 1982)	1,2,3	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Metacyclops laticornis</i> (Lowndes, 1934)	-	2,3,4	-
<i>Metacyclops mendocinus venezuelanus</i> (Kiefer, 1956)	1	1	-
<i>Metacyclops rufus</i> Plesa, 1981	3	1	-
<i>Metacyclops tredecimus</i> (Lowndes, 1934)	1	4	-
<i>Microcyclops alias</i> (Kiefer, 1935)	1,2,3	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Microcyclops anceps anceps</i> (Richard, 1897)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Microcyclops anceps pauxensis</i> Herbst, 1962	1	1	-
<i>Microcyclops anceps minor</i> Dussart, 1984	1	-	*Recorded in Venezuela
<i>Microcyclops ceibaensis</i> (Marsh, 1919)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Microcyclops diversus</i> Kiefer, 1935	1,2	4	-
<i>Microcyclops dubitabilis</i> Kiefer, 1934	1,2	1	-
<i>Microcyclops elongatus</i> (Lowndes, 1934)	-	4	-
<i>Microcyclops finitimus</i> Dussart, 1984	1	1,2,3	-
<i>Microcyclops furcatus</i> (Daday, 1904)	-	4	-
<i>Microcyclops varicans subaequalis</i> (Kiefer, 1928)	1	1	-
<i>Microcyclops varicans varicans</i> (G.O. Sars, 1863)	1,2,3	1,2,3	-
<i>Microcyclops</i> sp. Varella et al., 1978	-	4	***This species has no denomination
<i>Muscocyclops bidentatus</i> Reid, 1987	-	2	*Recorded only in the Federal District of Brazil
<i>Muscocyclops opercularis</i> (Chappius, 1917)	-	1,2,3	-
<i>Muscocyclops therasiae</i> Reid, 1987	-	2	*Recorded only in the Federal District of Brazil
<i>Neutrocyclops brevifurca</i> (Lowndes, 1934)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Ponticyclops boscoi</i> Reid, 1987	-	2	*Recorded only in the Federal District of Brazil
<i>Thermocyclops tenuis</i> (Marsh, 1910)	1,2,3,4	1,3,4	-
<i>Thermocyclops decipiens</i> (Kiefer, 1929)	1,2,3,4	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Thermocyclops minutus</i> (Lowndes, 1934)	1	1,2,3,4	-
<i>Thermocyclops inversus</i> Kiefer, 1936	1,2,3,4	1,2,3	-
<i>Thermocyclops brehmi</i> (Kiefer, 1927)	-	4	-
<i>Thermocyclops crassus</i> (Fischer, 1853)	-	2	-
<i>Thermocyclops hastatus antillensis</i> Herbst, 1986	-	2	*Recorded in the Antilles
<i>Thermocyclops iguapensis</i> Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi, 2005	-	3	*Recorded in the Atlantic basin in São Paulo state in Brazil
<i>Thermocyclops tenuis longifurcatus</i> Pesce, 1985	-	1	*Caribbean
<i>Thermocyclops parvus</i> Reid, 1987	4	-	*Florida
<i>Yancyclops ferrarii</i> Reid, 1988	-	1	*Amazonian

**Eucyclops Claus, 1893**

Genus with high diversity and with few studies on its taxonomy. *E. serrulatus* is the most common species being described as a cosmopolitan and in the neotropics is distributed from Argentina to Mexico (Dussart and Dafaye, 1985; Rocha and Botelho, 1998; Suárez-Morales, 2004). Another 22 species present in the neotropical region are *E. ensifer*, *E. leptacanthus*, *E. neotropicus*, *E. neumani neumani*, *E. neumani titicae*, *E. solii*, *E. solitarius*, *E. subciliatus*, *E. sp.*, *E. speratus*, *E. delachauxi*, *E. silvestrii*, *E. bondi*, *E. ariguanabensis*, *E. festivus*, *E. demacedoi*, *E. pseudoensifer*, *E. elegans*, *E. torresphilipi*, *E. conrowae*, *E. ariguanabensis*, *E. breviramatus*.

