

# **Erratum**

**Manuscript:** Argon plasma versus electrofulguration in the treatment of anal and perianal condylomata acuminata in patients with acquired immunodeficiency virus

**Publication:** Acta Cir Bras. 2017;32(6):482-491.

**DOI:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0102-865020170060000009

On page 486 of the original publication, instead of this Table 4:

Table 4 - Results from analysis on the association between effectiveness variables and CD4.

	HIV	HIV positive			
Variables	negative	CD4 ≤ 400	CD4 > 400	Total	p-Value
Argon relapse					•
Yes	8 (34.8)	8 (34.8)	7 (58.3)	9 (75.0)	0.4732
No	15 (65.2)	15 (65.2)	5 (41.7)	3 (25.0)	0.4732
Fulguration relapse					
Yes	1 (50.0)	2 (100.0)	16	19	0.0222
No	1 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	21	18	0.0222

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Exact Pearson's chi-square test.

#### Consider this Table 4:

**Table 4** - Results from analysis on the association between effectiveness variables and CD4.

	HIV	HIV positive			
Variables	negative	CD4 ≤ 400	CD4 > 400	Total	p-Value
Argon relapse					
Yes	8 (34.8)	1 (50.0)	7 (58.3)	16	0.473 <sup>2</sup>
No	15 (65.2)	1 (50.0)	5 (41.7)	21	0.475
Fulguration relapse					
Yes	8 (34.8)	2 (100.0)	9 (75.0)	19	0.022 <sup>2</sup>
No	15 (65.2)	0 (0.0)	3 (25.0)	18	0.0222

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Exact Pearson's chi-square test.


#### **Erratum**


**Manuscript:** Intestinal inflammatory and redox responses to the perioperative administration of teduglutide in rats

**Publication:** Acta Cir Bras. 2017;32(8):648-661.

**DOI:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s0102-865020170080000007

On page 652 of the original publication, instead of this Figure 1:











Figure 1 - Analysis of viability and death in cells isolated from rats' ileum, by flow cytometry using double staining with Annexin V/Propidium iodide: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c).

### Consider this Figure 1:









**Figure 1** - Analysis of viability and death in cells isolated from rats' ileum, by flow cytometry using double staining with Annexin V/Propidium iodide: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c).

On page 653 of the original publication, instead of this Figure 2:










Figure 2 - Oxidative stress evaluation in cells isolated from rats' ileum: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c). Assessment was performed by flow cytometry using DCFH2-DA, DHR123 and JC-1 fluorescent probes and mercury orange to determine cytosolic peroxides level, mitochondrial reactive species generation, mitochondrial membrane potential (mψ) and cellular reduced glutathione content ([GSH]), respectively.

### Consider this Figure 2:








Figure 2 - Oxidative stress evaluation in cells isolated from rats' ileum: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c). Assessment was performed by flow cytometry using DCFH2-DA, DHR123 and JC-1 fluorescent probes and mercury orange to determine cytosolic peroxides level, mitochondrial reactive species generation, mitochondrial membrane potential (m $\psi$ ) and cellular reduced glutathione content ([GSH]), respectively.

On page 654 of the original publication, instead of this Figure 3:






Figure 3 - Analysis of tissue inflammatory response in rats' ileum by flow cytometric multiplexed bead assay: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c).

## Consider this Figure 3:










Figure 3 - Analysis of tissue inflammatory response in rats' ileum by flow cytometric multiplexed bead assay: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c).


On page 655 of the original publication, instead of this Figure 4:




**Figure 4** - Analysis of systemic inflammatory response by flow cytometric multiplexed bead assay: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c).

### Consider this Figure 4:







**Figure 4** - Analysis of systemic inflammatory response by flow cytometric multiplexed bead assay: at the third and seventh days after ileal resection and anastomosis in animals not submitted to teduglutide treatment (a), in the different groups of study (b) and according teduglutide administration (c).