**Tropocyclops Kiefer, 1927**

Genus that includes small organisms and the most common planktonic Cyclopoida species in the neotropics, with *T. prasinus* including *T. prasinus prasinus* (cosmopolitan), *T. prasinus meridionalis* and *T. prasinus mexicanus* (Reid, 1989; Rocha and Botelho, 1998). This species complex is distributed from Argentina to Mexico, *T. prasinus meridionalis* restricted to South America and *T. prasinus mexicanus* is restricted to Amazon, Central and North American sites. South America presents a high diversity with 10 endemic species, *T. prasinus aztequei*, *T. prasinus peruviana*, *T. extensus*, *T. extensus longispina*, *T. schubarti*, *T. schubart dispar*, *T. rarus*, *T. federensis*, *T. nanae* and *T. piscinalis*.

### **Paracyclops Claus, 1893**

The genus *Paracyclops* has 28 species recorded in the world with eleven occurring in the neotropical region, and around 9 are American (Karaytug, 1999). In the neotropics the species *P. poppei* while *P. chiltoni* are considered cosmopolitan species, the first one being restricted to North America and the Caribbean zone and the second is restricted to South America and commonly misidentified as *P. fimbriatus* which does not exist in the Americas (Karaytug, 1999).

The other species recorded in the neotropics are *P. pilosus*, *P. novenarius*, *P. andinus*, *P. carectum*, *P. rochaei*, *P. reidai*, *P. hardingi*, *P. uenoi*, *P. punctatus*.

### **Ectocyclops Brady, 1904**

The genus *Ectocyclops* presents five species, one cosmopolitan, *E. pharelatus* and four species from South America and the Caribbean region, *E. bromelicula*, *E. herbsti*, *E. rubescens* and *E. strenkzei* (Dussart and Dafaye, 1985 and Rocha and Botelho, 1998).

### **Homocyclops (Herrick, 1882)**

This genus is monospecific, with only one species, *Homocyclops ater*, which is an American species and its distribution in the neotropics is from Argentina to Mexico (Rocha and Botelho, 1998).

### **Sub-Family Cyclopinae Kiefer, 1927**

#### **Acanthocyclops G.O. Sars, 1863**

This genus in the neotropics is represented by two cosmopolitan species and two endemic ones. *A. robustus* and *A. vernalis* are cosmopolitan and they are so close that they include a complex robustus-vernalis (Dodson, 1984). These species have been recorded in Eurasia, Australia and America. In the neotropics, they have been recorded from Argentina to Mexico (Reid, 1985; Dussart and Dafaye, 1985; Rocha and Botelho, 1998, Silva, 2003; Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi, 2002), and *A. robustus* is the most common form recorded in the neotropics. *A. michaelseni* and *A. scottsbergi* are both endemic to the south of Argentina and Chile.

#### **Allocyclops Kiefer, 1932**

Genus represented by two species in the neotropical region, one in the Cuba, *A. botosaneanui* (Dussart and Dafaye, 1985) and another in Brazil, *A. silvaticus* (Rocha and Botelho, 1998).

#### **Apocyclops Lindberg, 1942**

Genus represented by four species in the neotropics (Dussart and Dafaye, 1985), *A. panamensis* (Central America and Caribbean), *A. viduus* (Aruba), *A. procerus* and *A. distans* (South America).

#### **Bryocyclops Kiefer, 1927**

The species from this genus are essentially Afro-Asian (Dussart and Dafaye, 1985), with two species in

the neotropics restricted to South America, the species *B. campaneri* and *B. Caroli*.

### **Diacyclops Kiefer, 1927**

The species of this genus is absent in most of the neotropical region, and are found mainly in the southern region of the Atlantic coast, in spite of this genus being the largest of the Cyclopidae group (Stoch, 2001). In the neotropics there are nine species, six of which are restricted to the north region: *D. bernardi*, *D. hispidus*, *D. chakan*, *D. pilosus*, *D. ecabensis*, *D. puuc* and three species restricted to the south above 40° S, *D. andinus*, *D. bicuspidatus* and *D. urugaiensis*.

### **Hesperocyclops Herbst, 1984**

*Hesperocyclops* is an American genus, with two species, *H. herbsti* and *H. improvisus* (Rocha and Botelho, 1998).

### **Mesocyclops G. O. Sars, 1914**

Most of the species from this genus have been recorded in the tropical region and it is one of the most studied groups, including revisions of African (Van de Velde, 1984), American (Suárez-Morales and Gutierrez-Aguire, 2001) and Australian (Holyska, 2000) species. Suárez-Morales and Gutierrez-Aguire (2001) listed the 21 species and sub-species of *Mesocyclops* recorded in the neotropics, where one is pan-tropical, *M. aspericornis*, two neotropical *M. longisetus* and *M. longisetus curvatus*, two introduced species from the Afro-Asian region, *M. ogunnus* (the *M. kieferi* recorded in Brazil was a misidentification corrected by Matsumura-Tundisi and Silva, 2002 as *M. ogunnus*) and *M. thermocyclopoidea*, and other species endemic or restricted to some regions of the neotropics such as *M. venezuelanus*, *M. reidai*, *M. longisetus longisetus*, *M. longisetus curvatus*, *M. meridianus*, *M. pseudomeridianus*, *M. meridionalis*, *M. ellipticus*, *M. brasiliensis* (note: In the revision of the *Mesocyclops* species in São Paulo State and in Samuel Reservoir in Rondônia State in Brazil, *M. meridianus* was identified as *M. brasiliensis*), *M. edax*, *M. evadomingoi* with six species are endemic to islands, *M. cahci*, *M. yutsil*, *M. intermedius*, *M. pescei*, *M. annulatus diversus*, *M. longisetus araucanus* and species from the South above 40° S *M. annulatus annulatus* and *M. paranaensis*.

### **Metacyclops Kiefer, 1927**

The species from this genus present a high endemism and all species recorded in the neotropics are American species. In the neotropics 18 species were recorded including the endemic species *M. subequalis*, *M. curtipinosus*, *M. hartmanni*, *M. paludolicola*, *M. paludolicola dentatus*, *M. oremaris*, *M. grandis*, *M. leptopus mucubajensis*, *M. brauni*, *M. campestri*, *M. cushae*, *M. hirsutus*, *M. tredecimus* and *M. rufus*. The most widely distributed *Metacyclops* species in the neotropics are *M. leptopus*, *M. mendocinus*, *M. laticornis*, *M. mendocinus venozuelanus*, the latter one having been

recorded in Venezuela and Brazil although in Brazil it is na incertae species (Rocha and Botelho, 1998).

### ***Microcyclops* Claus, 1893**

The species of this genus present a low degree of endemism with many species recorded in more than one hydrographic basin. There are 12 recorded species in the neotropics (Dussart and Dafaye, 1985; Rocha, 1998; Rocha and Botelho, 1998): *M. alius*, *M. anceps anceps*, *M. anceps pauxensis*, *M. anceps minor*, *M. ceibaensis*, *M. diversus*, *M. dubitailis*, *M. elongates*, *M. finitimus*, *M. furcatus*, *M. varicans subaequulis*, *M. varicans varicans*.

### ***Muscocyclops* Kiefer, 1937**

The species from this genus are all restricted to South America and are composed of three species: *M. bidentatus*, *M. operculatus*, *M. therasiae*. These species inhabit wet fields and organic soil (Rocha and Botelho, 1998).

### ***Neurocyclops* (Lowndes, 1934)**

This genus is monospecific, including only one species, *N. brevifurca*, distributed in the whole neotropical region.

### ***Ponticyclops* Reid, 1987**

This genus is monospecific, including only one species, *P. boscoi*, recorded in the central Brazilian region.

### ***Thermocyclops* Kiefer, 1927**

This genus, one of the most common in the planktonic environment, in the neotropics consists of eleven species (Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi, 2005). *Thermocyclops tenuis* is the most widely distributed species in the neotropics, but many records are probably erroneous (Reid, 1989). *Thermocyclops decipiens*, a pan-tropical species, is the most abundant in the neotropics followed by *T. minutus* a South American species. *T. inversus* is a species distributed between tropics in the Americas. The other *Thermocyclops* species are more geographically restricted, being: *T. brehmi*, *T. crassus*, *T. hastatus antilhensis*, *T. iguapensis*, *T. tenuis longifurcatus*, *T. parvus*.

### ***Yancyclops* Reid, 1988**

This genus is monospecific, including only one species, *Y. ferrarii*, recorded in the northern region of Brazil, in the Amazonian region.

## **4. Discussion**

The diversity and distribution of the Neotropical species is very likely linked to the pilocene events. Suárez-Morales (2004) observed that in the colonization of the Yucatán Peninsula in Mexico, South American species predominate. This way, the freshwater cyclopoid dispersion in the Neotropics should be starting from South American equatorial and tropical to the temperate zones and North America.

The distribution showed a high number of species of Cyclopoida in the Southern region. In fact, these results were expected, because the south is bigger than the north in area and environmental diversity. Seventeen species are present in the whole neotropical region, which represents 10.8% of the total species. The high number of endemic versus the low number of widely distributed species for Cyclopoida has been found in all recent taxonomic studies such as Kiefer (1981); Van-de-Velde (1984); Reid (1989) and Karaytug (1999) and Suárez-Morales (2002); Suárez-Morales et al. (2004). This fact has high evolutionary significance, because it shows that the speciation of Cyclopida is bigger than supposed some time ago. Endemism in the neotropics should be much higher than recorded; nowadays endemism is directly linked to the amount of research carried out at a given site. Reid, (1987; 1989; 1991) for central Brazil, Holynska, 2000 for Australia and Suárez-Morales et al. (2004) for the Yucatán Peninsula (Mexico), Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi (2005) for São Paulo State (Brazil) give examples of endemism and discuss its relationship with research.

Amazonian sites are examples of less-understood environments, with thousands of different freshwater environments, in a giant area certainly having dozens (a conservative estimate) of endemic and new species of freshwater Cyclopoida.

Moreover, even with the well-studied Cyclopoida groups, such as *Mesocyclops*, there are many doubts about distribution, because of imprecise descriptions or morphological confusion (Suárez-Morales, 2001). Nowadays, new skills have been useful in taxonomy; Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi, 2004, found differences between *Mesocyclops longisetus longisetus* from the United States and *M. longisetus longisetus* from Brazil using cytogenetic techniques. This kind of study helps to understand distribution patterns and the speciation process has occurred in the neotropics. In the latter there are three variations for *M. longisetus*: *M. longisetus longisetus* var. north, *M. longisetus longisetus* var. south and *M. longisetus curvatus*.

Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi (2005), using molecular biology, observed that the genera *Themocyclops* and *Mesocyclops* are closely related genera, and that the morphological differences are not supported. This result alerts evolutionary researchers that the study of these genera might not have to be done alone, but together, and their distribution might be interrelated.

On the other hand, human activities usually represent a strong forcing function driving distribution and diversity. Tundisi et al. (1995) discuss the formation of hundreds of hydropower dams in Brazil, which is a country poor in natural lakes, and that before hydropower, the Cyclopoida species was adapted in marginal lakes with strong interannual pulses. Nowadays, there are hundreds of cascades of interlinked reservoirs multiplying environments for plankton. Silva and Matsumura-Tundisi (2002) and Nogueira et al. (2004), observed that in the cascade

systems, the Cyclopoida species are usually similar, differing in abundance among reservoirs, and that abundance is often related to the trophic state of the system and the retention time. This anthropic process might be homogenizing the systems and promoting extinction.

Concluding, in the last twenty years has been great improvement in the taxonomical knowledge of freshwater Cyclopoida with an emphasis on the impact of their distribution and diversity. Moreover, greater effort should be made to solve taxonomical doubts including the use of morphological, molecular and cytogenetic skills and in the geographical areas with a high deficit of studies.

## References

- DODSON, S., 1984. Morphological analysis of Wisconsin (USA) species of the *Acanthocyclops vernalis* group (Copepoda: Cyclopoida). *J. crustac. biol.*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 113-131.
- DUSSART, BH. and DAFAYE, D., 1985. *Répertoire Mondial des Cyclopoides*. Paris: Editors du CNRS. 236 p.
- GUTIERREZ-AGUIRRE, MA., and SUÁREZ-MORALES, E. 2001. Distribution and taxonomy of the tropical tropical american *Mesocyclops* Sars, 1914 (Copepoda, Cyclopoida). *Crustaceana*, vol. 74, no. 5 p. 477-487.
- GUTIERREZ-AGUIRRE, MA., and SUÁREZ-MORALES, E., 2002. A new species of *Mesocyclops* (Copepoda, Cyclopoida, Cyclopidae) from Southeastern Mexico. *J. Limnol.*, vol. 60, no. 2, p. 143-154.
- HOLYNSKA, M., 2000. Revision of the Australian species of the genus *Mesocyclops* Sars, 1914 (Copepoda: Cyclopidae). *Annales zoologici*, vol. 50, no. 3, p. 363-447.
- KARAYTUG, S., 1999. *Copepoda: Cyclopoida. Genera Paracyclops, Ochridacyclops and key to the Eucyclopinae*. In *Guides to the identification of the microinvertebrates of the continental waters of the world*. DUMONT, H.J.F. (Ed.). Belgium: University of Gent. 217 p.
- MATSUMURA-TUNDISI, T. and SILVA, WM., 2002. Occurrence of *Mesocyclops ogunnus* Onabamito, 1957 (Copepoda, Cyclopoida) in waterbodies of São Paulo State, identified as *Mesocyclops kieferi* Van de Velde, 1984. *Braz. J. Biol. = Rev. Bras. Biol.*, vol. 62, no. 4a, p. 615-620.
- NOGUEIRA, MG., JORCIN, A., VIANNA, NC. and BRITTO, Y.C. 2004. *Reservatórios em cascata e os efeitos na limnologia e organização das comunidades bióticas (fitoplâncton, zooplâncton e zoobentos): Um estudo de caso no rio Paranapanema (SP/PR)*. In: NOGUEIRA, MG., HENRY, R. & JORCIN, A. (eds.). Ecologia de reservatórios: Impactos potenciais, ações de manejo e sistemas em cascata. São Carlos: Rima, p. 435-459.
- REID, JW. and PINTO-COELHO, R., 1994. An Afro-Asian continental copepod, *Mesocyclops ogunnus*, found in Brazil: with a new key to the species of *Mesocyclops* in South America and a review of intercontinental introductions of copepods. *Limnologica*, vol. 24, no. 4, p. 359-368.
- REID, JW., 1985. Chave de identificação e lista de referencias para as espécies continentais sulamericanas de vida livre da ordem Cyclopoida (Crustácea, Copepoda). *Bolm Zool.*, Univ. de S. Paulo, vol. 9, p. 17-143.
- , 1991. some species of *Tropocyclops* (Crustacea, Copepoda) from Brazil, with a key to American species. *Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde*. no. 61, vol. 1, p. 3-15.
- , 1987. The cyclopoids copepods of a wet campos marsh in central Brazil. *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 153, no. 2, p. 121-138.
- , 1989. The distributions of species of the genus *Thermocyclops* (Copepoda, Cyclopoida) in the western hemisphere, with a description of *T. parvus*, new species. *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 175, no. 2, p. 149-174.
- ROCHA, CEF., 1998. New morphological characters useful for the taxonomy of the genus *Microcyclops* (Copepoda, Cyclopoida). *J. Mar. Syst.*, vol. 15, no. 1-4, p. 425-431.
- ROCHA, CEF., and BOTELHO, MCJ., 1998. Maxillopoda-Copepoda. Cyclopoida. In YOUNG, PS. (Ed.). *Catalogue of crustacean of Brazil*. Rio de Janeiro: Museu Nacional. (Série Livros, n° 6).
- ROCHA, O., SENDACZ, S., MATSUMURA-TUNDISI, T., 1995. Composition, biomass and productivity of zooplankton in natural lakes and reservoirs of Brazil. In *Limnology in Brazil*. Rio de Janeiro: ABC/SBL. p. 151-166.
- SANTOS-WINIESWSKI, MJ. and ROCHA, O., 2007. Spatial distribution and secondary production of Copepoda in a tropical reservoir. *Braz. J. Biol. = Rev. Bras. Biol.*, vol. 67, no. 2, p. 223-233.
- SILVA, WM. and MATSUMURA-TUNDISI, T. 2002. Distribution and abundance of Cyclopoida populations in a cascade of reservoirs of the Tietê River (São Paulo State, Brazil). *Verh. Internat. Limnol.* vol. 28, p. 667-70.
- , 2004. Cytogenetics of the freshwater cyclopoid *Mesocyclops longisetus longisetus* (Crustacea, Copepoda) from São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil. *Biota Neotropica*, vol. 4, no. 2: <http://www.biota-neotropica.org.br/v4n2/pt/abstract?short-communication+bn03604022004>.
- , 2005. Taxonomy, ecology and geographic distribution of the species of the genus *Thermocyclops* Kiefer, 1927 (Copepoda, Cyclopoida) in São Paulo State, Brazil, with description of a new species. *Braz. J. Biol. = Rev. Bras. Biol.*, vol. 65, no. 3, p. 533-540.
- SILVA, WM., 2003. *Diversidade dos Cyclopoida (Copepoda, Crustacea) de água doce do Estado de São Paulo: taxonomia, ecologia e genética*. São Carlos: UFSCAR. 154 p. [Tese de Doutorado].
- STOCH, F., 2001 How many species of Diacyclops? New taxonomic characters and species richness in a freshwater cyclopid genus (Copepoda, Cyclopoida). *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 453/454, no. 1, p. 225-231.
- SUÁREZ-MORALES, E., 2004. A new species of *Eucyclops Claus* (Copepoda: Cyclopoida) from Southeast Mexico with a key for the identification of the species recorded in Mexico. *Zootaxa*, vol. 617, p. 1-18.
- SUÁREZ-MORALES, E. and GUTIERREZ-AGUIRRE, MA., 2001. *Morfología y taxonomía de los Mesocyclops (Crustacea: Copepoda: Cyclopoida) de Mexico*. Mexico: Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT) y El Colegio de la frontera sur (ECOSUR). 202 p.
- SUÁREZ-MORALES, E., MACLELLAND, J. and REID, JW. 1999. The copepods of coastal saline ponds of the Cayman Islands with special reference to the occurrence of *Mesocyclops ogunnus* Onabamiro, an apparently introduced Afro-Asian cyclopoid. *Gulf Research Reports*, vol. 11, p. 51-55.
- SUÁREZ-MORALES, E., REID, JW., FIERS, F., and ILIFFE, TM. 2004. Historical biogeography and distribution of the freshwater cyclopine copepods (Copepoda, Cyclopoida, Cyclopinae) of the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. *J. Biogeogr.*, vol. 31, no. 7, p. 1051-1063.
- VAN-DE-VELDE, I., 1984. Revision of the african species of the genus *Mesocyclops* Sars, 1914 (Copepoda, Cyclopoida). *Hydrobiologia*, vol. 109, no. 1, p. 183-199.